# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 0101 - DEWITT SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	90.91%	Y

# **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	1.28%	Y

# Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	96.39%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	94.05%	N

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	26.25%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	31.65%	N

# Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

# A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	1.00% pts	Y

### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	36.47%	N

# B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	12.35%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

# Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

# A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

# B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		Knowledge and Skills Appropriate (Including early language/ Behaviors to		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%		
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%		
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%		
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	36.25%		42.97%		39.80%		
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%		

Summary Statements	· ′	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	90.77%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

# **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of 60 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00	100.00%	Y

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

# **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsec education or training program; or	ondary 1	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some employment within one year of lea high school.		54.64	59.36		N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

### LEA: 0104 - STUTTGART SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	76.92%	N

# **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	1.72%	Y

# Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	97.85%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	98.08%	Y

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	29.67%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	36.27%	N

# Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

# A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	-0.80% pts	Y

### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	5.14	5.77	Y

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	57.22%	Y

# B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	15.00%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.56%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

# Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

# A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	85.71%	Y

# B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility		State	LEA	Target Met
		Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	0.00%	Y

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%	50.00%	5.56%	50.00%
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%	50.00%	34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%	50.00%	11.68%	50.00%	33.08%	50.00%

Summary Statements	· ′	ositive Social -Emotional kills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%	100.00%	Y	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%	50.00%	N	54.65%	57.17%	50.00%	N	72.87%	75.95%	50.00%	N

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%	100.00%	Y
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	84.27%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

# **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of 60 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# LEA: 0104 - STUTTGART SCHOOL DISTRICT

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00	100.00%	Y

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

# **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsec education or training program; or	ondary 1	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some employment within one year of lea high school.		54.64	59.36		N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	Y
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

### LEA: 0201 - CROSSETT SCHOOL DISTRICT

# **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	71.43%	N

# **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.00%	Y

# Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	24.44%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	30.11%	N

# Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

# A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>≤</u> 1.36	0.42% pts	Y

### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	5.14	5.77	Y

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	61.90%	Y

# B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	15.48%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.60%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

# Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

# A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

# B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		owledge and Skills Appropriate Cluding early language/ Behaviors to	
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements		ositive Social -Emotional kills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	85.00%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

# **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of oo days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

# **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 0203 - HAMBURG SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	75.00%	N

# **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.00%	Y

# Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	99.12%	Y

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	21.00%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	28.57%	N

# Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

# A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	-0.23% pts	Y

### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	91.94%	Y

# B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	1.08%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.54%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

# Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

# A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

# B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ Behaviors to		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%		
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%		
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%		
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%		
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%		

Summary A) Statements		ositive Social -Emotional kills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	100.00%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

# **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of 60 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

# **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

<sup>\*</sup>Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 0302 - COTTER SCHOOL DISTRICT

# **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	50.00%	N

# **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	3.33%	N

# Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	89.36%	N
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	90.74%	N

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	28.57%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	44.90%	Y

# Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

# A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	63.01%	Y

# B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	12.33%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

# Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

# A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

# B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

		onal Skills Knowledge and Skills Appropriate ding social (Including early language/ Behaviors to		Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements		Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	94.37%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

# **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of oo days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

# **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

<sup>\*</sup>Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 0303 - MOUNTAIN HOME SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	68.75%	N

# **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	3.92%	N

# Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	99.05%	Y

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	43.14%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	40.67%	Y

# Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

# A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	-0.22% pts	Y

### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	73.30%	Y

# B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	14.32%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.73%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

# Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

# A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

# B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	Emotional (Including	B) Acquisition and Use of C) Use of Appropriate Cluding social (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)  B) Acquisition and Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs		Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements		) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	95.41%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

# **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of ou days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# LEA: 0303 - MOUNTAIN HOME SCHOOL DISTRICT

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

# **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	1	N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

<sup>\*</sup>Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

### LEA: 0304 - NORFORK SCHOOL DISTRICT

# Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.00%	Y

# Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	Y

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	87.50%	N
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	92.11%	N

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	45.71%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	45.71%	Y

# Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

# A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development			
and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	83.53%	Y

# B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	12.94%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

# Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

# B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	36.25%		42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements		sitive So 11s*	ive Social -Emotional *			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **  C) Use of Appropriate Belton to Meet Their Needs						
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	100.00%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

# **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

# **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

В.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsec education or training program; or	ondary 1	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some employment within one year of lea high school.		54.64	59.36		N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

### LEA: 0401 - BENTONVILLE SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	76.32%	N

# **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	1.28%	Y

# Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	99.57%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	98.33%	Y

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	44.99%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	52.89%	Y

# A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	0.16% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	45.23%	N

# B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	21.63%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	2.55%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

# Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

# A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	2.78%	N

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	0.00%	Y

# Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%	12.31%	2.17%	7.69%	1.77%	9.23%
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%	10.77%	8.25%	12.31%	5.56%	12.31%
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%	43.08%	34.93%	46.15%	19.80%	44.62%
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	36.25%	24.62%	42.97%	27.69%	39.80%	26.15%
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%	9.23%	11.68%	6.15%	33.08%	7.69%

Summary Statements	· ′	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%	74.58%	N	88.20%	89.98%	78.69%	N	89.05%	90.71%	76.67%	N
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%	33.85%	N	54.65%	57.17%	33.85%	N	72.87%	75.95%	33.85%	N

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%	88.00%	N
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	97.16%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

# **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of oo days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	100.00%	Υ
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

#### LEA: 0401 - BENTONVILLE SCHOOL DISTRICT

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and p with an IEP that includes coordinated, neasurable, annual IEP goals and transition ervices that will reasonably enable the student o meet the post-secondary goals.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

# **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

В.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	Y
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 0402 - DECATUR SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	i l by	
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	75.00%	N

# **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.00%	Y

# Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	94.44%	N
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	94.44%	N

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	26.47%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	32.35%	N

# A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	5.14	5.77	Y

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	55.93%	Y

# B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	1.69%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	1.69%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

# Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

# A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	36.25%		42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements		Positive Social -Emotional Skills*  B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **  C) Use of Appropriate to Meet Their Need										
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	83.33%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

# **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Υ
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
ervices that will reasonably enable the student o meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

# **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsec education or training program; or	ondary 1	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some employment within one year of lea high school.		54.64	59.36		N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review periods.	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 0403 - GENTRY SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	1.45%	Y

# Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	26.09%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	40.21%	Y

# A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>≤</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	61.38%	Y

# B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	2.07%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	2.07%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

# Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

# A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements		Positive Social -Emotional Skills*  B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **  C) Use of Appropriate to Meet Their N			, <del>-</del>							
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	94.00%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

# **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

# **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35	33.33%	Y

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04	33.33%	N

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	33.33%	N

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

<sup>\*</sup>Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 0404 - GRAVETTE SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	92.31%	Y

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	1.14%	Y

# Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	99.22%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	96.50%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	45.31%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	50.00%	Y

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	-0.19% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	5.14	5.77	Y

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	64.50%	Y

# B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	9.52%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.87%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

# Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

# A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements		A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	96.26%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

# **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

# **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 0405 - ROGERS SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	84.55%	N

# **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.58%	Y

# Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	99.77%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	98.13%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	46.66%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	54.18%	Y

# A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	0.23% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	49.53%	N

# B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	16.99%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	1.39%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

# Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

# A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements		Positive Social -Emotional Skills*  B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **  C) Use of Approp to Meet Their I			, <del>-</del>							
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	91.25%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

# **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of 60 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

# **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

В.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	1	N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

<sup>\*</sup>Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 0406 - SILOAM SPRINGS SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.61%	Y

# Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	99.55%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	98.06%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	35.14%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	52.17%	Y

# A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	0.19% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	74.94%	Y

# B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	2.22%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	1.77%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

# Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

# A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	36.25%		42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements		Positive Social -Emotional Skills*  B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **  C) Use of Appropriate E to Meet Their Needs			, -							
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	90.24%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

# **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Υ
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# LEA: 0406 - SILOAM SPRINGS SCHOOL DISTRICT

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00	100.00%	Y

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

# **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsec education or training program; or	ondary 1	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some employment within one year of lea high school.		54.64	59.36		N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

<sup>\*</sup>Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 0407 - PEA RIDGE SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate Target by LE	
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	80.00%	N

# **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	1.43%	Y

# Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	99.09%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	36.36%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	50.46%	Y

# A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	1.32% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	60.25%	Y

# B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	9.94%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

# Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

# A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	36.25%		42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements		Positive Social -Emotional B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **  C) Use of Appropriate Be to Meet Their Needs										
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	93.10%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

# **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of oo days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

# **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

<sup>\*</sup>Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 0440 - BENTON COUNTY SCHOOL OF ARTS

#### Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.00%	Y

# Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	97.73%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	97.92%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	41.86%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	42.55%	Y

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development	5.14	5. <i>7</i> 7	Y
and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	5.14	3.77	1

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	85.51%	Y

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	0.00%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

# Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

# A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		Skills Appropriate language/ Behaviors to	
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements		A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*		notional	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **  C) Use of Appropri to Meet Their N							
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	100.00%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

## Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

## Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

## **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

## **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35	40.00%	Y

Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04	80.00%	Y

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	80.00%	Y

## State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

## Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 0442 - NORTHWEST ARKANSAS CLASSICAL ACADEMY

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2012/13 school year)**

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State State Rate Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	1	N/A

### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%		Y

#### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)		% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	N/A	17.16%	1.59%	N/A
Literacy	N/A		9.92%	N/A
Mathematics	N/A		3.17%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	-1.00%	N/A
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%		N/A

#### Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	1	N/A

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	5.14	5.77	N/A

### Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

/						
Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)		
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	86.96%	Y		

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	0.00%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

## Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		Knowledge and Skills Appropriate (Including early language/Behaviors to		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%		
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%		
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%		
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%		
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%		

Summary Statements		Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	62.50%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

## Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

## Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	1	N/A
of oo days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

## **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

#### LEA: 0442 - NORTHWEST ARKANSAS CLASSICAL ACADEMY

## **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

## State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

## Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 0501 - ALPENA SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.00%	Y

### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	98.04%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	33.33%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	52.00%	Y

## Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

## A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	-0.63% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

## Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	59.09%	Y

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	1.14%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	2.27%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

		ional Skills Knowledge and Skills Appropriate uding social (Including early language/ Behaviors to		Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	36.25%		42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	92.16%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

## Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

## Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

## **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

## **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

## State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

## Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 0502 - BERGMAN SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	90.00%	Y

### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	1.67%	Y

### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	40.30%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	57.75%	Y

## Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	-0.41% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	5.14	5.77	Y

## Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	69.84%	Y

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	4.76%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements		sitive So	cial -En	notional	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **							
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	95.56%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

## Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

## Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

## **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

## **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

educat	t of youth enrolled in higher ion or competitively employed one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		52.19	49.04		N/A

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

## State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

## Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 0503 - HARRISON SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2012/13 school year)**

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	84.21%	N

## **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	1.09%	Y

## Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	96.90%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	46.46%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	44.00%	Y

#### Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	0.44% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	5.14	5.77	Y

## Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	57.79%	Y

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	14.34%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.41%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

## A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	18.42%	N

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	0.00%	Y

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		(Including early language/ Behaviors to		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	3.70%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%	11.11%	8.25%	3.70%	5.56%		
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%	18.52%	34.93%	44.44%	19.80%	18.52%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	36.25%	40.74%	42.97%	37.04%	39.80%	33.33%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%	29.63%	11.68%	14.81%	33.08%	44.44%	

Summary Statements	· ′	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%	84.21%	N	88.20%	89.98%	95.65%	Y	89.05%	90.71%	93.33%	Y
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%	70.37%	Y	54.65%	57.17%	51.85%	N	72.87%	75.95%	77.78%	Y

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%	96.55%	Y
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	92.94%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

## Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

## Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	100.00%	Υ
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

## **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

## **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

В.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

## State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	Y
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

## Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 0504 - OMAHA SCHOOL DISTRICT

### Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	66.67%	N

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.00%	Y

### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	Y
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	29.03%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	36.36%	N

## Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

## A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	-0.28% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

## Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	57.63%	Y

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	0.00%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility		State	LEA	Target Met
		Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

	Emotional (Including	Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)  B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)  C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Need		Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	36.25%		42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors		
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	100.00%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

## Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

## Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of ou days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

## **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

## **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

## State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

## ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

## Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 0505 - VALLEY SPRINGS SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2012/13 school year)**

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.00%	Y

#### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	Y
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	98.55%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	35.00%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	41.18%	Y

#### Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

## A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	-0.24% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

## Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	70.10%	Y

### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	5.15%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

## Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		Knowledge and Skills Appropriate (Including early language/ Behaviors to		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%		
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%		
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%		
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%		
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%		

Summary A) Statements		sitive Social -Emotional lls*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	97.00%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

## Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

## Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of ou days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

## **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

#### LEA: 0505 - VALLEY SPRINGS SCHOOL DISTRICT

## **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

## State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

<sup>\*</sup>Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

## 2013/14 School Year Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 0506 - LEAD HILL SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.00%	Y

### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	95.00%	N
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	95.24%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	23.68%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	37.50%	N

## Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	-0.33% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

## Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	47.54%	N

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	6.56%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs		
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%		
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%		
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%		
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%		
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%		

Summary Statements	,				B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs				
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	100.00%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	1	N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

<sup>\*</sup>Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 0520 - OZARK UNLIMITED RESOURCES CO-OP

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%		N/A

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%		N/A

#### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)		% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	N/A	17.16%	1.59%	N/A
Literacy	N/A		9.92%	N/A
Mathematics	N/A		3.17%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%		N/A
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%		N/A

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%		N/A
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%		N/A

#### Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36		N/A

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	N/A
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

### Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%		N/A

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	-	N/A

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%		N/A

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	0.00%	N

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	27.68%	Y

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State LEA Rate Rate		State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%	17.92%	8.25%	19.81%	5.56%	8.49%
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%	27.36%	34.93%	32.08%	19.80%	13.21%
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.		27.36%	42.97%	36.79%	39.80%	38.68%
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%	27.36%	11.68%	11.32%	33.08%	39.62%

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%	75.32%	N	88.20%	89.98%	77.66%	N	89.05%	90.71%	85.94%	N
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%	54.72%	N	54.65%	57.17%	48.11%	N	72.87%	75.95%	78.30%	Y

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%	89.29%	Y
	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%		N/A

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	N/A

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	N/A

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	1	N/A
of oo days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%		N/A

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

#### LEA: 0520 - OZARK UNLIMITED RESOURCES CO-OP

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C.	C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		54.64	59.36	-	N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	Y
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	N/A

<sup>\*</sup>Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

# 2013/14 School Year Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 0601 - HERMITAGE SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.00%	Y

### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	90.91%	N
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	92.00%	N

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	20.00%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	52.17%	Y

# Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

# A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	-0.27% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	5.14	5.77	Y

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target		Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	90.00%	Y

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	2.00%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met	
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)	
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A	

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	36.25%		42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

		Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs				
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	100.00%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of oo days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35	50.00%	Y

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04	100.00%	Y

education or in some education or training	C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively emplo employment within high school.		54.64	59.36	100.00%	Y

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

<sup>\*</sup>Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

# 2013/14 School Year Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 0602 - WARREN SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.00%	Y

### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	98.53%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	97.47%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	14.93%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	24.68%	N

# Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>≤</u> 1.36	0.57% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	5.14	5.77	Y

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	89.31%	Y

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	3.82%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program		31.01	-1.00%	N/A

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met	
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)	
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A	

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs	
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State LEA Rate Rate		State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	99.12%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of 60 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review periods.	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

<sup>\*</sup>Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 0701 - HAMPTON SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.00%	Y

### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	97.22%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	11.76%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	34.29%	N

# Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	-0.63% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	5.14	5.77	Y

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	74.24%	Y

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	1.52%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

# Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met	
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)	
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A	

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	100.00%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of oo days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
ervices that will reasonably enable the student o meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 0801 - BERRYVILLE SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	80.00%	N

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	4.40%	N

### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	99.31%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	97.53%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	30.77%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	51.90%	Y

# Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

# A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	-0.01% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

### Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	56.35%	Y

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	5.56%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met	
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)	
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A	

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State LEA Rate Rate		State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	36.25%		42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	96.37%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of oo days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00	100.00%	Y

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	ondary 1	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some employment within one year of lea high school.		54.64	59.36		N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 0802 - EUREKA SPRINGS SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	80.00%	N

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	2.94%	N

## Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	Y
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	97.67%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	96.08%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	23.81%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	48.98%	Y

# Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	5.14	5.77	Y

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	64.44%	Y

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	6.67%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	4.44%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met	
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)	
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A	

# Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	91.57%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

#### LEA: 0802 - EUREKA SPRINGS SCHOOL DISTRICT

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

В.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review periods.	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

<sup>\*</sup>Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 0803 - GREEN FOREST SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	87.50%	Y

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	7.69%	N

### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	98.65%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	96.55%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	16.44%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	23.81%	N

# Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	0.39% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	51.64%	N

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	22.95%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met	
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)	
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A	

# Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	97.46%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by	
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)	
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y	

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by	
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)	
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y	

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

# **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35	16.67%	Y

$\epsilon$	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		52.19	49.04	33.33%	N

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	33.33%	N

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 0901 - DERMOTT SCHOOL DISTRICT

# Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	66.67%	N

# **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.00%	Y

# Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	97.30%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	92.50%	N

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	16.67%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	21.62%	N

# Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

# A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	-1.19% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Υ
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	5.14	5.77	

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	62.50%	Y

# B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	19.64%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

# Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

# A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program		State	LEA	Target Met
		Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

# B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements		sitive So	cial -En	notional		B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs			
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	90.00%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

# **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

# **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

В.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsec education or training program; or	ondary 1	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some employment within one year of lea high school.		54.64	59.36		N/A

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 0903 - LAKESIDE SCHOOL DISTRICT (CHICOT)

# Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	77.78%	N

# **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.00%	Y

#### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	Y
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	88.33%	N
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	85.48%	N

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	24.53%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	20.75%	N

#### LEA: 0903 - LAKESIDE SCHOOL DISTRICT (CHICOT)

# Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

# A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

11) 111 1110 1100 111111	00,00			<del>- y</del>
Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	75.22%	Y

# B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	11.50%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

# Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

# A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular arly childhood program and receiving the majority of special ducation and related services in the regular early childhood program		State	LEA	Target Met
		Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

# B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	Emotional (Including	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		Use of Skills language/ and early literacy)	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs	
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements		sitive So 11s*	cial -En	notional		B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs			
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	90.91%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

# **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# LEA: 0903 - LAKESIDE SCHOOL DISTRICT (CHICOT)

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

# **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

educat	t of youth enrolled in higher ion or competitively employed one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

<sup>\*</sup>Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 1002 - ARKADELPHIA SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	90.00%	Y

# **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	4.29%	N

# Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	98.52%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	24.17%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	33.08%	N

# Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

# A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	-0.28% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	45.23%	N

# B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	17.09%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

# Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

# A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

# B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			, <u>-</u>					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	93.65%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

# **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# LEA: 1002 - ARKADELPHIA SCHOOL DISTRICT

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

# **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 1003 - GURDON SCHOOL DISTRICT

# Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	75.00%	N

# **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	5.71%	N

# Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	98.11%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	25.00%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	25.00%	N

# Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

# A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	1.39% pts	N

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	75.24%	Y

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	5.71%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

# Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

# A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

# B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	Emotional Skills Kn (Including social (Including		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs	
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	36.25%		42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements		sitive So	cial -En	notional	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				C) Use of Appropriate Behavior to Meet Their Needs			
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	90.00%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

# **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

# **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 1020 - DAWSON EDUCATION SERVICE CO-OP

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%		N/A

# Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)		% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	N/A	17.16%	1.59%	N/A
Literacy	N/A		9.92%	N/A
Mathematics	N/A		3.17%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	1	N/A
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	- 1	N/A

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%		N/A
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%		N/A

# Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

# A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	1	N/A

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	N/A
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	5.14	5.77	11,11

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%		N/A

# B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	-	N/A

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%		N/A

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

# Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

# A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	48.22%	Y

# B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	0.00%	Y

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%	9.26%	8.25%	4.17%	5.56%	7.87%
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%	29.17%	34.93%	53.24%	19.80%	26.85%
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.		40.74%	42.97%	37.50%	39.80%	42.59%
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%	20.83%	11.68%	5.09%	33.08%	22.69%

Summary Statements	1 '	Positive Social -Emotional B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills ** C) Use of Ap to Meet Ti							propriate Behaviors eir Needs			
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%	88.30%	N	88.20%	89.98%	95.61%	Y	89.05%	90.71%	89.82%	N
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%	61.57%	N	54.65%	57.17%	42.59%	N	72.87%	75.95%	65.28%	N

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%	96.09%	Y
	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%		N/A

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	N/A

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	N/A

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

# **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	1	N/A
	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	100.00%	Υ
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

#### LEA: 1020 - DAWSON EDUCATION SERVICE CO-OP

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

# **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	Y
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	N/A

<sup>\*</sup>Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

# 2013/14 School Year Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 1101 - CORNING SCHOOL DISTRICT

# Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.00%	Y

# Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	98.61%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	97.33%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	30.99%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	35.62%	N

# Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

# A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	-0.24% pts	Y

# B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	42.62%	N

# B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	7.38%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

# Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

# A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

# B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements		sitive So	cial -En	notional	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs			
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	93.75%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

# **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

# **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsec education or training program; or	ondary 1	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some employment within one year of lea high school.		54.64	59.36		N/A

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

<sup>\*</sup>Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 1104 - PIGGOTT SCHOOL DISTRICT

# Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.00%	Y

# Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	98.48%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	97.01%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	32.31%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	47.69%	Y

# Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

# A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	0.40% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	43.90%	N

# B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	4.88%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

# Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

# A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

# B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	36.25%		42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements		sitive So 11s*	cial -En	notional	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs				
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	92.86%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

# **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

# **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35	11.11%	N

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04	55.56%	Y

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	66.67%	Y

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review periods.	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 1106 - RECTOR SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2012/13 school year)**

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	77.78%	N

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.00%	Y

## Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	95.65%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	96.49%	Y

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	22.73%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	34.55%	N

# Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	72.73%	Y

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	1.14%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	nal Skills Knowledge and Skills Appropriate ing social (Including early language/ Behaviors to		Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	36.25%		42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors		
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	100.00%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of 60 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsec education or training program; or	ondary 1	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some employment within one year of lea high school.		54.64	59.36		N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

<sup>\*</sup>Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 1201 - CONCORD SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	00 000 000		100.00%	Y

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.00%	Y

### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	97.50%	Y

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	40.54%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	51.28%	Y

#### Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	5.14	5.77	Y

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target		Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	61.33%	Y

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	13.33%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ Behaviors to		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%		
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%		
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%		
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%		
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%		

Summary Statements		) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	85.71%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of ou days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 1202 - HEBER SPRINGS SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2012/13 school year)**

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	1.54%	Y

### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	99.06%	Y

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	29.17%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	40.00%	N

# Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	-0.06% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	48.21%	N

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	8.72%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	Emotional (Including	Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)  B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ separation and early literacy)  C) Use of Appropria Behaviors Meet Their		Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors		
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	95.08%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
by their third birthday.	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

#### LEA: 1202 - HEBER SPRINGS SCHOOL DISTRICT

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

18.17 13.35 — N/A	A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 1203 - QUITMAN SCHOOL DISTRICT

## Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	85.71%	Y

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.00%	Y

### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	88.89%	N
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	86.89%	N

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	27.08%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	33.96%	N

# Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	-0.54% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	59.00%	Y

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	1.00%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

# Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		rledge and Skills Appropriate ding early language/ Behaviors to	
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements		sitive So 11s*	cial -En	Emotional B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **  C) Use of Appropriate Bo to Meet Their Needs			, <del>-</del>					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	91.89%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# LEA: 1203 - QUITMAN SCHOOL DISTRICT

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

educat	t of youth enrolled in higher ion or competitively employed one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		52.19	49.04		N/A

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 1204 - WESTSIDE SCHOOL DISTRICT (CLEBURNE)

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.00%	Y

# Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	92.86%	N
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	92.86%	N

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	30.77%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	46.15%	Y

#### LEA: 1204 - WESTSIDE SCHOOL DISTRICT (CLEBURNE)

#### Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

# A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	5.14	5.77	Y

## Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	82.43%	Y

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	5.41%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

# Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements		A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	88.46%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
by their third birthday.	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# LEA: 1204 - WESTSIDE SCHOOL DISTRICT (CLEBURNE)

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

18.17 13.35 — N/A	A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

<sup>\*</sup>Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 1304 - WOODLAWN SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

## **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.00%	Y

### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	42.11%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	38.10%	N

# Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>≤</u> 1.36	1.51% pts	N

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	90.32%	Y

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	0.00%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		1 Skills Appropriate y language/ Behaviors to	
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	36.25%		42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements		sitive So	tive Social -Emotional s*  B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **  C) Use of Appropriate Botto Meet Their Needs			' -						
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	100.00%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of ou days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

#### LEA: 1304 - WOODLAWN SCHOOL DISTRICT

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 1305 - CLEVELAND COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2012/13 school year)**

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.00%	Y

### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	95.83%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	96.55%	Y

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	15.22%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	39.29%	N

#### Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	1.06% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Υ
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	5.14	5.77	

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	89.13%	Y

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	3.26%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements		sitive So	tive Social -Emotional ls*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **						
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	100.00%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

## LEA: 1305 - CLEVELAND COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00	100.00%	Y

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35	20.00%	Y

В.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		52.19	49.04	80.00%	Y

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	80.00%	Y

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

<sup>\*</sup>Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 1402 - MAGNOLIA SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.88%	Y

#### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	97.86%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	96.18%	Y

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	22.63%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	35.76%	N

#### Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

## A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	-0.16% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	5.14	5.77	Y

## Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	33.82%	N

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	16.00%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

## Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	36.25%		42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements					B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	84.87%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

## Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

## Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of oo days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

## **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

#### LEA: 1402 - MAGNOLIA SCHOOL DISTRICT

## **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00	75.00%	N

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

18.17 13.35 — N/A	A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

## State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

<sup>\*</sup>Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

## Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 1408 - EMERSON-TAYLOR-BRADLEY SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	75.00%	N

## **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	3.70%	N

### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	96.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	93.75%	N

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	35.42%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	35.00%	N

#### LEA: 1408 - EMERSON-TAYLOR-BRADLEY SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	5.14	5.77	Y

## Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	72.29%	Y

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	4.82%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	1.20%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

## Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements		A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	86.67%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

## Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

## Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of oo days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

## **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

#### LEA: 1408 - EMERSON-TAYLOR-BRADLEY SCHOOL DISTRICT

## **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student o meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsec education or training program; or	ondary 1	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some employment within one year of lea high school.		54.64	59.36		N/A

## State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

<sup>\*</sup>Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

## Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 1503 - NEMO VISTA SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	80.00%	N

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.00%	Y

## Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	47.50%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	64.44%	Y

## Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	-0.24% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

## Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	45.31%	N

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	7.81%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

## Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	36.25%		42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements									Appropriate Behaviors t Their Needs			
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	85.71%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

## Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

## Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of oo days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

## **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

#### LEA: 1503 - NEMO VISTA SCHOOL DISTRICT

## **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, neasurable, annual IEP goals and transition ervices that will reasonably enable the student o meet the post-secondary goals.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsec education or training program; or	ondary 1	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some employment within one year of lea high school.		54.64	59.36		N/A

## State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

## Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 1505 - WONDERVIEW SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State LEA Target Rate		Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.00%	Y

## Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	Y

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	33.33%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	44.44%	Y

#### Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	-0.55% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

## Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instructio in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)				
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	50.00%	N				

## B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	2.86%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

## Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

## Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	36.25%		42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements		Positive Social -Emotional Skills*  B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **  C) Use of Appropriate to Meet Their Need										
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	100.00%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

## Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

## Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of oo days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

## **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

#### LEA: 1505 - WONDERVIEW SCHOOL DISTRICT

## **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

## State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

<sup>\*</sup>Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

## Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 1507 - SO. CONWAY CO. SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	2.78%	N

## Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	98.25%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	20.79%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	28.57%	N

## Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	0.28% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

## Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	65.93%	Y

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	8.41%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

## Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

## **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements		A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	97.35%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

## Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

## Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of oo days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

## **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

#### LEA: 1507 - SO. CONWAY CO. SCHOOL DISTRICT

## **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00	100.00%	Y

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	ondary 1	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some employment within one year of lea high school.		54.64	59.36		N/A

## State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

## Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 1520 - ARCH FORD EDUCATION SERVICE CO-OP

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%		N/A

## **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%		N/A

#### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	N/A	17.16%	1.59%	N/A
Literacy	N/A		9.92%	N/A
Mathematics	N/A		3.17%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%		N/A
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%		N/A

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%		N/A
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%		N/A

## Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	1	N/A

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	N/A
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

## Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%		N/A

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	-	N/A

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%		N/A

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	3.32%	N

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	2.75%	Y

## **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%	5.31%	8.25%	14.01%	5.56%	4.11%
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%	4.83%	34.93%	17.39%	19.80%	3.62%
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.		10.87%	42.97%	50.00%	39.80%	12.32%
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%	78.99%	11.68%	18.60%	33.08%	79.95%

Summary Statements	1 '	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%	74.71%	N	88.20%	89.98%	82.79%	N	89.05%	90.71%	79.52%	N
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%	89.86%	Y	54.65%	57.17%	68.60%	Y	72.87%	75.95%	92.27%	Y

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%	98.58%	Y
	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%		N/A

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

## Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	N/A

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

## Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	N/A

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	1	N/A
of oo days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%		N/A

## **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

#### LEA: 1520 - ARCH FORD EDUCATION SERVICE CO-OP

## **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and p with an IEP that includes coordinated, neasurable, annual IEP goals and transition ervices that will reasonably enable the student o meet the post-secondary goals.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	1	N/A

## State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	Y
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	N/A

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

## Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 1601 - BAY SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.00%	Y

### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	31.11%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	47.92%	Y

## Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	-0.40% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Υ
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	5.14	5.77	

## Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	41.77%	N

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	10.13%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

## Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

## **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements		A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	96.61%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

## Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

## Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

## **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

## **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

В.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	1	N/A

## State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

## ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

## 2013/14 School Year Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 1602 - WESTSIDE CONS. SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	60.00%	N

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.00%	Y

### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	97.76%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	97.26%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	25.95%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	39.44%	N

## Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	-0.20% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development	5.14	5. <i>7</i> 7	Y
and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	5.14	3.77	1

## Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	47.53%	N

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	14.20%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	6.17%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

## Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

#### **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		Knowledge and Skills Appropriate (Including early language/ Behaviors to		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%		
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%		
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%		
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%		
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%		

Summary Statements		A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*  B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **  C) Use of Appropriate to Meet Their Need			, -							
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	96.83%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

#### Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

#### Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of ou days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

#### **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

#### LEA: 1602 - WESTSIDE CONS. SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00	100.00%	Y

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

#### State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

## ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

#### 2013/14 School Year Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 1603 - BROOKLAND SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	87.50%	Y

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	3.08%	N

#### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	97.60%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	52.50%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	57.38%	Y

#### Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	-0.24% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	5.14	5.77	Y

#### Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	52.09%	N

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	14.88%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.47%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

#### Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		Knowledge and Skills Appropriate (Including early language/ Behaviors to		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%		
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%		
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%		
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	36.25%		42.97%		39.80%		
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%		

Summary Statements		sitive So 11s*	cial -Emotional B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills ** C) Use of Appropriate Behavior to Meet Their Needs			1 ' -			1 ' <del>-</del> 1 '			
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	97.02%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

#### Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

#### Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

#### **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

#### LEA: 1603 - BROOKLAND SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35	25.00%	Y

В.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		52.19	49.04	75.00%	Y

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	75.00%	Y

#### State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

### Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 1605 - BUFFALO IS. CENTRAL SCH. DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	90.91%	Y

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	1.59%	Y

#### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	98.31%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	36.36%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	41.38%	Y

#### Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	-0.15% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

#### Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	32.69%	N

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	3.85%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

#### **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	Emotional (Including	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)  B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)  C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Nee		Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors		
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	92.68%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

#### Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

#### Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Υ
	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

#### **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

#### LEA: 1605 - BUFFALO IS. CENTRAL SCH. DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsec education or training program; or	ondary 1	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some employment within one year of lea high school.		54.64	59.36		N/A

#### State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

### Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 1608 - JONESBORO SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	80.49%	N

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	1.09%	Y

#### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	97.95%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	24.94%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	36.43%	N

#### Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	0.31% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Υ
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	5.14	5.77	

#### Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	38.79%	N

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	14.01%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.44%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

#### **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		Ils Knowledge and Skills Appropriate ial (Including early language/ Behaviors to		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%		
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%		
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%		
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%		
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%		

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			, ,					C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs			
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	91.41%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

#### Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

#### Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

#### **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

#### LEA: 1608 - JONESBORO SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35	15.09%	Y

В.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		52.19	49.04	43.40%	N

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	43.40%	N

#### State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

<sup>\*</sup>Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

### Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 1611 - NETTLETON SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	1.02%	Y

#### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	99.65%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	25.65%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	36.04%	N

#### Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	0.65% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

#### Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	42.06%	N

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	18.79%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.45%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility		State	LEA	Target Met
		Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

#### **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements		Positive Social -Emotional Skills*										
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	90.54%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

#### Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

#### Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

#### **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

#### LEA: 1611 - NETTLETON SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35	16.00%	Y

Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04	64.00%	Y

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	68.00%	Y

#### State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

### Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 1612 - VALLEY VIEW SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	1.47%	Y

#### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	Y
Literacy	Y		9.92%	Y
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	Y

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	99.24%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	50.38%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	54.62%	Y

#### Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	-0.09% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	5.14	5.77	Y

#### Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	68.72%	Y

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	13.99%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

#### **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		Knowledge and Skills Appropriate (Including early language/ Behaviors to		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%		
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%		
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%		
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	36.25%		42.97%		39.80%		
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%		

Summary Statements		Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	97.30%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

#### Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

#### Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

#### **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

#### LEA: 1612 - VALLEY VIEW SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsec education or training program; or	ondary 1	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some employment within one year of lea high school.		54.64	59.36		N/A

#### State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

## ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

### Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 1613 - RIVERSIDE SCHOOL DISTRICT (CRAIGHEAD)

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	77.78%	N

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	1.59%	Y

#### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	25.35%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	29.73%	N

#### LEA: 1613 - RIVERSIDE SCHOOL DISTRICT (CRAIGHEAD)

#### Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	0.55% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	5.14	5.77	Y

#### Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	48.03%	N

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	9.45%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.79%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

#### LEA: 1613 - RIVERSIDE SCHOOL DISTRICT (CRAIGHEAD)

#### **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements		sitive So	ve Social -Emotional			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **						
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	95.70%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

#### Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

#### Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

#### **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

#### LEA: 1613 - RIVERSIDE SCHOOL DISTRICT (CRAIGHEAD)

#### **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

В.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		52.19	49.04		N/A

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

#### State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

<sup>\*</sup>Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

## ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

#### 2013/14 School Year Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 1701 - ALMA SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	85.71%	Y

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	3.07%	N

#### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	98.72%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	97.21%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	41.13%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	50.41%	Y

#### Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	0.10% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	5.14	5.77	Y

#### Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	75.98%	Y

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	11.27%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

#### Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs		
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%		
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%		
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%		
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%		
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%		

Summary Statements	,				B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs				
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	97.18%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

#### Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

#### Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
or oo days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

#### **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00	100.00%	Y

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

В.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsec education or training program; or	ondary 1	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some employment within one year of lea high school.		54.64	59.36		N/A

#### State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

### ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

## Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 1702 - CEDARVILLE SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.00%	Y

#### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	Y
Literacy	Y		9.92%	Y
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	Y

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	97.06%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	17.91%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	31.82%	N

#### Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	-0.38% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	5.14	5.77	Y

#### Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	46.61%	N

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	20.34%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.85%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met	
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)	
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A	

#### Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	36.25%		42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	92.11%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

#### Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

#### Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of oo days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

#### LEA: 1702 - CEDARVILLE SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00	100.00%	Y

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	1	N/A

#### State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review periods.	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

### Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 1703 - MOUNTAINBURG SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.00%	Y

#### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	96.77%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	96.72%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	38.33%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	38.98%	N

#### Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>≤</u> 1.36	-0.50% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

#### Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	46.90%	N

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	20.35%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met	
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)	
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A	

#### Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	100.00%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

#### Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

#### Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Υ
of 60 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

#### LEA: 1703 - MOUNTAINBURG SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

#### State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review periods.	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

<sup>\*</sup>Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 1704 - MULBERRY SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2012/13 school year)**

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	10.71%	N

#### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	31.25%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	24.24%	N

#### Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	-0.68% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development			
and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

#### Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	61.82%	Y

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	3.64%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met	
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)	
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A	

#### **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs		
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%		
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%		
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%		
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	36.25%		42.97%		39.80%		
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%		

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	100.00%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

#### Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

#### Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

#### **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsec education or training program; or	ondary 1	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some employment within one year of lea high school.		54.64	59.36		N/A

#### State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review periods.	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

### Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 1705 - VAN BUREN SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	76.09%	N

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	2.01%	Y

#### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	97.25%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	95.35%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	25.21%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	36.92%	N

#### Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	0.33% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	5.14	5.77	Y

#### Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	65.11%	Y

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	18.65%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.32%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	0.00%	N

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	8.89%	Y

#### **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%	4.35%	1.77%	4.35%
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	4.35%
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%	8.70%	34.93%	17.39%	19.80%	4.35%
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	36.25%	30.43%	42.97%	13.04%	39.80%	17.39%
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%	60.87%	11.68%	65.22%	33.08%	69.57%

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%	100.00%	Y	88.20%	89.98%	87.50%	N	89.05%	90.71%	71.43%	N
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%	91.30%	Y	54.65%	57.17%	78.26%	Y	72.87%	75.95%	86.96%	Y

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%	95.92%	Y
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	96.57%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

#### Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

#### Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Υ
of oo days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	100.00%	Υ
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

#### **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

#### State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review periods.	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	Y
	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

#### 2013/14 School Year Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 1802 - EARLE SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	91.67%	Y

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.00%	Y

#### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	96.23%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	12.00%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	25.49%	N

#### Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

#### Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	32.63%	N

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	12.63%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	2.11%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met	
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)	
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A	

#### Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	36.25%		42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	96.97%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

#### Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

#### Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of to days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

#### **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsec education or training program; or	ondary 1	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some employment within one year of lea high school.		54.64	59.36		N/A

#### State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review periods.	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

### Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 1803 - WEST MEMPHIS SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	60.29%	N

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	4.53%	N

#### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	96.57%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	96.49%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	37.28%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	37.54%	N

#### Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	0.83% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

#### Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	15.27%	N

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	17.04%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.64%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met	
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)	
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A	

#### **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs		
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%		
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%		
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%		
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%		
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%		

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	95.61%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

#### Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

#### Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of 60 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

#### LEA: 1803 - WEST MEMPHIS SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

#### State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

<sup>\*</sup>Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

### Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 1804 - MARION SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	78.13%	N

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	2.05%	Y

#### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	97.60%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	95.48%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	25.26%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	29.05%	N

#### Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	-0.52% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	5.14	5.77	Y

#### Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	30.38%	N

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	28.57%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.60%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met	
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)	
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A	

#### **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	Emotional Skills Knowled (Including social (Including		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	91.55%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

#### Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

#### Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State State Rate Target		Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y	

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00	100.00%	Y

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	1	N/A

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

<sup>\*</sup>Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 1901 - CROSS COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2012/13 school year)**

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	l by I	
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	80.00%	N

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.00%	Y

#### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	24.39%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	42.55%	Y

#### Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	1.48% pts	N

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	5.14	5.77	Y

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	71.26%	Y

### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	10.34%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	36.25%		42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements		sitive So 11s*	cial -En	notional		B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs			
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	95.24%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Υ
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

#### LEA: 1901 - CROSS COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 1905 - WYNNE SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	80.95%	N

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	2.15%	Y

### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	97.06%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	96.05%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	34.85%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	45.66%	Y

# Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	1.41% pts	N

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	5.14	5.77	Y

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	48.63%	N

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
	13.39%	12.99%	21.04%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	1.09%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

# A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	onal Skills Knowledge and Sk ding social (Including early la		Skills Appropriate anguage/ Behaviors to		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements		Cositive Social -Emotional kills*  B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **  C) Use of Appropriate Behavior to Meet Their Needs			, <u>-</u>							
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	96.14%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 2002 - FORDYCE SCHOOL DISTRICT

### Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.00%	Y

### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	95.35%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	5.88%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	9.76%	N

# Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

# A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>≤</u> 1.36	1.24% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	22.02%	N

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	7.14%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	55.36%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	36.25%		42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements		Positive Social -Emotional B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **  C) Use of Appropriate to Meet Their Needs										
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	78.72%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35	0.00%	N

B. Percent of youth en education or compe within one year of le	titively employed	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		52.19	49.04	20.00%	N

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	20.00%	N

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

<sup>\*</sup>Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 2104 - DUMAS SCHOOL DISTRICT

### Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	66.67%	N

### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	1.67%	Y

### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	94.94%	N
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	20.00%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	25.33%	N

# Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	0.01% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

, <del></del>	0 00 70 0-			- 3
Percent of CWD with	State	State	LEA	Target Met
IEPs receiving instruction	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
in the regular class 80%				
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	42.14%	N

### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	8.57%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program		State	LEA	Target Met
		Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	Emotional (Including	Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social (Including ear communicatio		Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements		sitive So	cial -En	notional	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills				C) Use of Appropriate Behavior to Meet Their Needs			
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	81.48%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

#### LEA: 2104 - DUMAS SCHOOL DISTRICT

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 2105 - MCGEHEE SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	50.00%	N

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	3.03%	N

### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	18.06%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	28.74%	N

#### Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

# A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	5.14	5.77	Y

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	54.36%	Y

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	5.37%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program		State	LEA	Target Met
		Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements		Positive Social -Emotional B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **  C) Use of Appropriate Beh to Meet Their Needs										
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	84.62%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	1	N/A

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

<sup>\*</sup>Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 2202 - DREW CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	75.00%	N

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.00%	Y

### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Υ		9.92%	Y
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	97.14%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	45.59%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	48.53%	Y

#### Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	5.14	5.77	Y

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	48.21%	N

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	5.36%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements		Positive Social -Emotional B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **  C) Use of Appropriate Beh to Meet Their Needs										
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	100.00%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

#### LEA: 2202 - DREW CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

<sup>\*</sup>Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 2203 - MONTICELLO SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2012/13 school year)**

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	94.12%	Y

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	1.37%	Y

#### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	98.90%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	98.94%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	37.78%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	37.63%	N

# Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>≤</u> 1.36	-0.52% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	43.75%	N

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	11.36%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	1.70%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	Emotional (Including	positive Social - motional Skills ncluding social elationships)  B) Acquisition and Us Knowledge and Ski (Including early lar communication and		Skills language/	Skills Appropriate anguage/ Behaviors to	
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements		sitive So 11s*	cial -En	notional		B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **						
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	84.00%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

#### LEA: 2203 - MONTICELLO SCHOOL DISTRICT

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review periods.	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

### LEA: 2220 - SOUTHEAST ARKANSAS EDUCATIONAL SERVICE CO-OP

### Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%		N/A

### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%		N/A

### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	N/A	17.16%	1.59%	N/A
Literacy	N/A		9.92%	N/A
Mathematics	N/A		3.17%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%		N/A
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%		N/A

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%		N/A
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%		N/A

### LEA: 2220 - SOUTHEAST ARKANSAS EDUCATIONAL SERVICE CO-OP

### Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

# A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	-	N/A

### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	5.14	5.77	N/A

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%		N/A

### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	-	N/A

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%		N/A

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	0.00%	N

### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility		State	LEA	Target Met
		Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	0.00%	Y

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ Behaviors to		ls Appropriate guage/ Behaviors to	
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate		
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%			
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%	3.39%	8.25%	3.81%	5.56%	4.24%		
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%	7.63%	34.93%	14.41%	19.80%	4.24%		
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.		45.76%	42.97%	64.41%	39.80%	48.73%		
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%	43.22%	11.68%	17.37%	33.08%	42.80%		

		A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*  B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **  C) Use of Appropriate to Meet Their Need			,			, -				
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%	94.03%	Y	88.20%	89.98%	95.38%	Y	89.05%	90.71%	92.59%	Y
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%	88.98%	Y	54.65%	57.17%	81.78%	Y	72.87%	75.95%	91.53%	Y

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%	94.64%	Y
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%		N/A

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	N/A

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	N/A

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	1	N/A
	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

### LEA: 2220 - SOUTHEAST ARKANSAS EDUCATIONAL SERVICE CO-OP

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	1	N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	Y
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	N/A

<sup>\*</sup>Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

### LEA: 2301 - CONWAY SCHOOL DISTRICT

### Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
nigh school with a egular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	86.36%	Y

### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	3.33%	N

### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	99.84%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	98.67%	Y

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	43.56%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	53.97%	Y

## Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	-0.23% pts	Y

### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

## Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	58.21%	Y

### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	15.97%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.82%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate pecial education class, separate school or residential facility		State	LEA	Target Met
		Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	36.25%		42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements		sitive So	cial -En	Emotional B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills ** C) Use of Appropriate B to Meet Their Needs			' <del>-</del>					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	93.90%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	99.32%	N
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	99.32%	N

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsec education or training program; or	ondary 1	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some employment within one year of lea high school.		54.64	59.36		N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

### LEA: 2303 - GREENBRIER SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	1.37%	Y

### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	98.80%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	98.87%	Y

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	36.97%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	52.57%	Y

## Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	-0.03% pts	Y

### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	5.14	5.77	Y

## Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	58.60%	Y

### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	10.83%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	1.27%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	Emotional (Including	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ Behaviors to		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate		
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%			
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%			
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	36.25%		42.97%		39.80%			
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%			

Summary Statements		sitive So 11s*							, -			
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	97.39%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Υ
of ou days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

### LEA: 2303 - GREENBRIER SCHOOL DISTRICT

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

<sup>\*</sup>Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

### LEA: 2304 - GUY-PERKINS SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	13.33%	N

### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	90.91%	N
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	91.67%	N

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	40.00%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	45.45%	Y

## Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	-0.82% pts	Y

### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	5.14	5.77	Y

## Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	73.91%	Y

### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	7.25%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	2.90%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		Knowledge and Skills Appropriate (Including early language/ Behaviors to		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%		
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%		
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%		
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	36.25%		42.97%		39.80%		
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%		

Summary Statements		) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	100.00%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of 60 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

### LEA: 2304 - GUY-PERKINS SCHOOL DISTRICT

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsec education or training program; or	ondary 1	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some employment within one year of lea high school.		54.64	59.36		N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

### LEA: 2305 - MAYFLOWER SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	66.67%	N

### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	3.77%	N

# Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	98.65%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	98.59%	Y

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	17.81%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	30.00%	N

### Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

### Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	57.25%	Y

### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	11.45%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	1.53%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		nd Skills Appropriate rly language/ Behaviors to	
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements	· ′	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*  B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **  C) Use of Appropriate to Meet Their Need			' <del>-</del>							
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	88.89%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of oo days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

### LEA: 2305 - MAYFLOWER SCHOOL DISTRICT

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

### LEA: 2306 - MT. VERNON/ENOLA SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	66.67%	N

### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.00%	Y

### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	94.12%	N
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	94.74%	N

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	21.88%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	36.11%	N

### LEA: 2306 - MT. VERNON/ENOLA SCHOOL DISTRICT

### Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	-0.23% pts	Y

### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

### Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	56.36%	Y

### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	10.91%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

## Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements		) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	93.55%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of 60 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# LEA: 2306 - MT. VERNON/ENOLA SCHOOL DISTRICT

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsec education or training program; or	ondary 1	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some employment within one year of lea high school.		54.64	59.36		N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

<sup>\*</sup>Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

# 2013/14 School Year Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 2307 - VILONIA SCHOOL DISTRICT

### Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	84.00%	N

### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	2.44%	Y

### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	99.62%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	97.99%	Y

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	50.94%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	55.82%	Y

## Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	0.14% pts	Y

### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

## Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	58.71%	Y

### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	15.15%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.21%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	(Including	itive Social - b) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills communication and early literacy)  B) Acquisition and Use of Appropriate Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Need		Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements		sitive Social -Emotional ills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	96.91%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of 60 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35	29.03%	Y

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04	74.19%	Y

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postse education or training program; or	condary Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in som employment within one year of le high school.		59.36	74.19%	Y

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

<sup>\*</sup>Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

### LEA: 2402 - CHARLESTON SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	100.00%	Y	

### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	2.50%	Y

# Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	18.37%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	50.00%	Y

# Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	-0.13% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	5.14	5.77	Y

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

11) 111 0110 110801111 211100 00 70 01 111010 01 0110 0111								
Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)				
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	45.68%	N				

## B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	12.35%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements		·			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	100.00%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of oo days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# LEA: 2402 - CHARLESTON SCHOOL DISTRICT

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 2403 - COUNTY LINE SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	80.00%	N

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.00%	Y

### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	33.33%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	45.45%	Y

# Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	5.14	5. <i>77</i>	Y

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

,								
Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)				
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	60.00%	Y				

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	3.33%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	3.33%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

# Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%	<b>–</b> –	2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements	· ′	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*  B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **  C) Use of Appropriate to Meet Their N			′ <del>-</del>							
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	97.50%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Υ
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

#### LEA: 2403 - COUNTY LINE SCHOOL DISTRICT

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

<sup>\*</sup>Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 2404 - OZARK SCHOOL DISTRICT (FRANKLIN)

#### Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	80.00%	N

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.00%	Y

### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	94.90%	N
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	94.50%	N

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	38.71%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	46.60%	Y

#### LEA: 2404 - OZARK SCHOOL DISTRICT (FRANKLIN)

#### Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

## A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	1.00% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

## Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	60.11%	Y

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	5.06%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	7.87%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive Se Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literac		C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements		Positive Social -Emotional Skills*  B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **  C) Use of Appropriate I to Meet Their Needs			, -							
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	94.34%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of oo days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# LEA: 2404 - OZARK SCHOOL DISTRICT (FRANKLIN)

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

В.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		52.19	49.04		N/A

C.	C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		54.64	59.36	-	N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review periods.	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

<sup>\*</sup>Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 2420 - WESTERN ARKANSAS CO-OP

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%		N/A

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%		N/A

# Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	N/A	17.16%	1.59%	N/A
Literacy	N/A		9.92%	N/A
Mathematics	N/A		3.17%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%		N/A
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%		N/A

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%		N/A
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%		N/A

# Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	-	N/A

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	N/A
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%		N/A

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	-	N/A

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%		N/A

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	3.54%	N

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	0.00%	Y

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%	7.64%	2.17%	8.28%	1.77%	5.73%
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%	7.64%	8.25%	5.73%	5.56%	2.55%
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%	33.12%	34.93%	29.30%	19.80%	29.94%
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.		31.85%	42.97%	31.85%	39.80%	28.66%
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%	19.75%	11.68%	24.84%	33.08%	33.12%

Summary Statements	1 '	Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%	80.95%	N	88.20%	89.98%	81.36%	N	89.05%	90.71%	87.62%	N
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%	51.59%	N	54.65%	57.17%	56.69%	N	72.87%	75.95%	61.78%	N

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%	98.26%	Y
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%		N/A

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	N/A

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	N/A

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%		N/A
of ou days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	98.90%	N
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	ondary 1	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some employment within one year of lea high school.		54.64	59.36		N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	Y
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	N/A

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 2501 - MAMMOTH SPRING SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State LEA Target Rate		Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.00%	Y

# Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	Y
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	95.83%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	95.45%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	43.48%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	57.14%	Y

#### Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

# A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

## Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	65.00%	Y

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	10.00%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements		Positive Social -Emotional B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **  C) Use of Appropriate Be to Meet Their Needs										
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	98.48%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of oo days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

#### LEA: 2501 - MAMMOTH SPRING SCHOOL DISTRICT

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35	20.00%	Y

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04	60.00%	Y

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	60.00%	Y

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 2502 - SALEM SCHOOL DISTRICT

## Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.00%	Y

#### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	Y
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	47.83%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	50.00%	Y

# Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	-0.30% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	5.14	5.77	Y

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	74.44%	Y

## B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	0.00%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	1.11%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements		Positive Social -Emotional Skills*  B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **  C) Use of Appropriate B to Meet Their Needs			, <del>-</del>							
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	94.12%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
ervices that will reasonably enable the student o meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsec education or training program; or	ondary 1	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some employment within one year of lea high school.		54.64	59.36		N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 2503 - VIOLA SCHOOL DISTRICT

## Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.00%	Y

# Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	96.88%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	97.14%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	45.16%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	61.76%	Y

# Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

## Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	61.90%	Y

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	1.59%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	1.59%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	eds	
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements	· ′	,			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	92.73%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Υ
of oo days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 2601 - CUTTER-MORNING STAR SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	80.00%	N

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	2.94%	N

#### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	91.84%	N
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	92.86%	N

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	26.67%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	26.92%	N

#### Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development	5.14	5. <i>7</i> 7	Y
and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	5.14	3.77	1

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	58.44%	Y

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	0.00%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	3.90%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

# Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ Behaviors to	
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements		Positive Social -Emotional Skills*  B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **  C) Use of Appropriate E to Meet Their Needs			, -							
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	100.00%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of 60 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

#### LEA: 2601 - CUTTER-MORNING STAR SCHOOL DISTRICT

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00	100.00%	Y

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	1	N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

<sup>\*</sup>Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 2602 - FOUNTAIN LAKE SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	90.00%	Y

## **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	1.41%	Y

#### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	Y
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	97.62%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	96.94%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	50.00%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	54.74%	Y

#### Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

# A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	-0.27% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	63.70%	Y

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	10.96%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	1.37%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

ercent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate pecial education class, separate school or residential facility		State	LEA	Target Met
		Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

	Emotional (Including	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		Knowledge and Skills Appropriate (Including early language/ Behaviors to		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate		
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%			
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%			
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%			
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%			

Summary Statements		A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	87.50%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of ou days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

#### LEA: 2602 - FOUNTAIN LAKE SCHOOL DISTRICT

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35	7.69%	N

B. Percent of youth en education or compe within one year of l	O	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		52.19	49.04	61.54%	Y

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	61.54%	Y

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

<sup>\*</sup>Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 2603 - HOT SPRINGS SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2012/13 school year)**

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	54.29%	N

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	5.88%	N

# Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	99.65%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	29.28%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	35.09%	N

# Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	-0.26% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Υ
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	5.14	5.77	

## Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	54.80%	Y

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	10.60%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	2.60%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		Skills Knowledge and Skills social (Including early language/		C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate		
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%			
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%			
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%			
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%			

Summary Statements		) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	88.48%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of oo days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00	100.00%	Y

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

18.17 13.35 — N/A	A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

# 2013/14 School Year Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 2604 - JESSIEVILLE SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2012/13 school year)**

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	83.33%	N

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	1.92%	Y

#### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	20.00%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	32.26%	N

# Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

## Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	72.53%	Y

## B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	2.20%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	3.30%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility		State	LEA	Target Met
		Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

		tional Skills Knowledge and Skills Approuding social (Including early language) Behav		Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/		Knowledge and Skills Appropriate (Including early language/ Behaviors to		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate		
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%			
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%			
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%			
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%			

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			cial -Emotional B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			, -			of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	87.88%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	95.24%	N
of ou days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	95.24%	N

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# LEA: 2604 - JESSIEVILLE SCHOOL DISTRICT

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

<sup>\*</sup>Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 2605 - LAKE HAMILTON SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2012/13 school year)**

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	90.00%	Y

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	2.72%	Y

### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	92.91%	N
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	97.09%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	35.34%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	40.45%	Y

# Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	-0.18% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	5.14	5.77	Y

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	46.41%	N

## B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	17.04%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	2.24%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills Knowledge a social (Including ea		B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		Knowledge and Skills  (Including early language/  Behaviors to		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate		
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%			
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%			
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%			
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%			

Summary Statements		A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*  B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **  C) Use of Appropriate to Meet Their Needs			, -							
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	95.10%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of 60 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

#### LEA: 2605 - LAKE HAMILTON SCHOOL DISTRICT

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

<sup>\*</sup>Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 2606 - LAKESIDE SCHOOL DISTRICT (GARLAND)

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	82.35%	N

# **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	2.19%	Y

## Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	98.89%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	97.34%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	39.33%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	52.46%	Y

#### LEA: 2606 - LAKESIDE SCHOOL DISTRICT (GARLAND)

#### Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

# A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	0.23% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Υ
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	5.14	5.77	

## Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	44.76%	N

## B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	11.11%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.95%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

	A) Positive Se Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		Knowledge and Skills Appropriate (Including early language/ Behaviors to		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%		
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%		
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%		
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%		
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%		

Summary Statements		Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	91.67%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	98.18%	N
of ou days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	98.18%	N

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# LEA: 2606 - LAKESIDE SCHOOL DISTRICT (GARLAND)

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	1	N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

<sup>\*</sup>Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 2607 - MOUNTAIN PINE SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	00.00/		100.00%	Y

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	3.13%	N

### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	Y
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	86.00%	N
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	89.58%	N

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	27.91%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	32.56%	N

# Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	-0.20% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	76.04%	Y

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	3.13%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	1.04%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		Knowledge and Skills Appropriate (Including early language/Behaviors to		Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate			
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%				
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%				
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%				
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%				

Summary Statements		sitive So 11s*	ive Social -Emotional  B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **  C) Use of Appropriate Behavior to Meet Their Needs		' <del>-</del>							
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	97.06%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of ou days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

#### LEA: 2607 - MOUNTAIN PINE SCHOOL DISTRICT

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

<sup>\*</sup>Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 2703 - POYEN SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	75.00%	N

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	3.85%	N

# Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	97.67%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	97.62%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	11.90%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	31.71%	N

# Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	71.83%	Y

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	11.27%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	1.41%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs	
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	36.25%		42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements	,				B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs				
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	93.48%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of oo days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35	0.00%	N

В.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		52.19	49.04	0.00%	N

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	0.00%	N

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review periods.	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

<sup>\*</sup>Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 2705 - SHERIDAN SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	86.11%	Y

# **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	1.38%	Y

#### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	99.49%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	99.54%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	27.18%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	49.54%	Y

# Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

# A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	0.04% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

### Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	59.31%	Y

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	14.89%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.74%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met	
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)	
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A	

# Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	36.25%		42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	88.97%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
or or days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

<sup>\*</sup>Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 2803 - MARMADUKE SCHOOL DISTRICT

### Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	72.73%	N

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	2.44%	Y

### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	98.46%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	95.71%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	23.44%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	31.34%	N

# Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	0.86% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

### Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	63.64%	Y

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	10.91%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met	
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)	
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A	

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs		
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%		
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%		
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%		
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%		
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%		

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	96.88%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
or oo days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

#### LEA: 2803 - MARMADUKE SCHOOL DISTRICT

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C.	C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		54.64	59.36	-	N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 2807 - GREENE COUNTY TECH SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	88.46%	Y

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	2.34%	Y

## Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	98.49%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	32.79%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	45.87%	Y

#### Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	-0.03% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	35.93%	N

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	13.12%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.38%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	82.54%	Y

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met	
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)	
	28.56	29.80	6.35%	Y	

# Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%	2.70%	2.17%		1.77%	5.41%
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%	2.70%	8.25%	2.70%	5.56%	2.70%
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%	5.41%	34.93%	18.92%	19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	36.25%	24.32%	42.97%	35.14%	39.80%	5.41%
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%	64.86%	11.68%	43.24%	33.08%	86.49%

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%	84.62%	N	88.20%	89.98%	95.24%	Y	89.05%	90.71%	40.00%	N
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%	89.19%	Y	54.65%	57.17%	78.38%	Y	72.87%	75.95%	91.89%	Y

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%	100.00%	Y
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	90.28%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

#### LEA: 2807 - GREENE COUNTY TECH SCHOOL DISTRICT

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00	100.00%	Y

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	ondary 1	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some employment within one year of lea high school.		54.64	59.36		N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	Y
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

<sup>\*</sup>Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 2808 - PARAGOULD SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	84.00%	N

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.78%	Y

#### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	99.03%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	97.58%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	24.51%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	37.13%	N

# Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	0.08% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	58.84%	Y

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	5.80%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	1.10%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	68.63%	Y

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met	
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)	
	28.56	29.80	0.00%	Y	

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	Emotional (Including	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)  B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds	
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%	9.68%	8.25%	3.23%	5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%	19.35%	34.93%	25.81%	19.80%	9.68%
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.		25.81%	42.97%	48.39%	39.80%	6.45%
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%	45.16%	11.68%	22.58%	33.08%	83.87%

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%	82.35%	N	88.20%	89.98%	95.83%	Y	89.05%	90.71%	100.00%	Y
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%	70.97%	Y	54.65%	57.17%	70.97%	Y	72.87%	75.95%	90.32%	Y

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%	100.00%	Y
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	94.95%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

#### LEA: 2808 - PARAGOULD SCHOOL DISTRICT

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35	18.18%	Y

В.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		52.19	49.04	40.91%	N

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	40.91%	N

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review periods.	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	Y
	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

<sup>\*</sup>Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 2901 - BLEVINS SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	60.00%	N

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.00%	Y

### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	87.80%	N
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	88.37%	N

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	30.56%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	39.47%	N

# Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	0.66% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

### Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	55.38%	Y

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	23.08%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met	
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)	
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A	

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	100.00%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Υ
	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student o meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review periods.	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 2903 - HOPE SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	83.33%	N

### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.97%	Y

## Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	98.68%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	15.23%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	16.00%	N

# Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	-0.73% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

### Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	86.41%	Y

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	5.23%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	2.09%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

# Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met	
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)	
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A	

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	88.57%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of 60 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00	100.00%	Y

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	1	N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review periods.	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 2906 - SPRING HILL SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.00%	Y

#### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	Y
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	89.47%	N
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	90.91%	N

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	47.06%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	35.00%	N

#### Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	77.14%	Y

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	14.29%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	5.71%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met	
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)	
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A	

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	100.00%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by	
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)	
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y	

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Υ
	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# LEA: 2906 - SPRING HILL SCHOOL DISTRICT

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

# **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsec education or training program; or	ondary 1	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some employment within one year of lea high school.		54.64	59.36		N/A

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 2920 - SOUTHWEST ARKANSAS EDUCATIONAL CO-OP

# **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%		N/A

# Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	N/A	17.16%	1.59%	N/A
Literacy	N/A		9.92%	N/A
Mathematics	N/A		3.17%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%		N/A
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	1	N/A

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%		N/A
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%		N/A

# Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

# A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	-	N/A

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	5.14	5.77	N/A

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%		N/A

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	-	N/A

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%		N/A

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

# Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

# A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program		State	LEA	Target Met
		Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	6.29%	N

# B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	31.74%	N

# Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%	1.59%	2.17%	0.53%	1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%	2.12%	5.56%	0.53%
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%	12.17%	34.93%	31.75%	19.80%	11.11%
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.		53.97%	42.97%	54.50%	39.80%	63.49%
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%	32.28%	11.68%	11.11%	33.08%	24.87%

Summary Statements		sitive So lls*	cial -En	notional	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs				
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%	97.66%	Y	88.20%	89.98%	97.02%	Y	89.05%	90.71%	99.30%	Y
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%	86.24%	Y	54.65%	57.17%	65.61%	Y	72.87%	75.95%	88.36%	Y

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%	99.63%	Y
	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%		N/A

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	N/A

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	N/A

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

# **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	1	N/A
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	100.00%	Υ
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# LEA: 2920 - SOUTHWEST ARKANSAS EDUCATIONAL CO-OP

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

# **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsec education or training program; or	ondary 1	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some employment within one year of lea high school.		54.64	59.36		N/A

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	Y
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	N/A

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 3001 - BISMARCK SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	80.00%	N

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	2.17%	Y

# Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	Y
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	33.33%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	35.00%	N

# Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

# A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	-0.22% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Υ
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	5.14	5.77	

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	41.67%	N

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	12.50%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	2.50%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

# Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

# A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program		State	LEA	Target Met
		Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

# B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements		sitive So	cial -En	notional	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **							
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	96.49%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

# **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

# **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

<sup>\*</sup>Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 3002 - GLEN ROSE SCHOOL DISTRICT

# **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	1.61%	Y

# Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	95.95%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	24.69%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	36.62%	N

# Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	41.78%	N

# B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	10.96%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

# Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

# A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

# B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	36.25%		42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements		A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs				
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	95.00%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

# **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

# **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

<sup>\*</sup>Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 3003 - MAGNET COVE SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.00%	Y

# Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	84.62%	N
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	85.71%	N

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	22.73%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	41.67%	Y

# Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

# A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	66.67%	Y

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	20.51%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	2.56%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

# Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

# A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

# B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements		Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs				
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	0.00%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

# **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	100.00%	Υ
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# LEA: 3003 - MAGNET COVE SCHOOL DISTRICT

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00	100.00%	Y

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

# **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35	0.00%	N

В.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		52.19	49.04	0.00%	N

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	0.00%	N

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 3004 - MALVERN SCHOOL DISTRICT

# **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	82.35%	N

# **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.97%	Y

# Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	94.33%	N
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	93.33%	N

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	23.31%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	18.83%	N

# Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

# A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	0.07% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	62.00%	Y

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	15.67%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	1.33%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

# Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

# A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

# B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements		sitive So	cial -En	notional	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				C) Use of Appropriate Behavior to Meet Their Needs			
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	85.99%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

# **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

# **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 3005 - OUACHITA SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	66.67%	N

# **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.00%	Y

# Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	Y
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	92.86%	N
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	93.75%	N

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	23.08%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	33.33%	N

# Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	67.50%	Y

# B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	5.00%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

# Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

# A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

# B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements		sitive So	cial -En	notional	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **							
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	89.29%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

# **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

# **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	1	N/A

District submitted	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
required data elements		Target	LEA (I/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 3102 - DIERKS SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	66.67%	N

# **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.00%	Y

# Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	17.65%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	28.13%	N

# Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

# A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	50.00%	N

# B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	3.23%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

# Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

# A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

# B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements		sitive So 11s*	cial -En	notional	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			1 '				
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	100.00%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

# **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# LEA: 3102 - DIERKS SCHOOL DISTRICT

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

# **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

В.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	1	N/A

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review periods.	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

<sup>\*</sup>Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

### LEA: 3104 - MINERAL SPRINGS SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	83.33%	N

### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.00%	Y

### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	97.37%	Y

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	2.94%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	29.73%	N

### Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	77.61%	Y

### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	8.96%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility		State	LEA	Target Met
		Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	Emotional (Including	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		Knowledge and Skills  (Including early language/  Behaviors to		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate		
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%			
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%			
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%			
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%			

Summary Statements		A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*							of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	88.46%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Υ
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

### LEA: 3104 - MINERAL SPRINGS SCHOOL DISTRICT

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

В.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

### LEA: 3105 - NASHVILLE SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	85.71%	Y

### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	1.09%	Y

### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	98.39%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	95.31%	Y

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	22.13%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	31.97%	N

# Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	72.69%	Y

### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	7.98%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.42%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		Knowledge and Skills  (Including early language/  Behaviors to		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%	<b>–</b> –	2.17%		1.77%		
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%		
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%		
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%		
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%		

Summary Statements		Positive Social -Emotional B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **  C) Use of Approption to Meet Their N			, <del>-</del>							
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	90.59%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of ou days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

### LEA: 3105 - NASHVILLE SCHOOL DISTRICT

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

### LEA: 3201 - BATESVILLE SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	77.27%	N

### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	3.97%	N

## Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	97.27%	Y

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	37.87%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	42.13%	Y

### Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	-0.08% pts	Y

### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	5.14	5.77	Y

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	55.98%	Y

### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	7.87%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	2.33%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	0.00%	N

### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility		State	LEA	Target Met
		Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	20.83%	Y

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%	5.49%	2.17%	4.40%	1.77%	1.10%
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%	1.10%	8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%	9.89%	34.93%	13.19%	19.80%	9.89%
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.		42.86%	42.97%	46.15%	39.80%	59.34%
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%	40.66%	11.68%	36.26%	33.08%	29.67%

Summary Statements	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		•			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behavior to Meet Their Needs			
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%	88.89%	N	88.20%	89.98%	93.10%	Y	89.05%	90.71%	98.44%	Y
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%	83.52%	Y	54.65%	57.17%	82.42%	Y	72.87%	75.95%	89.01%	Y

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%	92.50%	Y
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	85.05%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	100.00%	Υ
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

### LEA: 3201 - BATESVILLE SCHOOL DISTRICT

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00	100.00%	Y

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	Y
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

<sup>\*</sup>Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

### LEA: 3209 - SOUTHSIDE SCHOOL DISTRICT (INDEPENDENCE)

### Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	93.33%	Y

### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.00%	Y

## Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	98.81%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	97.65%	Y

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	38.55%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	49.40%	Y

### LEA: 3209 - SOUTHSIDE SCHOOL DISTRICT (INDEPENDENCE)

### Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	0.51% pts	Y

### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	5.14	5.77	Y

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	48.70%	N

### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	8.81%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	1.04%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	0.00%	N

### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility		State	LEA	Target Met
		Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	33.90%	N

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		Knowledge and Skills Appropriate (Including early language/ Behaviors to		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%		
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%		
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%	3.33%	34.93%	86.67%	19.80%	10.00%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.		10.00%	42.97%	13.33%	39.80%	13.33%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%	86.67%	11.68%		33.08%	76.67%	

Summary Statements		Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%	100.00%	Y	88.20%	89.98%	100.00%	Y	89.05%	90.71%	100.00%	Y
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%	96.67%	Y	54.65%	57.17%	13.33%	N	72.87%	75.95%	90.00%	Y

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%	87.10%	N
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	95.40%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of oo days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	100.00%	Υ
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# LEA: 3209 - SOUTHSIDE SCHOOL DISTRICT (INDEPENDENCE)

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	1	N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	Y
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

### LEA: 3211 - MIDLAND SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	80.00%	N

### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.00%	Y

## Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	97.37%	Y

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	41.94%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	48.65%	Y

### Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

# A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

### Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	40.74%	N

### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	14.81%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	1.85%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	0.00%	N

### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	0.00%	Y

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		Is Knowledge and Skills Appropriate al (Including early language/ Behaviors to		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%		
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%	6.67%	8.25%		5.56%		
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%	13.33%	19.80%		
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	36.25%	53.33%	42.97%	60.00%	39.80%	100.00%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%	40.00%	11.68%	26.67%	33.08%		

Summary Statements		A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			1 ' <del>-</del>			of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%	88.89%	N	88.20%	89.98%	100.00%	Y	89.05%	90.71%	100.00%	Y	
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%	93.33%	Y	54.65%	57.17%	86.67%	Y	72.87%	75.95%	100.00%	Y	

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%	100.00%	Y
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	100.00%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	100.00%	Υ
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	Y
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

### LEA: 3212 - CEDAR RIDGE SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.00%	Y

### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	95.38%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	95.59%	Y

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	20.97%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	44.62%	Y

# Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	-0.28% pts	Y

### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

<del>,</del>	,						
IEPs recein the re	of CWD with eiving instruction gular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)		
or more	of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	59.85%	Y		

### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	8.33%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.76%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		Knowledge and Skills Appropriate (Including early language/ Behaviors to		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%		
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%		
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%		
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%		
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%		

Summary Statements		A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*  B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **  C) Use of Appropriation to Meet Their New Control of the Interval of			, -							
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	95.51%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of 60 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

### LEA: 3212 - CEDAR RIDGE SCHOOL DISTRICT

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

### LEA: 3301 - CALICO ROCK SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma		85.00%	100.00%	Y

### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.00%	Y

### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	Y
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	95.83%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	96.00%	Y

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	30.43%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	50.00%	Y

# Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	5.14	5.77	Y

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	90.24%	Y

### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	4.88%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

# Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate pecial education class, separate school or residential facility		State	LEA	Target Met
		Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		s Appropriate uage/ Behaviors to	
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements		sitive So	cial -En	Emotional B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **  C) Use of Appropriate Bell to Meet Their Needs			· · ·					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	100.00%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

### LEA: 3301 - CALICO ROCK SCHOOL DISTRICT

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35	0.00%	N

В.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		52.19	49.04	0.00%	N

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	0.00%	N

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

<sup>\*</sup>Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 3302 - MELBOURNE SCHOOL DISTRICT

### Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	80.00%	N

### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.00%	Y

### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	Y
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	37.14%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	52.86%	Y

## Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

## A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	0.62% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

## Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	58.40%	Y

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	5.60%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

## **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	36.25%		42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements		A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	90.91%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

## Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

## Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of ou days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

## **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

#### LEA: 3302 - MELBOURNE SCHOOL DISTRICT

## **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

rcent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and with an IEP that includes coordinated, easurable, annual IEP goals and transition rvices that will reasonably enable the student	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsec education or training program; or	ondary 1	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some employment within one year of lea high school.		54.64	59.36		N/A

## State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

## Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 3306 - IZARD CO. CONS. SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.00%	Y

### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	20.93%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	38.64%	N

## Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	5.14	5.77	Y

## Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	43.21%	N

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	16.05%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	64.71%	Y

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	0.00%	Y

## Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%	22.22%	34.93%	22.22%	19.80%	11.11%
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.		55.56%	42.97%	77.78%	39.80%	55.56%
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%	22.22%	11.68%		33.08%	33.33%

Summary Statements		A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*  B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **  C) Use of Appropriate to Meet Their Needs			, <del>-</del>							
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%	100.00%	Y	88.20%	89.98%	100.00%	Y	89.05%	90.71%	100.00%	Y
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%	77.78%	Y	54.65%	57.17%	77.78%	Y	72.87%	75.95%	88.89%	Y

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%	90.00%	Y
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	100.00%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

## Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

## Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

## **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

#### LEA: 3306 - IZARD CO. CONS. SCHOOL DISTRICT

## **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
ervices that will reasonably enable the student o meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

## State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	Y
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

## Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 3320 - NORTH CENTRAL ARKANSAS EDUCATION SERVICE CENTER

## **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%		N/A

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%		N/A

### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	N/A	17.16%	1.59%	N/A
Literacy	N/A		9.92%	N/A
Mathematics	N/A		3.17%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%		N/A
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	1	N/A

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%		N/A
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%		N/A

#### LEA: 3320 - NORTH CENTRAL ARKANSAS EDUCATION SERVICE CENTER

#### Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	1	N/A

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	N/A
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	5.14	5.77	11,11

## Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%		N/A

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	-	N/A

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%		N/A

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	1.84%	N

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	13.12%	Y

## Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%	7.45%	8.25%	7.98%	5.56%	4.26%
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%	23.40%	34.93%	14.36%	19.80%	16.49%
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	36.25%	53.72%	42.97%	55.85%	39.80%	50.53%
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%	15.43%	11.68%	21.81%	33.08%	28.72%

Summary Statements		Positive Social -Emotional Skills*  B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **  C) Use of Appropriate Be to Meet Their Needs			, <del>-</del>							
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%	91.19%	Y	88.20%	89.98%	89.80%	N	89.05%	90.71%	94.03%	Y
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%	69.15%	Y	54.65%	57.17%	77.66%	Y	72.87%	75.95%	79.26%	Y

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%	98.08%	Y
	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%		N/A

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

## Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	N/A

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

## Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	N/A

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	1	N/A
of oo days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%		N/A

## **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

#### LEA: 3320 - NORTH CENTRAL ARKANSAS EDUCATION SERVICE CENTER

## **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, neasurable, annual IEP goals and transition ervices that will reasonably enable the student o meet the post-secondary goals.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

## State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	Y
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	N/A

<sup>\*</sup>Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

## Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 3403 - NEWPORT SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	75.00%	N

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.00%	Y

### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	97.67%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	33.06%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	44.44%	Y

## Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	1.13% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	5.14	5.77	Y

## Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	69.57%	Y

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	13.48%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.43%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	100.00%	Y

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	0.00%	Y

## Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	5.88%
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%	35.29%	34.93%	41.18%	19.80%	2.94%
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	36.25%	50.00%	42.97%	44.12%	39.80%	64.71%
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%	14.71%	11.68%	14.71%	33.08%	26.47%

Summary A) Statements					B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%	100.00%	Y	88.20%	89.98%	100.00%	Y	89.05%	90.71%	92.00%	Y
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%	64.71%	N	54.65%	57.17%	58.82%	Y	72.87%	75.95%	91.18%	Y

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%	100.00%	Y
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	90.91%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

## Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

## Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of oo days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	100.00%	Υ
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

## **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

## **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

## State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	Y
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

## Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 3405 - JACKSON CO. SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	5.56%	N

## Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	96.67%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	16.67%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	39.66%	N

## Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

## Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	62.24%	Y

## B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	9.18%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	2.04%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

## **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements		) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*  B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **  C) Use of Appropriate to Meet Their New York (Control of the Internal New York (Control										
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	93.22%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

## Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

## Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

## **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

## LEA: 3405 - JACKSON CO. SCHOOL DISTRICT

## **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

## State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

## Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 3502 - DOLLARWAY SCHOOL DISTRICT

## Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	72.73%	N

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	3.39%	N

## Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	86.11%	N
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	87.14%	N

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	14.52%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	14.75%	N

## Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

## A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	-0.06% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

## Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	39.34%	N

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	4.92%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	5.74%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

## **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements		A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	96.77%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

## Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

## Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

## **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

#### LEA: 3502 - DOLLARWAY SCHOOL DISTRICT

## **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00	100.00%	Y

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35	27.27%	Y

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04	45.45%	N

C.	C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		54.64	59.36	45.45%	N

## State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

<sup>\*</sup>Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

## Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 3505 - PINE BLUFF SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	84.44%	N

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	2.98%	N

### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	97.31%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	94.79%	N

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	22.84%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	27.49%	N

## Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>≤</u> 1.36	-0.36% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Υ
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	5.14	5.77	

## Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	14.18%	N

## B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	24.71%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	5.36%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

## **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	36.25%		42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotio Skills*			Positive Social -Emotional B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			, -			of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	92.33%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

## Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

## Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of oo days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

## **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

## **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

В.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	1	N/A

## State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

<sup>\*</sup>Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

## Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 3509 - WATSON CHAPEL SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	85.71%	Y

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	2.31%	Y

#### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	94.70%	N
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	92.40%	N

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	13.29%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	34.18%	N

## Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	1.34% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development	5.14	5. <i>7</i> 7	Y
and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	5.14	3.77	1

## Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	18.89%	N

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	7.78%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	5.56%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

## Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

	(Including	bitive Social - otional Skills Cluding social ationships)  B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)  C) Use App Beh Communication and early literacy)		Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements	· ′	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	95.47%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

#### LEA: 3509 - WATSON CHAPEL SCHOOL DISTRICT

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsec education or training program; or	ondary 1	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some employment within one year of lea high school.		54.64	59.36		N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 3510 - WHITE HALL SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma			62.50%	N

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.91%	Y

#### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	99.40%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	46.11%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	45.78%	Y

#### Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	0.11% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	5.14	5.77	Y

#### Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	51.90%	N

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	6.57%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	1.73%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate pecial education class, separate school or residential facility		State	LEA	Target Met
		Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%	<b>–</b> –	2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements	· ′	sitive So 11s*	Social -Emotional B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **  C) Use of Appropriate Be to Meet Their Needs			, -						
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	92.86%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	100.00%	Υ
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00	100.00%	Y

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

educat	t of youth enrolled in higher ion or competitively employed one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		52.19	49.04		N/A

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

<sup>\*</sup>Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 3520 - ARKANSAS RIVER EDUCATION SERVICE CO-OP

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%		N/A

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%		N/A

#### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)		% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	N/A	17.16%	1.59%	N/A
Literacy	N/A		9.92%	N/A
Mathematics	N/A		3.17%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%		N/A
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%		N/A

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%		N/A
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%		N/A

#### Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

# A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	-	N/A

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	N/A
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	5.14	5.77	11,11

### Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%		N/A

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	-	N/A

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%		N/A

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	0.29%	N

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	0.29%	Y

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		Is Knowledge and Skills al (Including early language/		C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate		
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%	6.71%	2.17%	1.34%	1.77%	3.36%		
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%	15.44%	8.25%	12.75%	5.56%	9.40%		
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%	16.78%	34.93%	38.93%	19.80%	10.07%		
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	36.25%	49.66%	42.97%	41.61%	39.80%	53.69%		
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%	11.41%	11.68%	5.37%	33.08%	23.49%		

Summary Statements	· ′	ositive Social -Emotional kills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%	75.00%	N	88.20%	89.98%	85.11%	N	89.05%	90.71%	83.33%	N
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%	61.07%	N	54.65%	57.17%	46.98%	N	72.87%	75.95%	77.18%	Y

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%	92.24%	Y
	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%		N/A

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	N/A

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	N/A

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	1	N/A
of ou days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	100.00%	Υ
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

#### LEA: 3520 - ARKANSAS RIVER EDUCATION SERVICE CO-OP

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	Y
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	N/A

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 3541 - PINE BLUFF LIGHTHOUSE CHARTER SCHOOL

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma		85.00%		N/A

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%		N/A

#### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	21.74%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	13.04%	N

#### Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

# A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	5.14	5.77	Y

### Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	45.16%	N

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	0.00%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

# Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ Behaviors to		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%		
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%		
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%		
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%		
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%		

Summary Statements					B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	100.00%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%		N/A
of oo days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

#### LEA: 3541 - PINE BLUFF LIGHTHOUSE CHARTER SCHOOL

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	1	N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

<sup>\*</sup>Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 3542 - QUEST MIDDLE SCHOOL OF PINE BLUFF

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%		N/A

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%		N/A

#### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)		% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	N/A	17.16%	1.59%	N/A
Literacy	N/A		9.92%	N/A
Mathematics	N/A		3.17%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	-1.00%	N/A
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%		N/A

#### Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	1	N/A

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	N/A
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

#### Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	50.00%	N

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	0.00%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		vledge and Skills Appropriate uding early language/ Behaviors to	
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	36.25%		42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements		Positive Social -Emotional Skills*  B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **  C) Use of Appropriate E to Meet Their Needs			, -							
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	0.00%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	N/A

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	N/A

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of 60 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# LEA: 3542 - QUEST MIDDLE SCHOOL OF PINE BLUFF

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	1	N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

<sup>\*</sup>Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 3599 - AR DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTION

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%		N/A

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	8.33%	N

#### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	N/A	17.16%	1.59%	N/A
Literacy	N/A		9.92%	N/A
Mathematics	N/A		3.17%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%		N/A
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%		N/A

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%		N/A
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%		N/A

### Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	-	N/A

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	N/A
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	5.14	5.77	11,11

#### Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%		N/A

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%		N/A

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%		N/A

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ Behaviors to	
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements				, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			, <del>-</del>					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%		N/A

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	N/A

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	N/A

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	1	N/A
of 60 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

<sup>\*</sup>Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 3601 - CLARKSVILLE SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	91.67%	Y

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	2.20%	Y

#### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	96.63%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	95.45%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	31.98%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	36.90%	N

### Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>≤</u> 1.36	-0.04% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

#### Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	51.41%	N

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	16.20%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.35%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		Knowledge and Skills Appropriate (Including early language/ Behaviors to		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%		
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%		
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%		
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%		
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%		

Summary Statements		ositive Social -Emotional cills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	100.00%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	82.76%	N
of 60 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	82.76%	N

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

#### LEA: 3601 - CLARKSVILLE SCHOOL DISTRICT

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 3604 - LAMAR SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.00%	Y

#### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	95.88%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	27.27%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	35.48%	N

### Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

# A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	0.27% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

#### Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	41.94%	N

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	18.06%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	1.29%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs		
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%		
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%		
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%		
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%		
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%		

Summary Statements	,				B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs				
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	92.19%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Υ
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	l l		LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C.	C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		54.64	59.36	-	N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

<sup>\*</sup>Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 3606 - WESTSIDE SCHOOL DISTRICT (JOHNSON)

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.00%	Y

# Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	95.45%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	96.77%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	25.40%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	28.33%	N

#### LEA: 3606 - WESTSIDE SCHOOL DISTRICT (JOHNSON)

#### Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

# A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	-0.55% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

## Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	39.36%	N

## B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	1.06%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	2.13%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met	
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)	
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A	

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	100.00%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of oo days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# LEA: 3606 - WESTSIDE SCHOOL DISTRICT (JOHNSON)

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35	0.00%	N

В.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		52.19	49.04	66.67%	Y

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	75.00%	Y

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review periods.	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

<sup>\*</sup>Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 3704 - LAFAYETTE COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	62.50%	N

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.00%	Y

# Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	Y
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	20.83%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	40.00%	N

#### Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	-1.55% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

## Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target		Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	79.25%	Y

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	16.98%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	1.89%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

# Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met	
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)	
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A	

# Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	100.00%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
02 00 444) 5.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

#### LEA: 3704 - LAFAYETTE COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

18.17 13.35 — N/A	A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

<sup>\*</sup>Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 3804 - HOXIE SCHOOL DISTRICT

## Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	70.00%	N

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	6.00%	N

### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	98.33%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	98.51%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	38.98%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	42.42%	Y

# Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	0.57% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	50.00%	N

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	8.04%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.89%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

# Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met	
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)	
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A	

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	92.08%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	ondary 1	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some employment within one year of lea high school.		54.64	59.36		N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 3806 - SLOAN-HENDRIX SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	87.50%	Y

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	2.04%	Y

#### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	97.06%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	91.11%	N

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	21.21%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	41.46%	Y

#### Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	-0.18% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	50.00%	N

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	4.88%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	2.44%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met	
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)	
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A	

# Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	91.94%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

#### LEA: 3806 - SLOAN-HENDRIX SCHOOL DISTRICT

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
ervices that will reasonably enable the student o meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 3809 - HILLCREST SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	0.00%	N

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	3.57%	N

### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	94.59%	N
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	90.00%	N

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	17.14%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	36.11%	N

# Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Υ
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	5.14	5.77	

## Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target		Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	39.68%	N

## B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	1.59%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

# Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met	
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)	
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A	

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	Emotional Skills Know (Including social (Incl		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State LEA Rate Rate		State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	87.04%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%		N/A
or oo days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%		N/A

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	1	N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

<sup>\*</sup>Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 3810 - LAWRENCE COUNTY

## Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	80.00%	N

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	4.69%	N

### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	95.95%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	22.06%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	30.99%	N

# Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development			
and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	60.40%	Y

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	10.07%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.67%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met	
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)	
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A	

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs	
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	36.25%		42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	94.74%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review periods.	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 3820 - NORTHEAST ARKANSAS EDUCATION SERVICE CENTER

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%		N/A

## **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%		N/A

### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)		% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	N/A	17.16%	1.59%	N/A
Literacy	N/A		9.92%	N/A
Mathematics	N/A		3.17%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%		N/A
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%		N/A

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%		N/A
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%		N/A

#### LEA: 3820 - NORTHEAST ARKANSAS EDUCATION SERVICE CENTER

#### Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

# A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	-	N/A

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	5.14	5.77	N/A

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%		N/A

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	-	N/A

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%		N/A

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	10.05%	N

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	0.00%	Y

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%	9.64%	8.25%	26.51%	5.56%	7.23%
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%	9.64%	34.93%	31.33%	19.80%	6.02%
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.		19.28%	42.97%	24.10%	39.80%	15.66%
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%	61.45%	11.68%	18.07%	33.08%	71.08%

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%	75.00%	N	88.20%	89.98%	67.65%	N	89.05%	90.71%	75.00%	N
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%	80.72%	Y	54.65%	57.17%	42.17%	N	72.87%	75.95%	86.75%	Y

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%	96.20%	Y
	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%		N/A

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by	
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)	
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	N/A	

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by	
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)	
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	N/A	

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

## **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	1	N/A
	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%		N/A

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

#### LEA: 3820 - NORTHEAST ARKANSAS EDUCATION SERVICE CENTER

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

# **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

В.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	Y
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	N/A

<sup>\*</sup>Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 3840 - IMBODEN CHARTER SCHOOL DIST

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%		N

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.00%	Y

# Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	83.33%	N
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	83.33%	N

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	20.00%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	0.00%	N

# Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	100.00%	Y

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	0.00%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

# Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

# A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

# B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%	<b>–</b> –	2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements		A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs				
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	84.62%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

# **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# LEA: 3840 - IMBODEN CHARTER SCHOOL DIST

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

# **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

18.17 13.35 — N/A	A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		18.17	13.35		N/A

В.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsec education or training program; or	ondary 1	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some employment within one year of lea high school.		54.64	59.36		N/A

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

<sup>\*</sup>Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 3904 - LEE COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	72.73%	N

# **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	1.72%	Y

# Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	12.82%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	23.53%	N

# Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

# A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	-0.71% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	48.53%	N

# B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	6.62%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.74%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

# Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

# A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

# B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/communication and early literac		C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements		sitive So 11s*	cial -En	notional	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs			
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	93.75%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

# **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# LEA: 3904 - LEE COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

# **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35	35.71%	Y

Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04	42.86%	N

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	42.86%	N

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

<sup>\*</sup>Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 4003 - STAR CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	64.29%	N

# **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.00%	Y

# Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	94.52%	N
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	96.43%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	13.04%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	20.99%	N

# Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	-0.48% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	53.38%	N

# B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	13.51%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	1.35%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

# Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

# A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

# B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements		Positive Social -Emotional B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **  C) Use of Appropriate Be to Meet Their Needs										
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	100.00%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

# **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Υ
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

# **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

<sup>\*</sup>Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 4101 - ASHDOWN SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	77.78%	N

# **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	1.61%	Y

# Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	98.44%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	95.83%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	15.87%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	24.64%	N

# Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

# A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	46.00%	N

# B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	15.33%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	1.33%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

# Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

# A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

# B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	36.25%		42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements		Positive Social -Emotional B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **  C) Use of Appropriate Behavior to Meet Their Needs			, -							
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	96.36%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

# **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

# **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 4102 - FOREMAN SCHOOL DISTRICT

# **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	60.00%	N

# **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.00%	Y

# Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	27.27%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	48.78%	Y

# Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

# A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	-0.19% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	84.93%	Y

# B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	6.85%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

# Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

# A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

# B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	36.25%		42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements		Positive Social -Emotional Skills*  B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **  C) Use of Appropriate Bell to Meet Their Needs			1 ' -							
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	100.00%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

# **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00	100.00%	Y

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

# **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

В.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsec education or training program; or	ondary 1	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some employment within one year of lea high school.		54.64	59.36		N/A

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

# 2013/14 School Year Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 4201 - BOONEVILLE SCHOOL DISTRICT

# Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	i l by	
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	87.50%	Y

# **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	4.76%	N

# Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	95.92%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	93.91%	N

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	24.47%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	29.63%	N

# Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

11) 111 1110 110 1110	0 00 70 0-			<del>- y</del>
Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	63.78%	Y

# B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	11.89%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	2.16%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

# Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

# A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

# B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	36.25%		42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements		sitive So	cial -En	notional				isition and Use of vledge and Skills **		C) Use of Appropriate to Meet Their Needs		
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	96.08%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

# **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

#### LEA: 4201 - BOONEVILLE SCHOOL DISTRICT

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

# **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsec education or training program; or	ondary 1	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some employment within one year of lea high school.		54.64	59.36		N/A

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 4202 - MAGAZINE SCHOOL DISTRICT

# Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	40.00%	N

# **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.00%	Y

# Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	98.36%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	34.00%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	38.33%	N

# Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

# A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	1.18% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	57.73%	Y

# B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	2.06%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

# Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

# A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

# B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements		Positive Social -Emotional Skills*  B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **  C) Use of Appropriate Behavior to Meet Their Needs										
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	100.00%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

# **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

# **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	ondary Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		59.36		N/A

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review periods.	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 4203 - PARIS SCHOOL DISTRICT

# Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	88.89%	Y

# **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.00%	Y

# Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	98.61%	Y

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	29.58%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	36.62%	N

# Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

# A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	48.00%	N

# B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	12.80%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	2.40%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

# Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

# A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

# B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	36.25%		42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	100.00%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

# **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

# **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35	10.00%	N

В.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		52.19	49.04	50.00%	Y

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	50.00%	N

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

### LEA: 4204 - SCRANTON SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma			100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.00%	Y

# Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	Y
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	23.81%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	36.00%	N

# Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	53.13%	N

# B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	21.88%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

# Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

# A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

# B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		Knowledge and Skills Appropriate (Including early language/ Behaviors to		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%		
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%		
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%		
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%		
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%		

Summary Statements		I ' -			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	94.12%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

# **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of oo days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# LEA: 4204 - SCRANTON SCHOOL DISTRICT

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

# **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

### LEA: 4301 - LONOKE SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	77.78%	N

### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	3.70%	N

# Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	Y
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	95.62%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	94.41%	N

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	29.77%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	28.15%	N

# Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	-0.36% pts	Y

### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	5.14	5.77	Y

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	63.59%	Y

# B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	13.85%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	2.05%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

# Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

# A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

# B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		Knowledge and Skills Appropriate (Including early language/ Behaviors to		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%		
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%		
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%		
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%		
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%		

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*  B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **  C) Use of Appropriate Beauto Meet Their Needs		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			, -						
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	100.00%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

# **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of ou days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

# **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

<sup>\*</sup>Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 4302 - ENGLAND SCHOOL DISTRICT

# Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	77.78%	N

# **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	1.64%	Y

# Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	95.38%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	93.85%	N

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	20.97%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	37.70%	N

# Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	-0.66% pts	Y

### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	46.15%	N

# B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	10.26%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.85%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

# Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

# A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

# B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility		State	LEA	Target Met
		Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	(Including	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)  B) Acquisition and Use of Appropriate Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Need		Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements		A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	100.00%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

# **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of ou days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

# **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

### LEA: 4303 - CARLISLE SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	2.56%	Y

# Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	97.50%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	97.37%	Y

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	33.33%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	40.54%	Y

# Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	53.33%	N

# B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	2.22%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

# Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

# A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

# B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	(Including	itive Social - bitional Skills cluding social ationships)  B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)  C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs		Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements		Positive Social -Emotional B Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	93.02%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

# **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of ou days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00	100.00%	Y

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

# **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

### LEA: 4304 - CABOT SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	76.71%	N

# **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	2.54%	Y

# Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	97.30%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	96.73%	Y

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	39.45%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	48.87%	Y

# Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	1.63% pts	N

### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	5.14	5.77	Y

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	51.16%	N

# B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	18.35%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.43%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

# Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

# A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

# B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		e and Skills Appropriate early language/ Behaviors to	
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements	· ′	sitive So 11s*	ye Social -Emotional B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **  C) Use of Appropriate Bell to Meet Their Needs			, <del>-</del>						
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	90.86%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

# **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Υ
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

# **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

В.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsec education or training program; or	ondary 1	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some employment within one year of lea high school.		54.64	59.36		N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 4401 - HUNTSVILLE SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	75.00%	N

### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	3.13%	N

# Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	96.24%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	95.07%	Y

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	35.16%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	54.81%	Y

# Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

# A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	40.36%	N

# B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	14.80%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	1.35%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

# Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

# A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

# B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	Emotional (Including	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		Emotional Skills Knowledge and Skills (Including social (Including early language/		Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/		Knowledge and Skills Appropriate (Including early language/Behaviors to		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate				
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%					
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%					
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%					
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	36.25%		42.97%		39.80%					
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%					

Summary Statements	· ′	Positive Social -Emotional B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills ** C) Use of Appropriate B to Meet Their Needs			, , <del>,</del> ,							
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	90.91%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

# **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# LEA: 4401 - HUNTSVILLE SCHOOL DISTRICT

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00	100.00%	Y

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

# **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

В.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

<sup>\*</sup>Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 4501 - FLIPPIN SCHOOL DISTRICT

# Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	80.00%	N

### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	4.88%	N

# Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	98.53%	Y

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	24.29%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	38.81%	N

# A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Υ
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	5.14	5.77	

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	62.39%	Y

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	16.51%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	53.33%	Y

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	0.00%	Y

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%	12.50%	34.93%	25.00%	19.80%	12.50%
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.		62.50%	42.97%	75.00%	39.80%	62.50%
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%	25.00%	11.68%		33.08%	25.00%

Summary Statements		Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%	100.00%	Y	88.20%	89.98%	100.00%	Y	89.05%	90.71%	100.00%	Y
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%	87.50%	Y	54.65%	57.17%	75.00%	Y	72.87%	75.95%	87.50%	Y

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%	0.00%	N
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	91.95%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of oo days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	100.00%	Υ
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

#### LEA: 4501 - FLIPPIN SCHOOL DISTRICT

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35	20.00%	Y

В.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		52.19	49.04	60.00%	Y

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	80.00%	Y

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	Y
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

<sup>\*</sup>Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 4502 - YELLVILLE-SUMMIT SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.00%	Y

# Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	96.30%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	96.88%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	28.85%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	37.10%	N

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development			
and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	51.89%	N

# B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	16.04%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	36.25%		42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements	· ′	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	94.17%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of oo days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

#### LEA: 4502 - YELLVILLE-SUMMIT SCHOOL DISTRICT

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

ercent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and p with an IEP that includes coordinated, neasurable, annual IEP goals and transition ervices that will reasonably enable the student o meet the post-secondary goals.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	ondary 1	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some employment within one year of lea high school.		54.64	59.36		N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 4602 - GENOA CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

# **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	4.17%	N

### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	42.42%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	61.11%	Y

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	75.68%	Y

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	6.76%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	2.70%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements		Positive Social -Emotional B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **  C) Use of Appropriate to Meet Their Need			′ <del>-</del>							
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	100.00%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%		N/A
of oo days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

#### LEA: 4602 - GENOA CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsec education or training program; or	ondary 1	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some employment within one year of lea high school.		54.64	59.36		N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

<sup>\*</sup>Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 4603 - FOUKE SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.00%	Y

# Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	38.10%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	48.94%	Y

# A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>≤</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	73.12%	Y

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	5.38%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	36.25%		42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements	· ′	Positive Social -Emotional Skills*  B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **  C) Use of Appropriate E to Meet Their Needs			′ <del>-</del>							
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	100.00%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of oo days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

# **State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\***

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review periods.	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 4605 - TEXARKANA SCHOOL DISTRICT

# Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	72.00%	N

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	3.98%	N

# Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	96.92%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	28.80%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	38.18%	N

# A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	0.14% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	53.49%	N

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	21.96%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	2.07%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	36.25%		42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements		Positive Social -Emotional Skills*  B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **  C) Use of Appropriate F to Meet Their Needs			, <del>-</del>							
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	83.95%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

#### LEA: 4605 - TEXARKANA SCHOOL DISTRICT

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
ervices that will reasonably enable the student o meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35	2.86%	N

B. Percent of youth en education or compe within one year of le	titively employed	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		52.19	49.04	20.00%	N

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	20.00%	N

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 4701 - ARMOREL SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	4.76%	N

### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	31.82%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	27.27%	N

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	-0.53% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	5.14	5.77	Y

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	72.97%	Y

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	0.00%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

# Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements		) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*  B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **  C) Use of Appropriate I to Meet Their Needs			, -							
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	92.31%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student o meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	1	N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 4702 - BLYTHEVILLE SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	86.21%	Y

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	3.11%	N

### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	96.95%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	97.87%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	14.47%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	15.22%	N

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>≤</u> 1.36	3.78% pts	N

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	39.62%	N

# B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	20.13%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.31%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	36.25%		42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*				B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			<u> </u>				
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	94.27%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of 60 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

#### LEA: 4702 - BLYTHEVILLE SCHOOL DISTRICT

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
ervices that will reasonably enable the student o meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

<sup>\*</sup>Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 4706 - SO. MISS. COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	60.00%	N

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	4.55%	N

# Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	94.29%	N
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	89.47%	N

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	25.76%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	35.29%	N

# A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	-0.98% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development	5.14	5. <i>7</i> 7	Y
and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	5.14	3.77	1

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	58.26%	Y

# B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	13.04%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	2.61%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

# Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		dge and Skills Appropriate ng early language/ Behaviors to	
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	100.00%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of oo days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

<sup>\*</sup>Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 4708 - GOSNELL SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	66.67%	N

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.00%	Y

#### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	97.47%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	97.56%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	24.68%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	43.75%	Y

## Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	4.10% pts	N

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

#### Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	53.28%	N

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	7.30%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	1.46%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		Knowledge and Skills  (Including early language/  Behaviors to		ills Knowledge and Skills Appro cial (Including early language/ Behav		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate			
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%				
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%				
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%				
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%				

Summary Statements	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			1 '								
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	93.86%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35	0.00%	N

Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04	42.86%	N

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	42.86%	N

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 4712 - MANILA SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.00%	Y

#### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	Y
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	98.70%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	34.62%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	46.05%	Y

## Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

# A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	-0.10% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

#### Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	54.42%	Y

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	7.48%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

# Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		Knowledge and Skills Appropriate (Including early language/ Behaviors to		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%		
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%		
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%		
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	36.25%		42.97%		39.80%		
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%		

Summary Statements		) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	96.08%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of 60 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsec education or training program; or	ondary 1	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some employment within one year of lea high school.		54.64	59.36		N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

<sup>\*</sup>Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 4713 - OSCEOLA SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	81.82%	N

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	1.49%	Y

#### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	98.67%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	98.51%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	22.97%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	25.76%	N

## Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	1.84% pts	N

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	5.14	5.77	Y

## Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	31.82%	N

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	17.53%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	5.19%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

# Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		Knowledge and Skills Appropriate (Including early language/ Behaviors to		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%		
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%		
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%		
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%		
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%		

Summary Statements		A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	76.19%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of 60 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00	100.00%	Y

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 4801 - BRINKLEY SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	50.00%	N

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	4.08%	N

#### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	97.30%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	92.31%	N

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	16.67%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	36.11%	N

## Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	-0.59% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

## Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	35.06%	N

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	2.60%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	6.49%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

	Emotional (Including	Emotional Skills (Including social		B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		Knowledge and Skills Appropriate (Including early language/ Behaviors to		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate		
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%			
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%			
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%			
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%			

Summary Statements		Positive Social -Emotional Bkills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	97.83%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of 60 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsec education or training program; or	ondary 1	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some employment within one year of lea high school.		54.64	59.36		N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 4802 - CLARENDON SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	2.70%	Y

#### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	97.87%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	96.08%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	10.87%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	14.29%	N

#### Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	-0.85% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

#### Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	32.14%	N

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	2.38%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	3.57%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements		A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*  B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **  C) Use of App to Meet The			, , <del>,</del> ,				opriate Behaviors ir Needs			
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	100.00%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of 60 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

#### LEA: 4802 - CLARENDON SCHOOL DISTRICT

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

18.17 13.35 — N/A	A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsec education or training program; or	ondary 1	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some employment within one year of lea high school.		54.64	59.36		N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 4901 - CADDO HILLS SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	50.00%	N

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	2.86%	N

#### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	95.83%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	96.88%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	17.39%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	48.39%	Y

## Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	-0.60% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

#### Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	78.38%	Y

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	1.35%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	2.70%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		Knowledge and Skills Appropriate (Including early language/ Behaviors to		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%		
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%		
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%		
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	36.25%		42.97%		39.80%		
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%		

Summary Statements		A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*  B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **  C) Use of Appropriate to Meet Their Need			, -							
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	97.83%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of ou days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

#### LEA: 4901 - CADDO HILLS SCHOOL DISTRICT

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	1	N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 4902 - MOUNT IDA SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.00%	Y

#### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	Y
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	51.61%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	37.50%	N

## Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

# A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	-0.22% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

#### Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	80.00%	Y

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	4.62%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	4.62%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

# Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs	
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	36.25%		42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements	,				B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs				
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	100.00%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35	66.67%	Y

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04	100.00%	Y

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondar education or training program; or		State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some othe employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	100.00%	Y

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review periods.	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 5006 - PRESCOTT SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.00%	Y

### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	96.36%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	98.25%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	20.75%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	25.00%	N

# Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	0.77% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

if the regular class of 70 or more or the day											
Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)							
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	53.10%	N							

# B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	9.73%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	1.77%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

# Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met	
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)	
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A	

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	0.00%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of oo days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student oneet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	1	N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review periods.	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 5008 - NEVADA SCHOOL DISTRICT

# Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.00%	Y

### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	21.74%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	19.05%	N

# Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development			
and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	71.11%	Y

# B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	11.11%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	2.22%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met	
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)	
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A	

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State LEA Rate Rate		State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	100.00%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of oo days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36		N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review periods.	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

<sup>\*</sup>Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 5102 - JASPER SCHOOL DISTRICT

# Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	77.78%	N

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.00%	Y

# Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	26.79%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	43.10%	Y

# Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	-0.13% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	68.75%	Y

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	3.57%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	2.68%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met	
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)	
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A	

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	98.91%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of oo days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# LEA: 5102 - JASPER SCHOOL DISTRICT

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00	100.00%	Y

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review periods.	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 5106 - DEER/MT. JUDEA SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.00%	Y

#### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	20.59%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	20.00%	N

#### Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	-0.66% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Υ
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	5.14	5.77	

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	80.65%	Y

# B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	0.00%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	3.23%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	Emotional Skills (Including social		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State LEA Rate Rate		State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	86.89%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# LEA: 5106 - DEER/MT. JUDEA SCHOOL DISTRICT

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00	100.00%	Y

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review periods.	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

<sup>\*</sup>Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 5201 - BEARDEN SCHOOL DISTRICT

# Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.00%	Y

### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	26.67%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	34.48%	N

#### Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	5.14	5.77	Y

#### Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

11) 111 1110 1108011111 011100 00 70 01 111010 01 0110 0111											
Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction	State Rate	State	LEA	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)							
	Kate	Target	Rate	by LEA (1/N)							
in the regular class 80%											
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	66.67%	Y							

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	16.67%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met	
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)	
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A	

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)  B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds		
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	97.56%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of 60 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

В.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsec education or training program; or	ondary 1	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some employment within one year of lea high school.		54.64	59.36		N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review periods.	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 5204 - CAMDEN FAIRVIEW SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	91.67%	Y

# **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	3.06%	N

#### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	96.03%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	96.18%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	32.23%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	35.71%	N

#### Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	-0.73% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	5.14	5.77	Y

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

11) In the regular class 60 70 of more of the day										
Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)						
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	44.86%	N						

# B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	20.58%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met	
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)	
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A	

# Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	36.25%		42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	88.31%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# LEA: 5204 - CAMDEN FAIRVIEW SCHOOL DISTRICT

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00	100.00%	Y

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsec education or training program; or	ondary 1	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some employment within one year of lea high school.		54.64	59.36		N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 5205 - HARMONY GROVE SCHOOL DISTRICT (OUACHITA)

# **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	88.89%	Y

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	2.27%	Y

### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	29.09%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	19.30%	N

# LEA: 5205 - HARMONY GROVE SCHOOL DISTRICT (OUACHITA)

#### Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	-0.32% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Υ
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	5.14	5.77	

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	88.61%	Y

# B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	2.53%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

# Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met	
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)	
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A	

#### LEA: 5205 - HARMONY GROVE SCHOOL DISTRICT (OUACHITA)

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State LEA Rate Rate		State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	36.25%		42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	96.10%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by	
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)	
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y	

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by	
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)	
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y	

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

#### LEA: 5205 - HARMONY GROVE SCHOOL DISTRICT (OUACHITA)

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00	100.00%	Y

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	1	N/A

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

<sup>\*</sup>Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 5206 - STEPHENS SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	25.00%	N

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	9.09%	N

### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	0.00%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	20.00%	N

# Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	87.50%	Y

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	4.17%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program		State	LEA	Target Met
		Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%	-2.00%	2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements		Positive Social -Emotional B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills ** C) Use of Appropriate B to Meet Their Needs										
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	93.75%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%		N/A
	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35	50.00%	Y

educati	of youth enrolled in higher on or competitively employed one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		52.19	49.04	50.00%	Y

C. Percent of youth enrolled education or in some oth education or training pro	ner postsecondary ogram; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed employment within one high school.		54.64	59.36	50.00%	N

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 5220 - SOUTH CENTRAL SERVICE CO-OP

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%		N/A

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%		N/A

### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	N/A	17.16%	1.59%	N/A
Literacy	N/A		9.92%	N/A
Mathematics	N/A		3.17%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%		N/A
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	-	N/A

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%		N/A
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%		N/A

# Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	1	N/A

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	N/A
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%		N/A

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	-	N/A

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%		N/A

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

# Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	3.73%	N

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	0.00%	Y

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	al Skills  Knowledge and Skills  In g social  (Including early language/		Skills language/	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%	7.14%	8.25%	3.57%	5.56%	5.00%
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%	15.00%	34.93%	50.71%	19.80%	22.86%
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.		35.00%	42.97%	42.86%	39.80%	28.57%
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%	42.86%	11.68%	2.86%	33.08%	43.57%

Summary Statements		ositive Social -Emotional B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **  C) Use of Appropriate Be to Meet Their Needs			, <del>-</del>							
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%	87.50%	N	88.20%	89.98%	96.32%	Y	89.05%	90.71%	91.14%	Y
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%	77.86%	Y	54.65%	57.17%	45.71%	N	72.87%	75.95%	72.14%	N

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%	85.47%	N
	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%		N/A

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	N/A

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	N/A

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%		N/A
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsec education or training program; or	ondary 1	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some employment within one year of lea high school.		54.64	59.36		N/A

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	Y
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	N/A

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 5301 - EAST END SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	71.43%	N

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	7.55%	N

# Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	94.34%	N
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	89.66%	N

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	32.00%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	42.31%	Y

#### Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>≤</u> 1.36	0.53% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

## Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	54.72%	Y

## B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	16.04%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.94%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements		sitive So	cial -En	notional	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				C) Use of Appropriate Behavior to Meet Their Needs			
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	84.62%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

18.17 13.35 — N/A	A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

<sup>\*</sup>Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 5303 - PERRYVILLE SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	75.00%	N	

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	1.16%	Y

# Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	98.89%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	99.04%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	37.08%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	42.72%	Y

# Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

# A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

## Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	63.89%	Y

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	9.44%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	1.11%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	onal Skills Knowledge and Sk ling social (Including early la		Skills Appropriate anguage/ Behaviors to		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	95.45%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

В.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsec education or training program; or	ondary 1	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some employment within one year of lea high school.		54.64	59.36		N/A

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 5401 - BARTON-LEXA SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	2.00%	Y

#### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	98.11%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	96.61%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	21.15%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	19.30%	N

# Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	3.88% pts	N

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	23.60%	N

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	12.36%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	1.12%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

# Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%	<b>–</b> –	2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements		sitive So 11s*	cial -En	notional	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills *				C) Use of Appropriate Behavior to Meet Their Needs			
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	100.00%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

#### LEA: 5401 - BARTON-LEXA SCHOOL DISTRICT

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 5403 - HELENA/ W.HELENA SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	76.47%	N

# **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	14.95%	N

#### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	26.19%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	23.91%	N

#### Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

## A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	-0.75% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	5.14	5.77	Y

## Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	46.84%	N

## B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	20.25%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

# Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%	<b>–</b> –	2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements		sitive So 11s*	cial -En	notional		B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs			
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	90.36%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# LEA: 5403 - HELENA/ W.HELENA SCHOOL DISTRICT

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

educat	t of youth enrolled in higher ion or competitively employed one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

<sup>\*</sup>Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 5404 - MARVELL SCHOOL DISTRICT

## Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

## **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.00%	Y

#### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	Y
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	98.15%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	96.00%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	26.42%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	45.83%	Y

# Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	3.94% pts	N

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

## Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	5.33%	N

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	1.33%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	2.67%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

# Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive S Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements		sitive So 11s*	cial -En	notional	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs				
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	95.35%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	ondary Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		59.36		N/A

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review periods.	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 5420 - GREAT RIVERS CO-OP

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%		N/A

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%		N/A

### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	N/A	17.16%	1.59%	N/A
Literacy	N/A		9.92%	N/A
Mathematics	N/A		3.17%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%		N/A
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	-	N/A

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%		N/A
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%		N/A

## Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36		N/A

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	N/A
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	5.14	5.77	11,11

## Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%		N/A

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	-	N/A

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%		N/A

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	70.93%	Y

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility		State	LEA	Target Met
		Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	0.00%	Y

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		kills Knowledge and Skills Appro ocial (Including early language/ Behavi		motional Skills ncluding social  Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/		Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ Behaviors to	
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate				
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%	4.35%	2.17%	3.04%	1.77%	2.61%				
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%	1.30%	8.25%	2.17%	5.56%	0.87%				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%	6.09%	34.93%	23.04%	19.80%	3.91%				
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.		42.61%	42.97%	54.78%	39.80%	38.70%				
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%	45.65%	11.68%	16.96%	33.08%	53.91%				

Summary Statements		) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%	89.60%	Y	88.20%	89.98%	93.72%	Y	89.05%	90.71%	92.45%	Y
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%	88.26%	Y	54.65%	57.17%	71.74%	Y	72.87%	75.95%	92.61%	Y

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%	88.84%	N
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%		N/A

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	N/A

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	N/A

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	1	N/A
	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	Y
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	N/A

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 5440 - KIPP: DELTA COLLEGE PREP SCHOOL

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.00%	Y

### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	25.45%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	35.38%	N

#### Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>≤</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

/						
Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)		
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	76.00%	Y		

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	8.00%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive Se Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements		A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **  C) Use of Appropriate F to Meet Their Needs			1 / -			' <del>-</del>		
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A	
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A	

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	100.00%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35	33.33%	Y

$\epsilon$	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		52.19	49.04	33.33%	N

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	66.67%	Y

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

<sup>\*</sup>Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 5502 - CENTERPOINT SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	83.33%	N

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	2.33%	Y

#### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	30.19%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	44.00%	Y

## Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

# A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

## Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	50.00%	N

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	6.86%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	1.96%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		ills Knowledge and Skills Appropriate rial (Including early language/ Behaviors to		Kills Knowledge and Skills (Including early langua		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate			
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%				
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%				
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	36.25%		42.97%		39.80%				
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%				

Summary Statements		ositive Social -Emotional Knowledge and Skills **  B) Acquisition and Use of to Meet Their Needs to Meet Their Needs			, <del>-</del>							
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	100.00%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of ou days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

#### LEA: 5502 - CENTERPOINT SCHOOL DISTRICT

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	1	N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 5503 - KIRBY SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	80.38% 85.00%		Y

### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.00%	Y

### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	Y
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	90.91%	N

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	25.00%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	30.00%	N

## Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

## Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	76.00%	Y

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	4.00%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements  A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*  B) Acquisition and Us Knowledge and Sk												
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	100.00%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Υ
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35	40.00%	Y

В.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		52.19	49.04	60.00%	Y

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	80.00%	Y

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

<sup>\*</sup>Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 5504 - SOUTH PIKE COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	50.00%	N

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.00%	Y

### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	98.28%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	96.67%	Y

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	24.56%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	41.38%	Y

#### Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	1.04% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	5.14	5.77	Y

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

11) 111 0110 1108011111 211100 00 70 01 111010 01 0110							
Percent of CWD with	State	State	LEA	Target Met			
IEPs receiving instruction	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)			
in the regular class 80%							
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	80.19%	Y			

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	5.66%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

## Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

ercent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate secial education class, separate school or residential facility		State	LEA	Target Met
		Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		Ils Appropriate guage/ Behaviors to	
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%	<b>–</b> –	2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements	· ′	sitive So 11s*				, -						
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	89.74%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Υ
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

ercent of children referred by Part C prior to ge 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented y their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
by their third birthday.	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

#### LEA: 5504 - SOUTH PIKE COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

В.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		52.19	49.04		N/A

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

<sup>\*</sup>Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 5602 - HARRISBURG SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	44.44%	N

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	1.52%	Y

### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	98.26%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	96.61%	Y

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	26.55%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	43.86%	Y

## Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

# A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	-0.04% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

## Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	36.74%	N

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	12.56%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.47%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

## Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	1 Skills Knowledge and Skills Appropriate g social (Including early language/ Behaviors to		Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements		) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	94.03%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of 60 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# LEA: 5602 - HARRISBURG SCHOOL DISTRICT

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsec education or training program; or	ondary 1	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some employment within one year of lea high school.		54.64	59.36		N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 5604 - MARKED TREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.00%	Y

### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	96.30%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	94.44%	N

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	32.69%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	37.25%	N

## Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	-0.62% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

### Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	50.59%	N

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	7.06%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	2.35%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%	<b>–</b> –	2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements	· ′	sitive So 11s*	cial -En	al -Emotional  B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **  C) Use of Appropriate Bo to Meet Their Needs			, -					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	90.57%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

#### LEA: 5604 - MARKED TREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

В.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		52.19	49.04		N/A

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 5605 - TRUMANN SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	80.00%	N	

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	3.13%	N

### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	93.84%	N
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	94.61%	N

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	19.71%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	37.34%	N

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	-0.42% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	56.22%	Y

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	23.29%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.40%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%	<b>–</b> –	2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements	· ′	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*  B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **  C) Use of Appropriate to Meet Their Needs			′ <del>-</del>							
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	92.00%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

<sup>\*</sup>Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 5608 - EAST POINSETT CO. SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	90.91%	Y

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	3.45%	N

#### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	97.14%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	98.73%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	33.82%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	35.90%	N

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>≤</u> 1.36	0.42% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

### Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	78.69%	Y

### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	9.84%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements		Positive Social -Emotional B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **  C) Use of Appropriate to Meet Their Need										
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	94.37%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

#### LEA: 5608 - EAST POINSETT CO. SCHOOL DISTRICT

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	1	N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

<sup>\*</sup>Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 5620 - CROWLEY'S RIDGE EDUCATIONAL CO-OP

#### Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%		N/A

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%		N/A

## Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	N/A	17.16%	1.59%	N/A
Literacy	N/A		9.92%	N/A
Mathematics	N/A		3.17%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%		N/A
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%		N/A

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%		N/A
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%		N/A

# A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	-	N/A

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	N/A
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	5.14	5.77	11,11

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%		N/A

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	-	N/A

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%		N/A

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	88.86%	Y

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	4.52%	Y

# Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%	4.79%	2.17%	4.26%	1.77%	4.79%
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%	12.77%	8.25%	12.23%	5.56%	14.89%
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%	42.55%	34.93%	43.62%	19.80%	31.91%
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.		34.04%	42.97%	35.64%	39.80%	42.02%
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%	5.85%	11.68%	4.26%	33.08%	6.38%

Summary Statements	· ′	ositive Social -Emotional B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **  C) Use of Appropriate Bell to Meet Their Needs				, <u>-</u>						
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%	81.36%	N	88.20%	89.98%	82.78%	N	89.05%	90.71%	78.98%	N
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%	39.89%	N	54.65%	57.17%	39.89%	N	72.87%	75.95%	48.40%	N

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%	96.32%	Y
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%		N/A

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	N/A

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	N/A

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	1	N/A
of oo days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

#### LEA: 5620 - CROWLEY'S RIDGE EDUCATIONAL CO-OP

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsec education or training program; or	ondary 1	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some employment within one year of lea high school.		54.64	59.36		N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	Y
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	N/A

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 5703 - MENA SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate Target M by LEA (Y/N)	
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	69.23%	N

### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	1.23%	Y

# Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	96.20%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	95.74%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	25.00%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	42.22%	Y

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>≤</u> 1.36	-0.41% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	75.00%	Y

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	20.21%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%	<b>-</b> -	2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements					′ <del>-</del>				C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs			
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	95.38%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsec education or training program; or	ondary 1	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some employment within one year of lea high school.		54.64	59.36		N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 5706 - OUACHITA RIVER SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.00%	Y

## Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	31.43%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	48.78%	Y

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	1.04% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Υ
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	5.14	5.77	

### Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	81.58%	Y

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	2.63%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements					B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	100.00%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Υ
of oo days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

#### LEA: 5706 - OUACHITA RIVER SCHOOL DISTRICT

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, neasurable, annual IEP goals and transition ervices that will reasonably enable the student o meet the post-secondary goals.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsec education or training program; or	ondary 1	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some employment within one year of lea high school.		54.64	59.36		N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 5707 - COSSATOT RIVER SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	90.00%	Y

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	3.17%	N

### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	97.40%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	28.57%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	49.33%	Y

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	-0.20% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	56.20%	Y

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	7.30%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.73%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements		·			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	100.00%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

#### LEA: 5707 - COSSATOT RIVER SCHOOL DISTRICT

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsec education or training program; or	ondary 1	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some employment within one year of lea high school.		54.64	59.36		N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 5801 - ATKINS SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	88.89%	Y

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	1.75%	Y

#### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	35.00%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	25.00%	N

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	1.09% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development			
and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	55.15%	Y

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	9.56%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements		Positive Social -Emotional Skills*  B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **  C) Use of Appropriate to Meet Their Need										
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	85.71%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsec education or training program; or	ondary 1	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some employment within one year of lea high school.		54.64	59.36		N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 5802 - DOVER SCHOOL DISTRICT

### Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	88.24%	Y

### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.00%	Y

### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	98.68%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	97.67%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	49.33%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	50.00%	Y

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	-0.08% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development	5.14	5. <i>7</i> 7	Y
and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	5.14	3.77	1

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	69.23%	Y

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	14.79%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)			
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements	) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	96.05%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

# **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Υ
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

# **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35	6.67%	N

В.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		52.19	49.04	46.67%	N

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	46.67%	N

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

<sup>\*</sup>Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 5803 - HECTOR SCHOOL DISTRICT

# Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	60.00%	N

# **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	7.41%	N

# Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	95.00%	N

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	40.00%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	42.11%	Y

# Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

# A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	-0.19% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	5.14	5.77	Y

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	56.06%	Y

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	16.67%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

# Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

# A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

# B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		Knowledge and Skills Appropriate (Including early language/Behaviors to		Knowledge and Skills Ap (Including early language/ Bel		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate			
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%				
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%				
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%				
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%				

Summary Statements		) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	78.57%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

# **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of oo days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

# **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 5804 - POTTSVILLE SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.00%	Y

# Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	95.74%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	96.04%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	60.00%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	61.86%	Y

# Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

# A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	-0.21% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	65.97%	Y

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	12.57%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

# Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

# A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

# B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		Knowledge and Skills Appropriate (Including early language/Behaviors to		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%		
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%		
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%		
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%		
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%		

Summary Statements		) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	97.22%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

# **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of ou days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

# **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsec education or training program; or	ondary 1	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some employment within one year of lea high school.		54.64	59.36		N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

<sup>\*</sup>Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 5805 - RUSSELLVILLE SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	76.67%	N

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	1.03%	Y

# Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	99.67%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	99.38%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	41.97%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	44.03%	Y

# Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

# A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	0.05% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	5.14	5.77	Y

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	63.30%	Y

# B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	17.91%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.53%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

# Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

# A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

# B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		Knowledge and Skills Appropriate (Including early language/ Behaviors to		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%		
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%		
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%		
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%		
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%		

Summary Statements		sitive Social -Emotional lls*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	90.00%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

# **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of ou days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

# **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 5901 - DES ARC SCHOOL DISTRICT

# **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	66.67%	N

# **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	2.56%	Y

# Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	Y

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	97.92%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	43.18%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	65.96%	Y

# Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

# A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	-0.20% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Υ
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	5.14	5.77	

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	66.67%	Y

# B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	4.94%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	4.94%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

# Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

# A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

# B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility		State	LEA	Target Met
		Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		owledge and Skills  cluding early language/  Appropriate  Behaviors to	
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	90.91%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

# **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

# **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35	25.00%	Y

В.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		52.19	49.04	50.00%	Y

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	50.00%	N

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

<sup>\*</sup>Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 5903 - HAZEN SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	75.00%	N

# **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.00%	Y

#### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	96.49%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	10.91%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	18.18%	N

# Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	-0.08% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Υ
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	5.14	5.77	1

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	39.80%	N

# B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	8.16%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	3.06%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

# Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

# A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

# B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

	(Including	itive Social - bitional Skills cluding social ditionships)  B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)  C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Need		Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements	· ′	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	0.00%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

# **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of 60 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

# **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsec education or training program; or	ondary 1	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some employment within one year of lea high school.		54.64	59.36		N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

<sup>\*</sup>Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 6001 - LITTLE ROCK SCHOOL DISTRICT

# Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	72.62%	N

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	2.21%	Y

# Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	91.95%	N
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	92.51%	N

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	21.79%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	30.44%	N

# Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

# A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	-2.70% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

/						
Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)		
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	50.45%	N		

# B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	17.82%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	4.46%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

# Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

# A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	0.00%	N

# B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	0.00%	Y

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)  B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		Knowledge and Skills		Knowledge and Skills  (Including early language/  Behaviors to		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%		
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%	10.66%	8.25%	17.26%	5.56%	5.08%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%	21.32%	34.93%	31.47%	19.80%	5.58%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.		27.92%	42.97%	35.03%	39.80%	30.96%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%	40.10%	11.68%	16.24%	33.08%	58.38%	

Summary Statements	A) Pos Ski	ritive Social -Emotional lls*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%	82.20%	N	88.20%	89.98%	79.39%	N	89.05%	90.71%	87.80%	N
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%	68.02%	Y	54.65%	57.17%	51.27%	N	72.87%	75.95%	89.34%	Y

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%	95.45%	Y
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	86.67%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

# **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	98.57%	N
of oo days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	99.27%	N

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
by their third birthday.	99.77%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# LEA: 6001 - LITTLE ROCK SCHOOL DISTRICT

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

# **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35	19.50%	Y

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04	52.20%	Y

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	55.35%	N

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	Y
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

<sup>\*</sup>Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 6002 - N. LITTLE ROCK SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	51.52%	N

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	3.94%	N

# Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	97.71%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	97.52%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	23.65%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	26.75%	N

# Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

# A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	0.27% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	52.53%	N

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	14.06%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	2.76%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

# Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

# A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	5.33%	N

# B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility		State	LEA	Target Met
		Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	0.00%	Y

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs		
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%		
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%		
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%		
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	36.25%		42.97%		39.80%		
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%		

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*				B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs				
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%	88.46%	N
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	93.03%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	97.56%	N
of 60 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	100.00%	Υ
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	98.37%	N

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

#### LEA: 6002 - N. LITTLE ROCK SCHOOL DISTRICT

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00	100.00%	Y

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsec education or training program; or	ondary 1	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some employment within one year of lea high school.		54.64	59.36		N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review periods.	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	Y
	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 6003 - PULASKI CO. SPEC. SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	73.17%	N

## **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	2.56%	Y

# Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	94.94%	N
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	95.12%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	26.02%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	27.63%	N

# Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	1.19% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	47.33%	N

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	16.98%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	4.94%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

rcent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular rly childhood program and receiving the majority of special ucation and related services in the regular early childhood program	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	1.42%	N

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	2.36%	Y

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%	19.51%	2.17%	14.63%	1.77%	9.76%
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%	4.88%	8.25%	2.44%	5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%	2.44%	34.93%	26.83%	19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	36.25%	34.15%	42.97%	51.22%	39.80%	21.95%
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%	39.02%	11.68%	4.88%	33.08%	68.29%

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%	60.00%	N	88.20%	89.98%	82.05%	N	89.05%	90.71%	69.23%	N
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%	73.17%	Y	54.65%	57.17%	56.10%	N	72.87%	75.95%	90.24%	Y

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%	90.00%	Y
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	88.07%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	96.93%	N
or or days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	98.45%	N
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	97.77%	N

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

#### LEA: 6003 - PULASKI CO. SPEC. SCHOOL DISTRICT

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C.	C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	Y
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

<sup>\*</sup>Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

# 2013/14 School Year Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 6040 - ACADEMICS PLUS CHARTER SCHOOL

## Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%		N

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.00%	Y

#### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	Y
Literacy	Y		9.92%	Y
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	Y

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	75.86%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	63.33%	Y

# Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

# A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	1.12% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

## Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target		Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	100.00%	Y

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	0.00%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

# Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met	
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)	
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A	

# Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)  B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds		
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	89.47%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

#### LEA: 6040 - ACADEMICS PLUS CHARTER SCHOOL

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
ervices that will reasonably enable the student o meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review periods.	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 6041 - LISA ACADEMY CHARTER SCHOOL

## Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	75.00%	N

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	5.00%	N

#### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	92.86%	N

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	18.18%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	46.15%	Y

# Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	5.14	5.77	Y

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	56.25%	Y

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	0.00%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met	
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)	
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A	

# Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	36.25%		42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	85.19%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

#### LEA: 6041 - LISA ACADEMY CHARTER SCHOOL

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00	100.00%	Y

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

18.17 13.35 — N/A	A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsec education or training program; or	ondary 1	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some employment within one year of lea high school.		54.64	59.36		N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review periods.	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 6043 - ARKANSAS VIRTUAL CHARTER SCHOOL

#### Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%		N

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.00%	Y

# Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	98.50%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	97.78%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	23.66%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	25.00%	N

#### Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	94.00%	Y

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	6.00%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

# Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met	
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)	
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A	

# Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	90.63%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of oo days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

#### LEA: 6043 - ARKANSAS VIRTUAL CHARTER SCHOOL

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student o meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review periods.	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 6044 - COVENANT KEEPERS CHARTER SCHOOL

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%		N/A

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.00%	Y

#### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	4.35%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	8.70%	N

#### Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	-0.53% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	5.14	5.77	Y

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	100.00%	Y

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	0.00%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

# Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met	
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)	
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A	

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	0.00%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%		N/A
of 60 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%		N/A

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

#### LEA: 6044 - COVENANT KEEPERS CHARTER SCHOOL

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C.	. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review periods.	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

<sup>\*</sup>Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 6047 - eSTEM PUBLIC CHARTER SCHOOL

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.00%	Y

#### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	Y
Literacy	Y		9.92%	Y
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	Y

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	36.23%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	37.14%	N

# Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

# A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	-0.43% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

## Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	99.13%	Y

## B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	0.00%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.87%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

# Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met	
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)	
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A	

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	66.67%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student o meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	ondary 1	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some employment within one year of lea high school.		54.64	59.36		N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 6048 - LISA ACADEMY NORTH

## **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%		N/A

## **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.00%	Y

# Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	22.58%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	43.24%	Y

# Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

## Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	72.34%	Y

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	2.13%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

# Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met	
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)	
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A	

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State LEA Rate Rate		State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	50.00%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by	
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)	
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y	

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by	
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)	
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y	

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

# **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	1	N/A

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 6049 - LITTLE ROCK PREPARATORY ACADEMY CHARTER SCHOOL

# Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	50.00%	N

# Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	13.33%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	20.00%	N

#### LEA: 6049 - LITTLE ROCK PREPARATORY ACADEMY CHARTER SCHOOL

# Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

# A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target		Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	71.11%	Y

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	4.44%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

# Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

# A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

# B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements		ositive Social -Emotional B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **  C) Use of Appropriate Behavior to Meet Their Needs										
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	77.78%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

# **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

#### LEA: 6049 - LITTLE ROCK PREPARATORY ACADEMY CHARTER SCHOOL

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

# **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 6050 - JACKSONVILLE LIGHT HOUSE CHARTER SCHOOL

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.00%	Y

## Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	20.00%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	22.41%	N

#### LEA: 6050 - JACKSONVILLE LIGHT HOUSE CHARTER SCHOOL

# Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

# A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	100.00%	Y

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	0.00%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

# Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

# A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

# B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements		sitive So 11s*	e Social -Emotional			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs			
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	0.00%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

# **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	1	N/A
	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# LEA: 6050 - JACKSONVILLE LIGHT HOUSE CHARTER SCHOOL

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00	100.00%	Y

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

# **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

<sup>\*</sup>Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 6052 - SIATECH High School

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2012/13 school year)**

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%		Y

# Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)		% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	N/A	17.16%	1.59%	N/A
Literacy	N/A		9.92%	N/A
Mathematics	N/A		3.17%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%		N/A

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	0.00%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%		N

# Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	100.00%	Y

# B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	0.00%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

# Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

# A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

# B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive Se Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements		sitive So	re Social -Emotional B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **  C) Use of Appropriate Bell to Meet Their Needs									
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	0.00%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

# **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%		N/A
	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00	100.00%	Y

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

# **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 6053 - RESPONSIVE ED SOLUTIONS PREMIER HIGH SCHOOL OF LITTLE ROCK

# **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%		Y

# Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	N/A	17.16%	1.59%	N/A
Literacy	N/A		9.92%	N/A
Mathematics	N/A		3.17%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	-1.00%	N/A
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%		N/A

# LEA: 6053 - RESPONSIVE ED SOLUTIONS PREMIER HIGH SCHOOL OF LITTLE ROCK

# Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	1	N/A

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	N/A
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	5.14	5.77	11,11

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	25.00%	N

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	0.00%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

# Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

# A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program		State	LEA	Target Met
		Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

# B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%	<b>–</b> –	2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements		sitive So 11s*	itive Social -Emotional B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills ** C) Use of Appropriate Behavior to Meet Their Needs			1 / -						
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	0.00%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	N/A

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	N/A

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

# **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	1	N/A
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

#### LEA: 6053 - RESPONSIVE ED SOLUTIONS PREMIER HIGH SCHOOL OF LITTLE ROCK

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

# **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

educat	t of youth enrolled in higher ion or competitively employed one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

<sup>\*</sup>Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 6091 - AR SCHOOL FOR THE BLIND

# **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	l by I.	
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	50.00%	N

# **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.00%	Y

# Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	55.56%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	50.00%	Y

# Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

# A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%		N/A

# B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	-	N/A

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	100.00%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

# Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

# A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	0.00%	N

# B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	100.00%	N

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%	40.00%	2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.		60.00%	42.97%	100.00%	39.80%	100.00%
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements		sitive So 11s*	cial -En	notional	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and					C) Use of Appropriate E to Meet Their Needs		
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%	60.00%	N	88.20%	89.98%	100.00%	Y	89.05%	90.71%	100.00%	Y
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%	60.00%	N	54.65%	57.17%	100.00%	Y	72.87%	75.95%	100.00%	Y

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%	100.00%	Y
	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	88.89%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

# **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	1	N/A
	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

# **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsec education or training program; or	ondary 1	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some employment within one year of lea high school.		54.64	59.36		N/A

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 6092 - AR SCHOOL FOR THE DEAF

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.00%	Y

# Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	89.23%	N
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	91.43%	N

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	8.62%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	17.19%	N

# Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	5.14	5. <i>77</i>	Y

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%		N/A

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	-	N/A

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	100.00%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

# Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

# A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	0.00%	N

# B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	100.00%	N

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	Emotional (Including	Emotional Skills (Including social (I		Use of Skills language/ and early literacy)	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements		sitive So 11s*	cial -En	notional				sition and Use of ledge and Skills **		C) Use of Appropriate E to Meet Their Needs		
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%	100.00%	Y
	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	100.00%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

# **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%		N/A
	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

# **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 6094 - DIVISION OF YOUTH SERVICES SCHOOL SYSTEM

# Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	11.11%	N

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.00%	Y

# Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)		% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	N/A	17.16%	1.59%	N/A
Literacy	N/A		9.92%	N/A
Mathematics	N/A		3.17%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%		N/A
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%		N/A

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%		N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%		N

# Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	5.14	5.77	Y

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%		N/A

# B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	-	N/A

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%		N/A

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

# Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

# A) Regular Early Childhood Program

ercent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular arly childhood program and receiving the majority of special ducation and related services in the regular early childhood program		State	LEA	Target Met
		Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

# B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	36.25%		42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements	· ′	bsitive Social -Emotional B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **  C) Use of Appropriate Behavior to Meet Their Needs			, <u> </u>							
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	0.00%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

# **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	1	N/A
	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

#### LEA: 6094 - DIVISION OF YOUTH SERVICES SCHOOL SYSTEM

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00	100.00%	Y

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

# **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	ondary Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		59.36		N/A

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review periods.	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

<sup>\*</sup>Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

### LEA: 6102 - MAYNARD SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	4.35%	N

## Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	27.27%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	36.96%	N

# Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

# A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	34.72%	N

### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	5.56%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	1.39%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate pecial education class, separate school or residential facility		State	LEA	Target Met
		Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements		sitive So 11s*	Social -Emotional			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **  C) Use of Appropriate Be to Meet Their Needs			1 ' -			
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	100.00%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

В.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		52.19	49.04		N/A

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

### LEA: 6103 - POCAHONTAS SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	94.44%	Y

### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	1.09%	Y

## Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	98.56%	Y

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	38.52%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	49.64%	Y

# Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

# A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	-0.12% pts	Y

### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

## Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	62.35%	Y

### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	14.12%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	2.35%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	98.55%	Y

### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	0.00%	Y

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%	<b>–</b> –	2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%	12.20%	5.56%	2.44%
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%	2.44%	34.93%	7.32%	19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.		26.83%	42.97%	80.49%	39.80%	12.20%
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%	70.73%	11.68%		33.08%	85.37%

Summary Statements	A) Positive Skills*		cial -En	otional	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%	100.00%	Y	88.20%	89.98%	87.80%	N	89.05%	90.71%	83.33%	N
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%	97.56%	Y	54.65%	57.17%	80.49%	Y	72.87%	75.95%	97.56%	Y

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%	98.55%	Y
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	92.72%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	100.00%	Υ
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

### LEA: 6103 - POCAHONTAS SCHOOL DISTRICT

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00	100.00%	Y

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	Y
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Υ

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

### LEA: 6201 - FORREST CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	78.38%	N

### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	6.95%	N

## Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	95.37%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	95.98%	Y

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	11.17%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	26.05%	N

# Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	-1.92% pts	Y

### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

## Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	62.37%	Y

### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	11.84%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	3.16%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

# Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

		onal Skills Knowledge and Skills Appropri ding social (Including early language/ Behaviors		Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/		Knowledge and Skills Appropriate (Including early language/ Behaviors to		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate		
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%			
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%			
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%			
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%			

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors		
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	87.20%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	81.82%	N
	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	81.82%	N

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

### LEA: 6201 - FORREST CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsec education or training program; or	ondary 1	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some employment within one year of lea high school.		54.64	59.36		N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 6202 - HUGHES SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	80.00%	N

### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.00%	Y

## Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	94.12%	N
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	95.83%	Y

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	0.00%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	21.74%	N

# Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	1.18% pts	Y

### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

## Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	30.43%	N

### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	23.91%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	13.04%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

# Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility		State	LEA	Target Met
		Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		Knowledge and Skills Appropriate (Including early language/ Behaviors to		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%		
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%		
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%		
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	36.25%		42.97%		39.80%		
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%		

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors		
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	88.89%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of 60 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsec education or training program; or	ondary 1	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some employment within one year of lea high school.		54.64	59.36		N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

<sup>\*</sup>Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

### LEA: 6205 - PALESTINE-WHEATLEY SCH. DISTRICT

### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

## **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.00%	Y

### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	95.74%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	96.15%	Y

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	17.78%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	44.00%	Y

### Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

# A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	-0.17% pts	Y

### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	25.81%	N

## B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	3.23%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	2.15%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)  B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy		Knowledge and Skills		Knowledge and Skills  (Including early language/  Behaviors to		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%	<b>–</b> –	2.17%		1.77%		
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%		
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%		
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%		
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%		

Summary Statements		Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	95.00%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of 60 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

### LEA: 6205 - PALESTINE-WHEATLEY SCH. DISTRICT

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsec education or training program; or	ondary 1	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some employment within one year of lea high school.		54.64	59.36		N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

<sup>\*</sup>Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 6301 - BAUXITE SCHOOL DISTRICT

### Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	1.39%	Y

## Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	95.06%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	94.79%	N

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	22.08%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	31.87%	N

# Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

# A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	5.14	5.77	Y

## Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	63.70%	Y

### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	8.15%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		Appropriate sage/ Behaviors to		Knowledge and Skills Appropriate Including early language/ Behaviors to	
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate		
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%			
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%			
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	36.25%		42.97%		39.80%			
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%			

Summary Statements		A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*  B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **  C) Use of Appropriate Be to Meet Their Needs			, <del>-</del>							
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	100.00%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of oo days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

### LEA: 6302 - BENTON SCHOOL DISTRICT

### Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	86.21%	Y

### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.00%	Y

## Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	99.25%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	99.30%	Y

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	44.87%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	54.26%	Y

# Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

# A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	0.03% pts	Y

### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	57.94%	Y

### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	8.87%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	1.03%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

# Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ Behaviors to		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%		
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%		
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%		
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%		
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%		

Summary Statements		) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	88.75%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Υ
of 60 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 6303 - BRYANT SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	41.67%	N	

## **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.49%	Y

## Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	99.03%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	98.56%	Y

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	46.65%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	47.17%	Y

#### Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>≤</u> 1.36	0.33% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	56.03%	Y

# B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	15.07%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	4.37%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	90.59%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of oo days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00	100.00%	Y

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35	27.12%	Y

educatio	of youth enrolled in higher on or competitively employed one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		52.19	49.04	62.71%	Y

C. Percent of youth enrol education or in some of education or training p	other postsecondary program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employe employment within or high school.		54.64	59.36	66.10%	Y

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review periods.	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 6304 - HARMONY GROVE SCHOOL DISTRICT (SALINE)

# **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	62.50%	N

# **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.00%	Y

# Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	97.18%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	95.06%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	17.39%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	41.56%	Y

#### LEA: 6304 - HARMONY GROVE SCHOOL DISTRICT (SALINE)

#### Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

# A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	57.38%	Y

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	5.74%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	1.64%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

# Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

#### LEA: 6304 - HARMONY GROVE SCHOOL DISTRICT (SALINE)

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements		Positive Social -Emotional Skills*  B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **  C) Use of Appropriate to Meet Their Need										
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	95.45%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of ou days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

#### LEA: 6304 - HARMONY GROVE SCHOOL DISTRICT (SALINE)

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35	14.29%	Y

В.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	employed Rate		LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		52.19	49.04	85.71%	Y

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	ondary Ra	ate ate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		1.64	59.36	85.71%	Y

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 6401 - WALDRON SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	81.25%	N

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	2.35%	Y

### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	99.30%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	98.68%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	24.82%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	36.24%	N

# Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	-0.42% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	68.56%	Y

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	1.75%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	1.31%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

# Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements									C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs			
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	100.00%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of ou days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and p with an IEP that includes coordinated, neasurable, annual IEP goals and transition ervices that will reasonably enable the student o meet the post-secondary goals.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

В.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36		N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 6502 - SEARCY COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	h IEPs State State State Ta		LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	77.78%	N

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.00%	Y

### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	98.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	98.00%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	30.61%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	40.82%	Y

# Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	82.93%	Y

# B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	1.22%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	1.22%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	70.00%	Y

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	0.00%	Y

# Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%	12.50%	34.93%	12.50%	19.80%	12.50%
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	36.25%	75.00%	42.97%	87.50%	39.80%	75.00%
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%	12.50%	11.68%		33.08%	12.50%

Summary Statements		A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%	100.00%	Y	88.20%	89.98%	100.00%	Y	89.05%	90.71%	100.00%	Y
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%	87.50%	Y	54.65%	57.17%	87.50%	Y	72.87%	75.95%	87.50%	Y

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%	77.78%	N
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	97.10%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of 60 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

#### LEA: 6502 - SEARCY COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	Y
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 6505 - OZARK MOUNTAIN SCHOOL DISTRICT (SEARCY)

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.00%	Y

# Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	20.69%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	37.31%	N

#### LEA: 6505 - OZARK MOUNTAIN SCHOOL DISTRICT (SEARCY)

#### Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

# A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	0.56% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	54.63%	Y

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	5.56%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

# Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	36.25%		42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements		Positive Social -Emotional B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **  C) Use of Appropriate Be to Meet Their Needs										
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	96.97%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of ou days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

#### LEA: 6505 - OZARK MOUNTAIN SCHOOL DISTRICT (SEARCY)

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

В.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsec education or training program; or	ondary 1	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.		54.64	59.36		N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

<sup>\*</sup>Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 6601 - FORT SMITH SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	75.00%	N

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	1.97%	Y

# Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	96.77%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	96.61%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	41.18%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	41.87%	Y

# Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	0.75% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	56.30%	Y

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	22.66%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	1.48%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	2.94%	N

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	30.39%	N

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%	3.08%	8.25%	3.08%	5.56%	4.62%
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%	26.15%	34.93%	32.31%	19.80%	12.31%
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.		41.54%	42.97%	52.31%	39.80%	50.77%
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%	29.23%	11.68%	12.31%	33.08%	32.31%

Summary Statements		bitive Social -Emotional B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills ** C) Use of Appropriate Beh to Meet Their Needs			′ <del>-</del>							
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%	95.65%	Y	88.20%	89.98%	96.49%	Y	89.05%	90.71%	93.18%	Y
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%	70.77%	Y	54.65%	57.17%	64.62%	Y	72.87%	75.95%	83.08%	Y

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%	85.71%	N
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	96.00%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	95.36%	N
of 60 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	94.34%	N
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	95.00%	N

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

#### LEA: 6601 - FORT SMITH SCHOOL DISTRICT

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	ondary 1	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some employment within one year of lea high school.		54.64	59.36		N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	Y
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 6602 - GREENWOOD SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	85.19%	Y

# **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	1.12%	Y

# Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	96.44%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	95.90%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	41.39%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	48.04%	Y

# Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	0.11% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	69.63%	Y

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	5.64%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%	<b>–</b> –	2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements		Positive Social -Emotional Skills*  B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **  C) Use of Appropriate to Meet Their Need			, -							
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	88.37%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

#### LEA: 6602 - GREENWOOD SCHOOL DISTRICT

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

<sup>\*</sup>Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

# 2013/14 School Year Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 6603 - HACKETT SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.00%	Y

### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	96.97%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	97.50%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	25.00%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	48.72%	Y

#### Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

# A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development	5.14	5. <i>7</i> 7	Y
and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	5.14	3.77	1

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	64.86%	Y

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	5.41%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

	Emotional (Including	Including social (Including early language/ Behaviors		Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/		Knowledge and Skills Appropriate (Including early language/ Behaviors to		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate		
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%			
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%			
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%			
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%			

			) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs						
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	100.00%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35	0.00%	N

В.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		52.19	49.04	87.50%	Y

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	87.50%	Y

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 6604 - HARTFORD SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	60.00%	N

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	3.45%	N

#### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	96.43%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	96.43%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	22.22%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	25.93%	N

## Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

# A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	1.67% pts	N

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

#### Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	50.00%	N

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	13.46%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		Knowledge and Skills Appropriate (Including early language/ Behaviors to		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%		
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%		
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%		
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%		
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%		

Summary Statements		Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	92.86%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of 60 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

#### LEA: 6604 - HARTFORD SCHOOL DISTRICT

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 6605 - LAVACA SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	57.14%	N

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.00%	Y

#### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	98.08%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	98.41%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	41.18%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	37.10%	N

## Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

## Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	70.83%	Y

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	9.38%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	1.04%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	I Skills Knowledge and Skills Appropriate g social (Including early language/ Behaviors to		Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	36.25%		42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements		A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*  B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **  C) Use of Appropriate to Meet Their			, -			ropriate Behaviors ir Needs				
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	100.00%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of 60 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

<sup>\*</sup>Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 6606 - MANSFIELD SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	75.00%	N

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	5.26%	N

#### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	98.36%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	97.01%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	8.33%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	26.15%	N

## Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	-0.95% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	5.14	5.77	Y

## Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	55.91%	Y

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	11.83%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	1.08%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		Knowledge and Skills Appropriate (Including early language/ Behaviors to		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%		
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%		
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%		
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	36.25%		42.97%		39.80%		
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%		

Summary Statements		A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	98.39%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Υ
	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsec education or training program; or	ondary 1	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some employment within one year of lea high school.		54.64	59.36		N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 6701 - DEQUEEN SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	80.38% 85.00%		Y

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.00%	Y

### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	91.75%	N
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	91.49%	N

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	44.94%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	58.14%	Y

#### Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	0.03% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

#### Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	42.46%	N

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	20.67%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.56%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

## Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		vledge and Skills Appropriate uding early language/ Behaviors to	
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements	· ′	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	90.48%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of 60 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# LEA: 6701 - DEQUEEN SCHOOL DISTRICT

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00	100.00%	Y

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsec education or training program; or	ondary 1	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some employment within one year of lea high school.		54.64	59.36		N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

<sup>\*</sup>Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 6703 - HORATIO SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

## **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.00%	Y

#### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	13.95%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	33.33%	N

#### Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	-0.13% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

## Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	62.65%	Y

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	1.20%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		Knowledge and Skills Appropriate (Including early language/ Behaviors to		Skills Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate			
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%				
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%				
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%				
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%				

Summary Statements		Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	95.83%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Υ
of 60 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsec education or training program; or	ondary 1	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some employment within one year of lea high school.		54.64	59.36		N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

<sup>\*</sup>Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 6720 - DEQUEEN/MENA EDUCATIONAL CO-OP

#### Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	rom Rate Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%		N/A

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%		N/A

#### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	N/A	17.16%	1.59%	N/A
Literacy	N/A		9.92%	N/A
Mathematics	N/A		3.17%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%		N/A
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%		N/A

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%		N/A
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%		N/A

#### Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	-	N/A

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	N/A
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	5.14	5.77	11,11

#### Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%		N/A

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	-	N/A

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%		N/A

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	23.94%	N

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility		State	LEA	Target Met
		Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	0.00%	Y

	A) Positive S Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ Behaviors to	
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%	4.76%	8.25%	4.76%	5.56%	5.56%
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%	22.22%	34.93%	30.16%	19.80%	10.32%
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.		56.35%	42.97%	57.14%	39.80%	54.76%
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%	16.67%	11.68%	7.94%	33.08%	29.37%

Summary Statements		sitive So lls*	cial -En	notional	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **  C) Use of Appropriate Bo to Meet Their Needs							
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%	94.29%	Y	88.20%	89.98%	94.83%	Y	89.05%	90.71%	92.13%	Y
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%	73.02%	Y	54.65%	57.17%	65.08%	Y	72.87%	75.95%	84.13%	Y

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%	85.16%	N
	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%		N/A

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	N/A

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	N/A

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	1	N/A
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# LEA: 6720 - DEQUEEN/MENA EDUCATIONAL CO-OP

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

В.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		52.19	49.04		N/A

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	Y
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	N/A

<sup>\*</sup>Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

# 2013/14 School Year Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 6802 - CAVE CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	93.75%	Y

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.00%	Y

#### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	98.61%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	30.00%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	43.66%	Y

## Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

## Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	61.22%	Y

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	12.24%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs		
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%		
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%		
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%		
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	36.25%		42.97%		39.80%		
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%		

Summary Statements  A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs						
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	0.00%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	ondary 1	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some employment within one year of lea high school.		54.64	59.36		N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 6804 - HIGHLAND SCHOOL DISTRICT

### Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.00%	Y

## Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	95.51%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	94.90%	N

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	35.29%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	44.09%	Y

# Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	-0.37% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	60.23%	Y

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	2.34%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	1.17%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

# Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met	
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)	
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A	

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs		
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%		
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%		
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%		
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%		
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%		

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	91.67%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

#### LEA: 6804 - HIGHLAND SCHOOL DISTRICT

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00	100.00%	Y

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	ondary 1	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some employment within one year of lea high school.		54.64	59.36		N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review periods.	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 6901 - MOUNTAIN VIEW SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.00%	Y

#### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	98.40%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	94.78%	N

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	30.89%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	47.24%	Y

# Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	-0.20% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

### Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	66.21%	Y

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	16.44%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.46%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met	
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)	
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A	

# Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	36.25%		42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	96.75%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

#### LEA: 6901 - MOUNTAIN VIEW SCHOOL DISTRICT

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A
	0: :	Ct t		

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C.	C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

<sup>\*</sup>Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 7001 - EL DORADO SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	87.50%	Y

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	3.16%	N

## Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	98.31%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	95.16%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	37.93%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	41.24%	Y

# Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

# A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	-3.17% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

### Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	50.00%	N

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	18.40%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met	
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)	
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A	

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	96.74%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# LEA: 7001 - EL DORADO SCHOOL DISTRICT

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

В.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review periods.	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 7003 - JUNCTION CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.00%	Y

#### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	16.67%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	47.37%	Y

#### LEA: 7003 - JUNCTION CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

# Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	-0.21% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

### Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	72.50%	Y

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	5.00%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

# Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met	
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)	
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A	

# Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%	<b>–</b> –	2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	100.00%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of oo days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# LEA: 7003 - JUNCTION CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00	100.00%	Y

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	ondary 1	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some employment within one year of lea high school.		54.64	59.36		N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 7006 - NORPHLET SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	4.17%	N

#### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	28.57%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	50.00%	Y

# Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

# A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	-2.47% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	5.14	5.77	Y

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	71.74%	Y

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	6.52%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

# Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met	
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)	
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A	

# Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	36.25%		42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	100.00%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of oo days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35	28.57%	Y

В.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		52.19	49.04	57.14%	Y

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	57.14%	N

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 7007 - PARKERS CHAPEL SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.00%	Y

#### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	97.78%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	42.22%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	38.64%	N

# Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>≤</u> 1.36	-0.51% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development			
and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	60.81%	Y

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	2.70%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

# Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met	
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)	
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A	

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	100.00%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of oo days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

#### LEA: 7007 - PARKERS CHAPEL SCHOOL DISTRICT

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

# 2013/14 School Year Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 7008 - SMACKOVER SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.00%	Y

#### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	97.37%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	24.32%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	37.84%	N

#### Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

### Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

11) 111 1110 110 1110	00 00 70 02			<del>- y</del>
Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	73.68%	Y

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	10.53%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	1.32%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

# Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met	
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)	
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A	

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	100.00%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by	
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)	
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y	

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by	
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)	
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y	

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

В.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsec education or training program; or	ondary 1	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some employment within one year of lea high school.		54.64	59.36		N/A

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 7009 - STRONG-HUTTIG SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	100.00%	Y	

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.00%	Y

#### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	96.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	93.10%	N

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	12.50%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	14.81%	N

## Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	-1.07% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

## Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	65.96%	Y

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	14.89%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements		ositive Social -Emotional cills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs				
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	100.00%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

#### LEA: 7009 - STRONG-HUTTIG SCHOOL DISTRICT

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

<sup>\*</sup>Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 7102 - CLINTON SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	84.62%	N

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.00%	Y

#### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	99.06%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	98.08%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	27.62%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	42.16%	Y

## Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	5.14	5.77	Y

#### Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target		Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	60.94%	Y

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	5.21%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	1.56%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	Ills Knowledge and Skills ital (Including early language/			eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	36.25%		42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements	· ′	sitive Social -Emotional lls*				B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs			
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	92.96%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35	15.38%	Y

education or com	enrolled in higher npetitively employed of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		52.19	49.04	46.15%	N

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	61.54%	Y

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 7104 - SHIRLEY SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	75.00%	N

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.00%	Y

#### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	Y

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	97.67%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	34.21%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	47.62%	Y

## Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

## Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

11) 111 1110 1100 111111	00,00		_	<del>-</del> <u> </u>
Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	56.41%	Y

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	11.54%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements		sitive So 11s*	cial -En	notional	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				C) Use of Appropriate Behavior to Meet Their Needs			
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	98.04%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	1	N/A

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

<sup>\*</sup>Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 7105 - SOUTH SIDE SCHOOL DISTRICT (VANBUREN)

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.00%	Y

#### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	97.87%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	98.08%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	19.57%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	45.10%	Y

#### LEA: 7105 - SOUTH SIDE SCHOOL DISTRICT (VANBUREN)

#### Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

# A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	1.00% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

#### Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	61.45%	Y

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	0.00%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements		sitive So 11s*	cial -En	notional	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				C) Use of Appropriate Behavior to Meet Their Needs			
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	95.00%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

#### LEA: 7105 - SOUTH SIDE SCHOOL DISTRICT (VANBUREN)

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

<sup>\*</sup>Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 7201 - ELKINS SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.00%	Y

#### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	98.21%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	98.44%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	29.09%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	44.44%	Y

## Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	5.14	5.77	Y

## Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	50.45%	N

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	9.91%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	4.50%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	93.94%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

<sup>\*</sup>Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 7202 - FARMINGTON SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	88.24%	Y

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	1.72%	Y

# Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	99.33%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	35.20%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	49.32%	Y

## Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	0.18% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

#### Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	54.15%	Y

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	9.27%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	2.44%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

## Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements		sitive So 11s*	tive Social -Emotional B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **  C) Use of Appropriate Behavior to Meet Their Needs			1 ' -						
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	95.09%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

#### LEA: 7202 - FARMINGTON SCHOOL DISTRICT

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

В.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		52.19	49.04		N/A

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 7203 - FAYETTEVILLE SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	62.00%	N

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	2.59%	Y

# Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	98.99%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	98.47%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	42.61%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	52.40%	Y

#### Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	0.55% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

#### Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	52.07%	N

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	9.19%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	2.97%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program		State	LEA	Target Met
		Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

#### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements		ositive Social -Emotional cills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs				
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	91.03%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

#### LEA: 7203 - FAYETTEVILLE SCHOOL DISTRICT

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	54.64	59.36	1	N/A

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review periods.	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

### LEA: 7204 - GREENLAND SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.00%	Y

### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	96.72%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	95.83%	Y

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	8.47%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	28.99%	N

### Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

# A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	-0.73% pts	Y

### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	5.14	5. <i>77</i>	Y

## Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	41.75%	N

### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	6.80%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	2.91%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	36.25%		42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors		
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	91.38%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

### LEA: 7204 - GREENLAND SCHOOL DISTRICT

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35	42.86%	Y

В.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		52.19	49.04	85.71%	Y

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	85.71%	Y

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

### LEA: 7205 - LINCOLN SCHOOL DISTRICT

### Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.00%	Y

# Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	97.92%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	96.30%	Y

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	30.85%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	34.62%	N

## Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

# A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

## Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	38.04%	N

### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	7.98%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	2.45%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

# Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	nal Skills Knowledge and Skills Appropriate ing social (Including early language/ Behaviors to		Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors		
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	80.52%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of 60 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsec education or training program; or	ondary 1	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some employment within one year of lea high school.		54.64	59.36		N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

### LEA: 7206 - PRAIRIE GROVE SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	76.92%	N

### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	2.13%	Y

### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	98.32%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	94.66%	N

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	31.62%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	40.32%	Y

### Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

### Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	45.24%	N

### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	7.14%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	1.90%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

# Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		e and Skills Appropriate early language/ Behaviors to	
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	36.25%		42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements		A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*  B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **  C) Use of Appropriate to Meet Their			, -			opriate Behaviors ir Needs				
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%	-	N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	91.26%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Υ
of ou days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

### LEA: 7206 - PRAIRIE GROVE SCHOOL DISTRICT

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

<sup>\*</sup>Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

### LEA: 7207 - SPRINGDALE SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	79.57%	N

### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	1.43%	Y

### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	97.91%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	97.61%	Y

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	41.42%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	53.22%	Y

### Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	-0.16% pts	Y

### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

## Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	61.50%	Y

### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	16.09%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	4.91%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		(Including early language/ Behaviors to		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%		
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%		
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%		
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%		
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%		

Summary Statements	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs								
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	91.51%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	99.34%	N
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	99.34%	N

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00	100.00%	Y

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35	10.20%	N

В.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		52.19	49.04	43.88%	N

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	46.94%	N

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

<sup>\*</sup>Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

### LEA: 7208 - WEST FORK SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	80.00%	N

### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	1.61%	Y

### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	96.67%	Y

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	30.38%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	32.18%	N

## Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	-0.19% pts	Y

### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

## Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	56.77%	Y

### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	9.03%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	3.87%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	Emotional (Including	Emotional Skills Knowledge and (Including social (Including early		B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		Knowledge and Skills Ap (Including early language/ Be		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate		
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%			
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%			
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%			
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%			

Summary Statements		Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	83.58%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of oo days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	1	N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

### LEA: 7221 - NORTHWEST ARKANSAS EDUCATION SERVICE CENTER

### Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%		N/A

### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%		N/A

### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)		% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	N/A	17.16%	1.59%	N/A
Literacy	N/A		9.92%	N/A
Mathematics	N/A		3.17%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%		N/A
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	-	N/A

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%		N/A
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%		N/A

### LEA: 7221 - NORTHWEST ARKANSAS EDUCATION SERVICE CENTER

### Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36		N/A

### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	N/A
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

## Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%		N/A

### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	-	N/A

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%		N/A

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	6.57%	N

### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	5.67%	Y

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		Knowledge and Skills  (Including early language/  Behaviors to		cial Knowledge and Skills cial (Including early language/		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate			
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%				
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%	8.12%	8.25%	11.11%	5.56%	6.41%			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%	20.94%	34.93%	39.74%	19.80%	13.25%			
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.		21.37%	42.97%	41.88%	39.80%	17.95%			
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%	49.57%	11.68%	7.26%	33.08%	62.39%			

Summary Statements		Positive Social -Emotional Skills*  B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **  C) Use of Appropriate to Meet Their Nowledge and Skills **			, <del>-</del>							
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%	83.90%	N	88.20%	89.98%	88.02%	N	89.05%	90.71%	82.95%	N
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%	70.94%	Y	54.65%	57.17%	49.15%	N	72.87%	75.95%	80.34%	Y

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%	72.22%	N
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%		N/A

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	N/A

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	N/A

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	1	N/A
	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	100.00%	Υ
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

### LEA: 7221 - NORTHWEST ARKANSAS EDUCATION SERVICE CENTER

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	Y
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	N/A

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

### LEA: 7301 - BALD KNOB SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	78.57%	N

### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	2.82%	N

### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	93.41%	N
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	88.64%	N

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	17.65%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	43.59%	Y

## Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	-0.36% pts	Y

### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	5.14	5.77	Y

### Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target		Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	60.13%	Y

### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	12.66%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	1.90%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

### B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

# **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements		sitive So 11s*	ive Social -Emotional B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills ** C) Use of Appropriate to Meet Their Need										
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A	
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A	

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	90.00%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35	20.00%	Y

В.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		52.19	49.04	46.67%	N

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	46.67%	N

# State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

<sup>\*</sup>Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 7302 - BEEBE SCHOOL DISTRICT

### Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	86.36%	Y

### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	1.65%	Y

### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	99.52%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	97.44%	Y

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	32.21%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	39.47%	N

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>≤</u> 1.36	0.03% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

## Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	55.64%	Y

## B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	12.99%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.49%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

## **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements		, I ,			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	100.00%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

## Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

## Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of 60 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

## **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

## **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00	100.00%	Y

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35	42.86%	Y

В.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		52.19	49.04	85.71%	Y

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	85.71%	Y

## State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

## Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 7303 - BRADFORD SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	80.00%	N

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.00%	Y

## Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	96.55%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	92.86%	N

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	28.57%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	57.69%	Y

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

## Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	65.96%	Y

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	0.00%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	2.13%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

## **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements		Positive Social -Emotional Skills*  B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **  C) Use of Appropriate I to Meet Their Needs			, -							
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	80.95%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

## Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

## Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
or oo days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

## **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

## **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student o meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsec education or training program; or	ondary 1	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some employment within one year of lea high school.		54.64	59.36		N/A

## State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

## Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 7304 - WHITE CO. CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.00%	Y

#### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	98.11%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	22.22%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	32.69%	N

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	4.05% pts	N

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

## Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	50.00%	N

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	15.79%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	2.63%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

ent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular of childhood program and receiving the majority of special cation and related services in the regular early childhood program	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

## Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements		sitive Social -Emotional B) Acquisition and Use of C) Use of Appropriation and Skills ** to Meet Their Nee										
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	89.66%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

## Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

## Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of ou days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

## **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

#### LEA: 7304 - WHITE CO. CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

## **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	1	N/A

## State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

## Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 7307 - RIVERVIEW SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	87.50%	Y

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	1.23%	Y

### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	98.84%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	96.74%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	30.59%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	42.70%	Y

## A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	-0.18% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

## Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	56.99%	Y

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	7.25%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	3.63%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

## **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements		Positive Social -Emotional B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **  C) Use of Appropriate to Meet Their Need			′ <del>-</del>							
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	96.74%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

## Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

## Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

## **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

## **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

## State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

<sup>\*</sup>Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

## Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 7309 - PANGBURN SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

## **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.00%	Y

### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	93.94%	N

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	41.94%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	48.39%	Y

## A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	-0.14% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

## Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	66.22%	Y

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	1.35%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	4.05%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

## Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

## **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements		1 ' <del>-</del>			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	100.00%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

## Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

## Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of oo days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

## **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

## **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

18.17 13.35 — N/A	A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsec education or training program; or	ondary 1	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some employment within one year of lea high school.		54.64	59.36		N/A

## State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review periods.	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

## Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 7310 - ROSE BUD SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State LEA Target Rate		Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	70.00%	N

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.00%	Y

### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	98.68%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	16.67%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	29.33%	N

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>≤</u> 1.36	-0.14% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

## Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	48.04%	N

## B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	1.96%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

## Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

## **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	36.25%		42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements					B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	93.33%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

## Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

## Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of ou days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

## **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

## **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

## State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

## Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 7311 - SEARCY SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	89.66%	Y

#### **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	4.29%	N

#### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	99.22%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	96.44%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	30.83%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	38.75%	N

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	0.82% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

## Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	31.34%	N

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	18.96%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	4.59%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

## Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

## **Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes**

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early lit		C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements		Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

NOTE: \* Including social relationships

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

#### **Indicator 08: Parent Involvement**

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	96.97%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

## Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

## Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

#### **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of ou days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

## **Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

## **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

#### **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

## State Indicator: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate\*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

<sup>\*</sup>Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

## Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 7320 - WILBUR D. MILLS EDUCATION SERVICE CO-OP

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%		N/A

## **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%		N/A

### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	N/A	17.16%	1.59%	N/A
Literacy	N/A		9.92%	N/A
Mathematics	N/A		3.17%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%		N/A
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%		N/A

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%		N/A
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%		N/A

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	1	N/A

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	N/A
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	5.14	5.77	11,11

## Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%		N/A

#### B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	-	N/A

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%		N/A

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

#### Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

#### A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	28.33%	N

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	0.00%	Y

	Emotional (Including	al Skills Knowledge and Skills Appr g social (Including early language/ Beha		Emotional Skills  (Including social  Knowledge and Skills  (Including early language/		Emotional Skills Knowledge and Skills Appropriate (Including social (Including early language) Behaviors to		Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/		Knowledge and Skills Ap (Including early language/ Be		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate						
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%							
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%	8.76%	8.25%	4.38%	5.56%	4.38%						
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%	35.86%	34.93%	23.90%	19.80%	19.12%						
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.		45.42%	42.97%	38.25%	39.80%	43.43%						
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%	9.96%	11.68%	33.47%	33.08%	33.07%						

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			, ,		' <del>-</del>			C) Use of Appropriate Behavi to Meet Their Needs			
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%	90.27%	Y	88.20%	89.98%	93.41%	Y	89.05%	90.71%	93.45%	Y
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%	55.38%	N	54.65%	57.17%	71.71%	Y	72.87%	75.95%	76.49%	Y

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%	96.80%	Y
	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%		N/A

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	N/A

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	N/A

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

# **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	1	N/A
of ou days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	99.54%	N
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%		N/A

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

#### LEA: 7320 - WILBUR D. MILLS EDUCATION SERVICE CO-OP

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

# **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	Y
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	N/A

<sup>\*</sup>Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 7401 - AUGUSTA SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	80.00%	N

# **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	3.45%	N

# Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	96.67%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	40.91%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	37.93%	N

# Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

# A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	5.14	5. <i>77</i>	Y

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	74.63%	Y

# B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	11.94%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	4.48%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

# Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

# A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

# B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

	Emotional (Including	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		Knowledge and Skills Appropriate (Including early language/ Behaviors to		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate		
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%			
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%			
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%			
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%			

Summary Statements		sitive So 11s*	cial -En	al -Emotional B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **  C) Use of Appropriate to Meet Their Needs			' <del>-</del>					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	0.00%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

# **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00	100.00%	Y

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

# **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35	0.00%	N

educa	nt of youth enrolled in higher tion or competitively employed n one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		52.19	49.04	28.57%	N

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	28.57%	N

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

<sup>\*</sup>Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 7403 - MCCRORY SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.00%	Y

# Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	93.88%	N
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	93.75%	N

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	21.74%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	26.67%	N

# Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

# A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	-0.18% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	59.74%	Y

# B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	10.39%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

# Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

# A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

# B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

	A) Positive S Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		Knowledge and Skills Appropriate (Including early language/ Behaviors to		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%		
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%		
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%		
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%		
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%		

Summary Statements		A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	0.00%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

# **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Υ
of 60 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

# **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsec education or training program; or	ondary 1	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some employment within one year of lea high school.		54.64	59.36		N/A

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 7503 - DANVILLE SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	2.13%	Y

# Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	25.76%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	37.31%	N

# Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

# A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	5.14	5.77	Y

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	47.37%	N

# B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	10.53%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

# Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

# A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

# B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

	(Including	otional Skills Lluding social  Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/		Knowledge and Skills		Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ Behaviors to		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate		
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%			
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%			
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%			
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%			

Summary Statements		Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	91.30%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

# **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

# **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsec education or training program; or	ondary 1	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some employment within one year of lea high school.		54.64	59.36		N/A

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 7504 - DARDANELLE SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	93.33%	Y

# **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.94%	Y

# Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	98.88%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	98.92%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	42.94%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	48.63%	Y

# Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

# A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	-0.06% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	49.36%	N

# B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	18.59%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

# Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

# A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

# B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		Knowledge and Skills Appropriate (Including early language/ Behaviors to		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%		
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%		
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%		
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%		
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%		

Summary Statements		A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	97.78%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

# **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
or oo days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# LEA: 7504 - DARDANELLE SCHOOL DISTRICT

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

# **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	1	N/A

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 7509 - WESTERN YELL CO. SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	0.00%	Y

# Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	97.44%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	95.65%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	34.21%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	43.18%	Y

# Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

#### A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	57.53%	Y

# B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	1.37%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

# Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

# A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

# B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)			
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			42.97%		39.80%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%	

Summary Statements		,			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	97.96%	Y

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

# **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of oo days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
by their third birthday.	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

#### LEA: 7509 - WESTERN YELL CO. SCHOOL DISTRICT

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

# **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

18.17 13.35 — N/A	A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsec education or training program; or	ondary 1	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some employment within one year of lea high school.		54.64	59.36		N/A

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

<sup>\*</sup>Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 7510 - TWO RIVERS SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **Indicator 01: Graduation Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	84.62%	N

# **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%	1.30%	Y

#### Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.16%	1.59%	N
Literacy	Y		9.92%	N
Mathematics	Y		3.17%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%	97.75%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%	26.44%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%	38.20%	N

# Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

# A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	-0.15% pts	Y

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	5.14	5.77	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.11	0.77	

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%	64.79%	Y

# B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	14.08%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

# Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

# A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	-1.00%	N/A

# B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	-1.00%	N/A

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		Knowledge and Skills Appropriate (Including early language/Behaviors to		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%		2.17%		1.77%		
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%		8.25%		5.56%		
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%		34.93%		19.80%		
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	36.25%		42.97%		39.80%		
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%		11.68%		33.08%		

Summary Statements		Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%		N/A	88.20%	89.98%		N/A	89.05%	90.71%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%		N/A	54.65%	57.17%		N/A	72.87%	75.95%		N/A

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%	89.13%	N

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

# **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of 60 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.77%	100.00%		N/A

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

# **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsec education or training program; or	ondary 1	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some employment within one year of lea high school.		54.64	59.36		N/A

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4

# ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2013/14 School Year

# Publication Date: June 2015

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

#### There are no report changes for the 2013/14 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2012/13 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2012/13 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/PolicyAndRegulations

#### LEA: 9150 - DHS: DIVISION of DEVELOPMENTAL DISABILITIES SERVICES

# Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	80.38%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

# **Indicator 02: Dropout Rates** (2012/13 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	1.97%	2.77%		N/A

# Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

#### A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)		% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	N/A	17.16%	1.59%	N/A
Literacy	N/A		9.92%	N/A
Mathematics	N/A		3.17%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

#### B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	97.72%	95.00%		N/A
Participation Rate: Math	96.91%	95.00%		N/A

#### C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	32.26%	31.27%		N/A
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	40.56%	40.13%		N/A

#### LEA: 9150 - DHS: DIVISION of DEVELOPMENTAL DISABILITIES SERVICES

# Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2012/13 school year)

# A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>&lt;</u> 1.36	-	N/A

#### B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	5.14	5. <i>77</i>	N/A

# Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	52.90%	53.97%		N/A

# B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	13.39%	12.99%	-	N/A

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.37%	2.55%	100.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

# Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

# A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	28.53	31.01	0.00%	N

# B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	28.56	29.80	100.00%	N

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	2.60%	4.44%	2.17%	4.38%	1.77%	3.19%
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	7.07%	7.50%	8.25%	8.54%	5.56%	6.88%
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	27.15%	47.43%	34.93%	47.71%	19.80%	36.32%
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.		37.29%	42.97%	36.94%	39.80%	47.36%
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	26.93%	3.33%	11.68%	2.43%	33.08%	6.25%

Summary Statements	· ′	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	86.76%	89.16%	86.76%	N	88.20%	89.98%	88.20%	N	89.05%	90.71%	89.05%	N
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	63.18%	66.32%	63.18%	N	54.65%	57.17%	54.65%	N	72.87%	75.95%	72.87%	N

<sup>\*\*</sup> Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	90.02%	89.94%	84.38%	N
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	93.57%	94.05%		N/A

Note: \* Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

# Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	N/A

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

# Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	N/A

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

# **Indicator 11: Child Find**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.62%	100.00%	1	N/A
of 60 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.77%	100.00%	99.77%	N
	School Age (5-21)	99.44%	100.00%		N/A

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
by their third birthday.	99.77%	100.00%	99.84%	N

#### LEA: 9150 - DHS: DIVISION of DEVELOPMENTAL DISABILITIES SERVICES

# **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition**

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	98.58	100.00	100.00%	Y

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

# **Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes**

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	18.17	13.35		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	52.19	49.04		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	54.64	59.36	-	N/A

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the allotted review	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	Y
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

<sup>\*</sup>Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for Special Education Employees - Cycle 4