2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 0101 - DEWITT SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	1.39%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	Y
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	98.82%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	98.92%	Y

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	29.76%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	36.96%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.63% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	31.33%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	22.00%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	1.33%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i	ndicator i	s not bein	g reported at
	this time .			

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

		tional Skills Knowledge and Skills Appropriate uding social (Including early language/ Behaviors to		Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/		nowledge and Skills Appropriate ncluding early language/ Behaviors to		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate		
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%			
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%			
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%			
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%			

Summary Statements	· ′	ositive Social -Emotional cills*								1 / -			
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A	
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A	

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	96.77%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10	30.77%	Y

В.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		42.95	49.10	53.85%	Y

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	69.23%	Y

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 0104 - STUTTGART SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	66.67%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	3.33%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	26.32%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	28.41%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.51% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.00	0.00	Y

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	34.48%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	15.86%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i	ndicator i	s not bein	g reported at
	this time .			

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/		C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate		
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%	10.00%	0.83%			
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%	10.00%	16.37%	10.00%		
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.		60.00%	43.32%	60.00%	40.24%	40.00%		
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%	40.00%	14.36%	20.00%	37.80%	50.00%		

Summary Statements		A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%	100.00%	Y	89.61%	90.50%	87.50%	N	91.00%	92.50%	100.00%	Y
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%	100.00%	Y	57.68%	60.50%	80.00%	Y	78.03%	78.50%	90.00%	Y

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	100.00%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Υ
of 60 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	100.00%	Υ
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

LEA: 0104 - STUTTGART SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecond education or training program; or	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some of employment within one year of leavin high school.	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	Y
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 0201 - CROSSETT SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	77.78%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	4.41%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	Y

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	21.98%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	31.87%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	1.53% pts	N

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Υ
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.00	0.00	-

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	72.83%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	10.33%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported at this time.			

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i	ndicator i	s not bein	g reported at
	this time .			

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ Behaviors to		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%		
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%		
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%		
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%		
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%		

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			' -				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	95.38%	N

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of ou days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00	100.00%	Y

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10	33.33%	Y

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employ within one year of leaving high so	yed Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.95	49.10	44.44%	N

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	44.44%	N

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 0203 - HAMBURG SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	80.00%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	1.64%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	Y
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	98.89%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	98.97%	Y

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	37.08%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	43.75%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development	0.00	0.00	
and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target		Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	85.47%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	0.00%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	4.65%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i	ndicator i	s not bein	g reported at
	this time .			

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	Emotional (Including	Emotional Skills Knowledge and Skills (Including social (Including early language/				eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements		sitive Social -Emotional ills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	95.35%	N

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 60 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00	100.00%	Y

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecond education or training program; or	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some of employment within one year of leavin high school.	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 0302 - COTTER SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	80.00%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	5.88%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	35.71%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	52.94%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	75.29%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	4.71%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State State LEA Target Met Rate Target Rate by LEA(Y/N)				
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at	

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported this time.		g reported at	

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		ge and Skills Appropriate gearly language/ Behaviors to	
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%	<u> </u>	1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements		A) Positive Social -Emotional Bkills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	100.00%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of ou days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Υ

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.95	49.10		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecond education or training program; or	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some of employment within one year of leavin high school.	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 0303 - MOUNTAIN HOME SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	62.50%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	3.03%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	Y

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	99.49%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	99.54%	Y

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	39.09%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	50.46%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	75.36%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	15.22%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.24%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i	ndicator i	s not bein	g reported at
	this time .			

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		(Including early language/ Behaviors to		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%		
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%		
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%		
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%		
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%		

Summary Statements		sitive So 11s*	cial -En	al -Emotional B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills ** C) Use of Appropriate Behave to Meet Their Needs			1 ' -					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	98.94%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of 60 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

LEA: 0303 - MOUNTAIN HOME SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 0304 - NORFORK SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	33.33%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	9.09%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	Y
Literacy	N		37.98%	N/A
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	Y

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	62.50%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	66.67%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Υ
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.00	0.00	-

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	76.47%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	11.76%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported at this time.			

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported this time.		g reported at	

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		d Skills Appropriate y language/ Behaviors to	
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	38.05%		43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements	· ′	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills ** C) Use of Appropriate Be to Meet Their Needs			1 ′ -							
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	96.15%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of 60 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.95	49.10		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecond education or training program; or	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some of employment within one year of leavin high school.	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 0401 - BENTONVILLE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	76.19%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	2.30%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	Y
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	98.08%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	98.19%	Y

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	55.60%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	66.20%	Y

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	-0.03% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	47.40%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	22.00%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	2.60%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported at this time.			

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported at			g reported at

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%	8.00%	1.26%	4.00%	0.83%	4.00%
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%	14.00%	7.65%	12.00%	4.77%	18.00%
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%	30.00%	33.42%	38.00%	16.37%	28.00%
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	38.05%	22.00%	43.32%	20.00%	40.24%	22.00%
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%	26.00%	14.36%	26.00%	37.80%	28.00%

Summary Statements	· ′	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills* B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills ** C) Use of Appropriate to Meet Their N			′ -							
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%	70.27%	N	89.61%	90.50%	78.38%	N	91.00%	92.50%	69.44%	N
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%	48.00%	N	57.68%	60.50%	46.00%	N	78.03%	78.50%	50.00%	N

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%	77.27%	N
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	94.63%	N

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of oo days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw periods.	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	Y
	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 0402 - DECATUR SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	75.00%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	4.76%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	96.67%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	96.88%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	24.14%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	29.03%	N

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	-0.23% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.00	0.00	Y

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	50.91%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	7.27%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	7.27%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State State LEA Target Met Rate Target Rate by LEA(Y/N)				
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at	

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported			g reported at
	this time .			

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	38.05%		43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements		A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	82.14%	N

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.95	49.10		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10		N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 0403 - GENTRY SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	83.33%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	34.12%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	45.83%	N

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Υ
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.00	0.00	-

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	62.16%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	4.73%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	2.03%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State State LEA Target Met Rate Target Rate by LEA(Y/N)				
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at	

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported at this time.			g reported at

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early liter		C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	38.05%		43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements		A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	87.67%	N

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of 60 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.95	49.10		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10		N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 0404 - GRAVETTE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	78.57%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	4.71%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	31.07%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	47.75%	N

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.50% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	64.45%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	8.53%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State State LEA Target Met Rate Target Rate by LEA(Y/N)				
	This indicator is not being reported at this time.				

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported			g reported at
	this time .			

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements		Positive Social -Emotional Skills* B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills ** C) Use of Appropriate B to Meet Their Needs										
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	96.30%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 0405 - ROGERS SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	83.33%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	2.07%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	Y
Literacy	Y		37.98%	Y
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	Y

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	99.78%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	99.79%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	51.46%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	59.79%	Y

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.50% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Υ
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.00	0.00	-

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	51.12%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	15.95%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	1.02%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State State LEA Target Met Rate Target Rate by LEA(Y/N)				
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at	

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported this time.			g reported at

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	38.05%		43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements		A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	94.95%	N

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of oo days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.95	49.10		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 0406 - SILOAM SPRINGS SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	90.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	1.33%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	Y
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	32.54%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	49.78%	N

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.07% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Υ
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.00	0.00	-

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	78.01%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	1.31%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	2.09%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported a this time.			

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%	<u> </u>	1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements) Positive Social -Emotional Skills* B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills ** C) Use of Approto to Meet Their			, -			opriate Behaviors r Needs				
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	90.24%	N

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	98.18%	N
of oo days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	98.18%	N

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

LEA: 0406 - SILOAM SPRINGS SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 0407 - PEA RIDGE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	94.44%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	3.28%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	Y
Literacy	Y		37.98%	Y
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	Y

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	37.35%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	70.97%	Y

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>≤</u> 1.36	-0.07% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Υ
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.00	0.00	-

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

11) 111 1110 1108011111 211111 00 70 01 111010 01 1110 1111								
Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)				
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	58.45%	N				

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	6.34%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	4.23%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

, -g					
Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	This indicator is not being reported at				
		th	is time .		

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reporte			g reported at
	this time.			

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements		sitive So	cial -En	notional	ional B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs			
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	94.00%	N

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of ou days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw periods.	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 0440 - BENTON COUNTY SCHOOL OF ARTS

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	80.00%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	N	17.15%	19.38%	N/A
Literacy	N		37.98%	N/A
Mathematics	N/A		29.07%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	96.97%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	97.14%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	31.25%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	50.00%	N

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.00	0.00	Y

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	86.27%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	0.00%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported at this time.			

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported a			g reported at
	this time .			

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		ledge and Skills Appropriate ding early language/ Behaviors to	
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements		sitive So 11s*	cial -En	-Emotional B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills ** C) Use of Appropriate Behavior to Meet Their Needs			1 ' -					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	94.44%	N

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00	100.00%	Y

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 0501 - ALPENA SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	60.00%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	9.09%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	97.83%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	98.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	26.67%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	38.78%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Υ
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.00	0.00	-

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	64.20%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	3.70%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i	ndicator i	s not bein	g reported at
	this time .			

		tional Skills Knowledge and Skills Appropriate uding social (Including early language/ Behaviors to		Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	38.05%		43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements	l ′	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills* B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills ** C) Use of Appropriate Boto Meet Their Needs			, , , ,							
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	98.85%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of ou days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00	100.00%	Y

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.95	49.10		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecond education or training program; or	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some of employment within one year of leavin high school.	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 0502 - BERGMAN SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	70.00%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	Y
Literacy	Y		37.98%	Y
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	Y

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	98.46%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	98.65%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	54.69%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	65.28%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	-0.43% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	66.92%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	7.52%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported at this time.			

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i	ndicator i	s not bein	g reported at
	this time.			

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		(Including early language/ Behaviors to		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%		
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%		
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%		
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%		
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%		

Summary Statements												
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	100.00%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10	22.22%	Y

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.95	49.10	44.44%	N

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	55.56%	N

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 0503 - HARRISON SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	69.23%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	3.45%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	43.08%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	43.18%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Υ
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.00	0.00	-

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	55.33%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	11.89%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.82%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate					
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at		

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported at this time.			g reported at

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ Behaviors to	
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%	10.00%	4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%	30.00%	33.42%	50.00%	16.37%	20.00%
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.		40.00%	43.32%	40.00%	40.24%	60.00%
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%	30.00%	14.36%		37.80%	20.00%

Summary Statements	· ′	sitive So lls*	cial -Em	otional	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills ** C) Use of Appropriate Beh to Meet Their Needs							
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%	100.00%	Y	89.61%	90.50%	90.00%	N	91.00%	92.50%	100.00%	Y
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%	70.00%	Y	57.68%	60.50%	40.00%	N	78.03%	78.50%	80.00%	Y

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%	100.00%	Y
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	97.99%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Υ
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	100.00%	Υ
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	Y
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 0504 - OMAHA SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	Y
Literacy	Y		37.98%	Y
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	Y

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	25.93%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	48.39%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>≤</u> 1.36	3.92% pts	N

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.00	0.00	Y

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	67.80%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	1.69%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i	ndicator i	s not bein	g reported at
	this time .			

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		Knowledge and Skills Appropriate (Including early language/ Behaviors to		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%		
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%		
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%		
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	38.05%		43.32%		40.24%		
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%		

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors		
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	100.00%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of ou days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecond education or training program; or	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some of employment within one year of leavin high school.	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 0505 - VALLEY SPRINGS SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	83.33%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	Y
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	48.28%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	58.62%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>≤</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	67.89%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	4.59%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	1.83%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported this time.		g reported at	

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		Knowledge and Skills Appropriate (Including early language/ Behaviors to		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%	<u> </u>	1.26%		0.83%		
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%		
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%		
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%		
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%		

Summary Statements		sitive So	e Social -Emotional			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **						
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	100.00%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

LEA: 0505 - VALLEY SPRINGS SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 0506 - LEAD HILL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	80.00%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	Y

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	20.69%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	46.88%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	1.67% pts	N

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development	0.00	0.00	
and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	60.00%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	5.00%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported this time.		g reported at	

	Emotional (Including	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ Behaviors to		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate		
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%	<u> </u>	1.26%		0.83%			
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%			
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%			
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%			

					A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **						
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)		
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A		
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A		

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	92.31%	N

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
or ou days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00	100.00%	Y

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10	33.33%	Y

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.95	49.10	33.33%	N

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	33.33%	N

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 0520 - OZARK UNLIMITED RESOURCES CO-OP

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%		NA

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%		NA

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	N/A	17.15%	19.38%	N/A
Literacy	N/A		37.98%	N/A
Mathematics	N/A		29.07%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%		N/A
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%		N/A

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%		N/A
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%		N/A

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36		N/A

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.00	0.00	N/A

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%		NA

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	-	NA

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%		NA

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported this time.		g reported at	

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs		
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%		
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%	13.53%	7.65%	11.28%	4.77%	11.28%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%	28.57%	33.42%	36.84%	16.37%	19.55%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.		31.58%	43.32%	36.84%	40.24%	34.59%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%	26.32%	14.36%	15.04%	37.80%	34.59%	

Summary Statements A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*				B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%	81.63%	N	89.61%	90.50%	86.73%	N	91.00%	92.50%	82.76%	N
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%	57.89%	N	57.68%	60.50%	51.88%	N	78.03%	78.50%	69.17%	N

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%	92.59%	Y
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%		N/A

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	N/A

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	N/A

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%		N/A

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

LEA: 0520 - OZARK UNLIMITED RESOURCES CO-OP

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw periods.	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	Y
	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	N/A

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 0601 - HERMITAGE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	4.76%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	N	17.15%	19.38%	N/A
Literacy	N		37.98%	N/A
Mathematics	N/A		29.07%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	15.00%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	30.43%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.00	0.00	Y

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	90.70%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	2.33%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special	State	State	LEA	Target Met	
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)	
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	This indicator is not being reported at this time .				

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported at this time.			

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	Emotional Skills (Including social		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State LEA Rate Rate		State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	91.18%	N

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	75.00%	N
or oo days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	75.00%	N

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw periods.	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 0602 - WARREN SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	73.33%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	1.92%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	Y

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	18.06%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	30.00%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	71.54%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	3.08%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported at this time.			

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported at			
	this time .			

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	96.34%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00	50.00%	N

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.95	49.10		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 0701 - HAMPTON SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	60.00%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	3.45%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	14.71%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	29.41%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	55.56%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	3.70%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
	This indicator is not being reported at this time.				

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported at			
	this time .			

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	92.31%	N

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
or or days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	1	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw periods.	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 0801 - BERRYVILLE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	81.25%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	6.82%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	Y

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	98.46%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	98.57%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	35.16%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	57.35%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.88% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Υ
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.00	0.00	-

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	56.42%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	8.26%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	1.38%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
	This indicator is not being reported at this time.				

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported a this time.			

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	97.85%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of oo days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw periods.	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 0802 - EUREKA SPRINGS SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	54.55%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	5.00%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	Y
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	94.74%	N
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	94.59%	N

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	41.67%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	42.86%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Υ
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.00	0.00	-

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	63.16%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	11.84%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	7.89%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
	This indicator is not being reported at this time.				

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported at			
	this time .			

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%	<u> </u>	1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	100.00%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of of days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

LEA: 0802 - EUREKA SPRINGS SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00	75.00%	N

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw periods.	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 0803 - GREEN FOREST SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	73.33%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	4.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	Y
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	42.37%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	37.29%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.72% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development	0.00	0.00	V
and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.00	0.00	I

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	61.11%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	6.35%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special	State	State	LEA	Target Met		
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)		
education and related services in the regular early childhood program		This indicator is not being reported at this time.				

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported at			
	this time .			

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	100.00%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00	100.00%	Y

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

В.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		42.95	49.10		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some of employment within one year of leavin high school.	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw periods.	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 0901 - DERMOTT SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	66.67%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	Y

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	96.77%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	96.97%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	10.00%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	31.25%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Υ
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.00	0.00	-

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	56.14%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	22.81%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special	State	State	LEA	Target Met		
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)		
ducation and related services in the regular early childhood program		This indicator is not being reported at this time.				

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported a this time.			

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State LEA Rate Rate		State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	38.05%		43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	95.83%	N

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by	
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)	
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y	

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00	0.00%	N

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10		N/A

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 0903 - LAKESIDE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	62.50%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	Y
Literacy	Y		37.98%	Y
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	Y

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	24.14%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	28.13%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	-0.47% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Υ
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.00	0.00	-

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	72.65%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	11.97%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.85%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State State LEA Target Met Rate Target Rate by LEA(Y/N)				
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at	

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported			g reported at
	this time.			

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements		sitive So	cial -En	notional	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs				
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%		N/A

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00	100.00%	Y

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	-	N/A

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 1002 - ARKADELPHIA SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	73.33%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	1.61%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	23.58%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	35.66%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	-0.23% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	48.99%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	13.64%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State State LEA Target Met Rate Target Rate by LEA(Y/N)					
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at		

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported			g reported at
	this time .			

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	95.24%	N

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

LEA: 1002 - ARKADELPHIA SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecond education or training program; or	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some of employment within one year of leavin high school.	60.10	-	N/A

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 1003 - GURDON SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	70.00%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	2.63%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	Y
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	45.45%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	36.73%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>≤</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Υ
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.00	0.00	-

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	82.02%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	5.62%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State State LEA Target Met Rate Target Rate by LEA(Y/N)				
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at	

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported			g reported at
	this time.			

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements		sitive So 11s*	cial -En	notional				sition and Use of ledge and Skills **		C) Use of Appropriate I to Meet Their Needs		
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	92.86%	N

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00	100.00%	Y

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10	25.00%	Y

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.95	49.10	25.00%	N

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	25.00%	N

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 1020 - DAWSON EDUCATION SERVICE CO-OP

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	1	NA

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%		NA

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	N/A	17.15%	19.38%	N/A
Literacy	N/A		37.98%	N/A
Mathematics	N/A		29.07%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%		N/A
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%		N/A

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%		N/A
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%		N/A

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36		N/A

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development	0.00	0.00	NI/A
and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	N/A
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%		NA

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	-	NA

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%		NA

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State State LEA Target Met Rate Target Rate by LEA(Y/N)				
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at	

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported this time.			g reported at

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%	3.42%	7.65%	2.99%	4.77%	3.42%
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%	33.33%	33.42%	51.71%	16.37%	22.22%
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.		43.16%	43.32%	32.91%	40.24%	45.73%
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%	20.09%	14.36%	12.39%	37.80%	28.63%

Summary Statements	· ′) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs				
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%	95.72%	Y	89.61%	90.50%	96.59%	Y	91.00%	92.50%	95.21%	Y
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%	63.25%	N	57.68%	60.50%	45.30%	N	78.03%	78.50%	74.36%	N

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%	89.66%	Y
	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%		N/A

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	N/A

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	N/A

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.95	49.10		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecond education or training program; or	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some of employment within one year of leavin high school.	60.10	-	N/A

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	Y
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	N/A

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 1101 - CORNING SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	87.50%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	4.69%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	Y

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	48.57%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	57.35%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>≤</u> 1.36	0.67% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	45.27%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	8.11%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.68%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	8				
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at		

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported a			

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy		C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements		sitive So 11s*	Social -Emotional B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills ** C) Use of Appropriate Behavior to Meet Their Needs			1 ' -						
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	96.77%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	-	N/A

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2011/12 School Year

Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 1104 - PIGGOTT SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	91.67%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	1.54%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	Y
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	45.61%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	50.85%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	46.04%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	10.07%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.72%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate					
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at		

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported			g reported at
	this time.			

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	38.05%		43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements		sitive So 11s*				B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs			
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	96.97%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00	100.00%	Y

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10	7.69%	N

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.95	49.10	38.46%	N

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	46.15%	N

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 1106 - RECTOR SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	60.00%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	1.96%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	Y
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	38.10%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	48.33%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>≤</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Υ
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.00	0.00	-

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	61.74%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	3.48%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate					
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at		

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported this time.			g reported at

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements		sitive So 11s*	cial -En	notional		B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs			
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	95.59%	N

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

В.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		42.95	49.10		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10		N/A

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw periods.	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 1201 - CONCORD SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	97.92%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	98.18%	Y

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	38.30%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	48.15%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Υ
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.00	0.00	-

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	55.70%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	3.80%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	1.27%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i	ndicator i	s not bein	g reported at
	this time .			

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		(Including early language/ Behaviors to		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%		
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%		
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%		
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%		
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%		

Summary Statements		A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **							
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	89.47%	N

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.95	49.10		N/A

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10		N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 1202 - HEBER SPRINGS SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	71.43%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	5.17%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	21.18%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	36.36%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	54.43%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	15.82%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.63%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported this time.		g reported at	

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		Knowledge and Skills Appropriate (Including early language/ Behaviors to		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%		
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%		
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%		
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%		
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%		

Summary Statements		sitive So	cial -En	notional	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **							
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	94.74%	N

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

LEA: 1202 - HEBER SPRINGS SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00	100.00%	Y

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 1203 - QUITMAN SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	66.67%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	5.13%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	Y
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	36.00%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	36.36%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	55.06%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	1.12%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i	ndicator i	s not bein	g reported at
	this time .			

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ Behaviors to		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%		
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%		
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%		
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%		
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%		

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors		
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	88.89%	N

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of ou days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

LEA: 1203 - QUITMAN SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.95	49.10		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecond education or training program; or	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some of employment within one year of leavin high school.	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 1204 - WEST SIDE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	75.00%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	3.70%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	N		37.98%	N/A
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	26.32%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	51.22%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	81.97%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	0.00%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	8.20%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i	ndicator i	s not bein	g reported at
	this time .			

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		(Including early language/ Behaviors to		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%		
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%		
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%		
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	38.05%		43.32%		40.24%		
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%		

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills* B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **											
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	96.43%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of 60 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00	100.00%	Y

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.95	49.10		N/A

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10		N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 1304 - WOODLAWN SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	Y

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	42.11%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	55.00%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.00	0.00	Y

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	79.69%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	1.56%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i	ndicator i	s not bein	g reported at
	this time .			

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		nd Skills Appropriate rly language/ Behaviors to	
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	38.05%		43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

		sitive Social -Emotional lls*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills ** C) Use of Appropriate Beh to Meet Their Needs							
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	96.55%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10	25.00%	Y

edı	rcent of youth enrolled in higher ucation or competitively employed thin one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		42.95	49.10	25.00%	N

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	50.00%	N

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 1305 - CLEVELAND COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	87.50%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	6.25%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	Y
Literacy	Y		37.98%	Y
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	Y

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	97.67%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	97.62%	Y

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	30.95%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	46.34%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	-0.26% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	83.75%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	2.50%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported at this time.			

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported this time.		g reported at	

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		Inowledge and Skills Including early language/ Appropriate Behaviors to	
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements		sitive So 11s*	cial -En	-Emotional B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills ** C) Use of Appropriate to Meet Their Needs			, -					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	100.00%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

LEA: 1305 - CLEVELAND COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.95	49.10		N/A

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 1402 - MAGNOLIA SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	70.00%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	1.63%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	13.71%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	23.02%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	-0.04% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	36.69%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	12.90%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State State LEA Target Met Rate Target Rate by LEA(Y/N)			
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being report this time.		g reported at	

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		Knowledge and Skills Appropriate (Including early language/ Behaviors to		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%		
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%		
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%		
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	38.05%		43.32%		40.24%		
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%		

Summary Statements		Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	94.78%	N

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	95.45%	N
of 60 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	95.45%	N

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

LEA: 1402 - MAGNOLIA SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecond education or training program; or	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some of employment within one year of leavin high school.	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 1408 - EMERSON-TAYLOR SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from high school with a regular diploma	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	75.31%	85.00%	87.50%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	3.85%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	Y
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	50.00%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	39.02%	N

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	-1.48% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	72.46%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	7.25%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	1.45%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State State LEA Target Met Rate Target Rate by LEA(Y/N)				
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at	

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported this time.			g reported at

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%	<u> </u>	1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements		Positive Social -Emotional B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills ** C) Use of Appropriation to Meet Their New Control Contro			′ -							
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	96.67%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

LEA: 1408 - EMERSON-TAYLOR SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecond education or training program; or	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some of employment within one year of leavin high school.	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw periods.	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 1503 - NEMO VISTA SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	80.00%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	3.45%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	42.86%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	64.29%	Y

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.00	0.00	Y

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	60.29%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	5.88%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State State LEA Target Met Rate Target Rate by LEA(Y/N)				
	This indicator is not being reported at this time.				

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported a this time.			g reported at

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	I		Skills Appropriate anguage/ Behaviors to	
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements	A) Positive Soci Skills*		Positive Social -Emotional Skills* B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			, -				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	81.82%	N

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

LEA: 1503 - NEMO VISTA SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00	100.00%	Y

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecond education or training program; or	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some of employment within one year of leavin high school.	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 1505 - WONDERVIEW SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	Y
Literacy	Y		37.98%	Y
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	Y

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	30.77%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	40.00%	N

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.00	0.00	Y

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	47.83%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	4.35%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported this time.			g reported at

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	38.05%		43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements	· ′	,			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	100.00%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of oo days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

LEA: 1505 - WONDERVIEW SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw periods.	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 1507 - SO. CONWAY CO. SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	78.95%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	2.33%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	27.78%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	34.69%	N

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.13% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Υ
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.00	0.00	-

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	60.77%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	11.48%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.48%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State State LEA Target Met Rate Target Rate by LEA(Y/N)				
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at	

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported this time.			g reported at

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	38.05%		43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

		A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%		N/A

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	97.30%	N
or oo days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	97.30%	N

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

LEA: 1507 - SO. CONWAY CO. SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10	0.00%	N

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.95	49.10	33.33%	N

C.	C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		55.92	60.10	37.50%	N

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 1520 - ARCH FORD EDUCATION SERVICE CO-OP

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%		NA

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%		NA

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	N/A	17.15%	19.38%	N/A
Literacy	N/A		37.98%	N/A
Mathematics	N/A		29.07%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%		N/A
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%		N/A

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%		N/A
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%		N/A

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	-	N/A

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.00	0.00	N/A

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%		NA

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	-	NA

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%		NA

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported this time.			g reported at

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%	2.19%	7.65%	13.38%	4.77%	1.22%
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%	3.16%	33.42%	12.65%	16.37%	2.92%
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	38.05%	15.82%	43.32%	48.91%	40.24%	15.09%
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%	78.83%	14.36%	25.06%	37.80%	80.78%

Summary Statements		B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills ** C) Use of Appropriate Be to Meet Their Needs			, -							
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%	89.66%	N	89.61%	90.50%	82.14%	N	91.00%	92.50%	93.67%	Y
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%	94.65%	Y	57.68%	60.50%	73.97%	Y	78.03%	78.50%	95.86%	Y

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%	96.02%	Y
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%		N/A

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	N/A

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	N/A

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of oo days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

LEA: 1520 - ARCH FORD EDUCATION SERVICE CO-OP

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.95	49.10		N/A

C.	C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		55.92	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	Y
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	N/A

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 1601 - BAY SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	2.27%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	Y
Literacy	Y		37.98%	Y
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	Y

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	33.33%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	40.82%	N

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	-0.21% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.00	0.00	Y

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	43.59%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	17.95%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported a			g reported at
	this time.			

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	38.05%		43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements	· ′				, -				of Appropriate Behaviors eet Their Needs			
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	100.00%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of oo days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw periods.	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 1602 - WESTSIDE CONS. SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	66.67%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	4.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	32.46%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	40.32%	N

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	-0.14% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.00	0.00	Y

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	56.25%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	12.98%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	4.33%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State State LEA Target Met Rate Target Rate by LEA(Y/N)				
	This indicator is not being reported at this time.				

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported this time.			g reported at

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%	<u> </u>	1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements		,			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	94.94%	N

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of oo days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

LEA: 1602 - WESTSIDE CONS. SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.95	49.10		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 1603 - BROOKLAND SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	84.62%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	1.75%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	Y
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	52.81%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	50.00%	N

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Υ
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.00	0.00	-

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	55.90%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	10.26%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.51%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported at this time.			

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported at this time.			

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		dge and Skills Appropriate ng early language/ Behaviors to	
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements	l ′	sitive So 11s*	cial -En	notional	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills ** C) Use of Appropriate Bo to Meet Their Needs							
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	99.07%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of 60 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

LEA: 1603 - BROOKLAND SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 1605 - BUFFALO IS. CENTRAL SCH. DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	83.33%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	1.61%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	98.72%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	98.70%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	24.68%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	40.79%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Υ
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.00	0.00	-

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	36.89%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	1.64%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported a this time.		g reported at	

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		Knowledge and Skills Appropriate (Including early language/Behaviors to		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%		
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%		
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%		
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	38.05%		43.32%		40.24%		
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%		

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*						B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	95.33%	N

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

LEA: 1605 - BUFFALO IS. CENTRAL SCH. DISTRICT

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.95	49.10		N/A

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10		N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 1608 - JONESBORO SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	63.83%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	3.37%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	99.51%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	99.50%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	30.67%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	39.15%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	-0.11% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Υ
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.00	0.00	-

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	42.88%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	11.89%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.15%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i	ndicator i	s not bein	g reported at
	this time .			

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		Knowledge and Skills Appropriate (Including early language/ Behaviors to		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%		
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%		
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%		
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%		
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%		

Summary Statements		sitive Social -Emotional ills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	91.81%	N

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of 60 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

LEA: 1608 - JONESBORO SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00	100.00%	Y

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecond education or training program; or	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some of employment within one year of leavin high school.	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 1611 - NETTLETON SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	76.92%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	2.25%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	Y
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	99.55%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	99.56%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	37.73%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	44.93%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.26% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Υ
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.00	0.00	-

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	39.49%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	19.74%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported a this time.		g reported at	

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ Behaviors to		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%		
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%		
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%		
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%		
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%		

Summary Statements		sitive So	ive Social -Emotional *			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	91.86%	N

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of 60 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

LEA: 1611 - NETTLETON SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00	100.00%	Y

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10		N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 1612 - VALLEY VIEW SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	80.00%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	Y
Literacy	Y		37.98%	Y
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	Y

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	99.12%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	99.17%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	59.29%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	59.66%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.00	0.00	Y

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	71.17%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	11.71%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State State LEA Target Met Rate Target Rate by LEA(Y/N)				
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at	

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported this time.		g reported at	

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%	<u> </u>	1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements		sitive So 11s*	tive Social -Emotional ls*									
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	100.00%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

LEA: 1612 - VALLEY VIEW SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

В.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		42.95	49.10		N/A

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10		N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 1613 - RIVERSIDE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	87.50%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	1.61%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	Y

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	27.03%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	44.16%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	-0.30% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	46.97%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	6.82%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.76%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being report this time.		g reported at	

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		Knowledge and Skills Appropriate (Including early language/ Behaviors to		nal Skills Man Sk		C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate				
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%					
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%					
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%					
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	38.05%		43.32%		40.24%					
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%					

Summary Statements		Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	90.43%	N

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	96.30%	N
of 60 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	96.30%	N

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecond education or training program; or	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some of employment within one year of leavin high school.	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 1701 - ALMA SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	96.15%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	3.68%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	41.26%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	56.65%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	-0.03% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Υ
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.00	0.00	-

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	74.88%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	14.11%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.24%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i	ndicator i	s not bein	g reported at
	this time .			

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ Behaviors to		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%		
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%		
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%		
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%		
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%		

Summary Statements					1 / -									
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)		
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A		
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A		

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	94.53%	N

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of 60 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10	22.22%	Y

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.95	49.10	33.33%	N

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	44.44%	N

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 1702 - CEDARVILLE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	85.71%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	Y
Literacy	Y		37.98%	Y
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	Y

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	26.67%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	45.28%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	1.12% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.00	0.00	Y

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	56.12%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	15.31%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	1.02%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

_				
Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	This i			g reported at
		th	is time .	

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being report this time.		g reported at	

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs		
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%		
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%		
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%		
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	38.05%		43.32%		40.24%		
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%		

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*				B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs				
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	91.23%	N

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of oo days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

LEA: 1702 - CEDARVILLE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw periods.	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 1703 - MOUNTAINBURG SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	71.43%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	16.98%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	38.18%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	2.06% pts	N

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	55.10%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	15.31%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	2.04%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
	This indicator is not being reported at this time.				

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported at			
	this time .			

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%	<u> </u>	1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	100.00%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

LEA: 1703 - MOUNTAINBURG SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	1	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 1704 - MULBERRY SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	66.67%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	Y
Literacy	Y		37.98%	Y
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	Y

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	96.55%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	96.97%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	25.00%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	40.63%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	1.45% pts	N

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	44.16%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	10.39%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	7.79%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special	State	State	LEA	Target Met		
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)		
education and related services in the regular early childhood program		This indicator is not being reported at this time.				

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported at			
	this time .			

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	100.00%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
or oo days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.95	49.10		N/A

C.	C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		55.92	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw periods.	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 1705 - VAN BUREN SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	85.71%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	5.49%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	98.61%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	98.70%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	37.92%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	45.62%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.35% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	65.86%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	13.11%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.65%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State	State	LEA	Target Met		
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)		
education and related services in the regular early childhood program		This indicator is not being reported at this time.				

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported at			
	this time .			

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%	4.00%	7.65%	12.00%	4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	4.00%
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.		24.00%	43.32%	12.00%	40.24%	20.00%
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%	72.00%	14.36%	76.00%	37.80%	76.00%

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%	85.71%	N	89.61%	90.50%	50.00%	N	91.00%	92.50%	100.00%	Y
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%	96.00%	Y	57.68%	60.50%	88.00%	Y	78.03%	78.50%	96.00%	Y

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%	89.80%	Y
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	93.98%	N

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	99.33%	N
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	97.92%	N
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10	12.73%	N

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.95	49.10	47.27%	N

C.	C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		55.92	60.10	54.55%	N

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw periods.	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	Y
	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 1802 - EARLE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	72.73%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	Y

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	97.92%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	97.92%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	12.77%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	19.15%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Υ
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.00	0.00	-

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	23.81%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	11.90%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special	State	State	LEA	Target Met		
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)		
education and related services in the regular early childhood program		This indicator is not being reported at this time.				

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported at			
	this time .			

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs	
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%		N

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of 60 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00	100.00%	Y

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecond education or training program; or	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some of employment within one year of leavin high school.	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 1803 - WEST MEMPHIS SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	47.54%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	4.82%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	98.44%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	98.54%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	31.93%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	41.69%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	3.38% pts	N

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Υ
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.00	0.00	-

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	36.19%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	14.53%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.44%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special	State	State	LEA	Target Met		
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)		
education and related services in the regular early childhood program		This indicator is not being reported at this time.				

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported a			

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State LEA Rate Rate		State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	38.05%		43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	96.42%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

LEA: 1803 - WEST MEMPHIS SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C.	C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		55.92	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	N
the alloted reveiw periods.	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	N

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 1804 - MARION SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	75.00%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	5.05%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	Y
Literacy	Y		37.98%	Y
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	Y

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	96.69%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	96.97%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	33.48%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	46.09%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	2.15% pts	N

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.00	0.00	Y

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	31.09%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	24.57%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.87%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special	State	State	LEA	Target Met		
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)		
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	This indicator is not being reported at this time.					

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported at			
	this time .			

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	87.88%	N

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	95.31%	N
of oo days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	95.31%	N

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements			Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw periods.	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 1901 - CROSS COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	66.67%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	6.25%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	95.45%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	96.08%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	35.71%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	44.68%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	1.80% pts	N

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	60.67%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	8.99%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	2.25%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
	This indicator is not being reported at this time.				

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported a this time .			g reported at

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	85.71%	N

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by	
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)	
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y	

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by	
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)	
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y	

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

LEA: 1901 - CROSS COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10	9.09%	N

educatior	f youth enrolled in higher or competitively employed e year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		42.95	49.10	27.27%	N

6	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
(competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	45.45%	N

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 1905 - WYNNE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	67.86%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	3.70%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	Y
Literacy	Y		37.98%	Y
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	Y

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	99.03%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	99.10%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	33.82%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	58.90%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.04% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	35.95%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	17.52%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.60%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special	State Rate					
education and related services in the regular early childhood program		This indicator is not being reported at this time.				

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported a			

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	ppropriate	
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%		
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%		
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%		
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	38.05%		43.32%		40.24%		
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%		

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	97.12%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
by their third birthday.	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	-	N/A

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 2002 - FORDYCE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	94.12%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	5.13%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	16.67%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>≤</u> 1.36	-0.26% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	21.93%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	5.88%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	60.96%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State State LEA Target Met Rate Target Rate by LEA(Y/N)					
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at		

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported this time.			g reported at

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements		bitive Social -Emotional B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills ** C) Use of Appropriate Behavior to Meet Their Needs			, -							
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	100.00%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10		N/A

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 2104 - DUMAS SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	80.00%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	20.00%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	26.04%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.40% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	40.94%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	23.49%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.67%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate					
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at		

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported this time.			g reported at

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	38.05%		43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements		sitive So	cial -En	notional	ional B) Acquisition and U Knowledge and S							
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	85.48%	N

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
by their third birthday.	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecond education or training program; or	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some of employment within one year of leavin high school.	60.10	-	N/A

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 2105 - MCGEHEE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	83.33%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	Y

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	97.30%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	97.40%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	19.72%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	37.84%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	-0.10% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	66.67%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	4.86%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State State LEA Target Met Rate Target Rate by LEA(Y/N)					
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at		

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported this time.			g reported at

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements		sitive So	cial -En	notional	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills				C) Use of Appropriate Behavio to Meet Their Needs			
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	96.15%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.95	49.10		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecond education or training program; or	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some of employment within one year of leavin high school.	60.10	-	N/A

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 2202 - DREW CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	85.71%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	32.14%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	52.50%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	-0.35% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	56.16%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	13.70%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate					
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at		

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported this time.			g reported at

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements	· ′	sitive So 11s*	cial -En	al -Emotional B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills ** C) Use of Appropriate Behavior to Meet Their Needs			1 / -					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	100.00%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Υ
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

LEA: 2202 - DREW CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.95	49.10		N/A

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	-	N/A

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 2203 - MONTICELLO SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	85.71%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	1.45%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	Y
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	97.78%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	97.65%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	40.91%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	32.53%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	-0.10% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	42.94%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	16.56%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.61%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State State LEA Target Met Rate Target Rate by LEA(Y/N)					
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at		

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported this time.			g reported at

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements		Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs				
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%		N/A

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

LEA: 2203 - MONTICELLO SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecond education or training program; or	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some of employment within one year of leavin high school.	60.10	-	N/A

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 2220 - SOUTHEAST ARKANSAS EDUCATIONAL SERVICE CO-OP

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%		NA

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%		NA

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	N/A	17.15%	19.38%	N/A
Literacy	N/A		37.98%	N/A
Mathematics	N/A		29.07%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%		N/A
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	-	N/A

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%		N/A
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%		N/A

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	-	N/A

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development	0.00	0.00	NI/A
and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	N/A
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%		NA

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	-	NA

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%		NA

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State State LEA Target Met Rate Target Rate by LEA(Y/N)				
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at	

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported a			

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	eds	
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%	2.64%	1.26%	2.97%	0.83%	0.99%
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%	0.33%	4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%	4.29%	33.42%	10.89%	16.37%	1.98%
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	38.05%	35.64%	43.32%	67.66%	40.24%	34.65%
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%	57.43%	14.36%	18.15%	37.80%	62.38%

Summary Statements		sitive So lls*	cial -En	notional				cquisition and Use of nowledge and Skills **		C) Use of Appropriate to Meet Their Needs		
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%	93.80%	Y	89.61%	90.50%	95.97%	Y	91.00%	92.50%	97.37%	Y
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%	93.07%	Y	57.68%	60.50%	85.81%	Y	78.03%	78.50%	97.03%	Y

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%	93.85%	Y
	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%		N/A

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	N/A

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	N/A

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	99.84%	N
	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	99.84%	N
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

LEA: 2220 - SOUTHEAST ARKANSAS EDUCATIONAL SERVICE CO-OP

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.95	49.10		N/A

educ educ	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	-	N/A

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw periods.	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	Y
	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	N/A

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 2301 - CONWAY SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	75.53%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	3.91%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	Y
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	99.65%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	99.68%	Y

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	57.27%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	66.40%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.32% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Υ
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.00	0.00	-

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	59.98%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	17.77%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.55%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported a this time.		g reported at	

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	38.05%		43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements		A) Positive So Skills*		notional	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **							
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	91.33%	N

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of 60 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 2303 - GREENBRIER SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	90.91%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	2.53%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	Y
Literacy	Y		37.98%	Y
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	Y

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	52.05%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	56.99%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	62.35%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	12.06%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.59%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i	ndicator i	s not bein	g reported at
	this time .			

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		owledge and Skills Appropriate Eluding early language/ Behaviors to	
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	38.05%		43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements		sitive So	cial -En	notional	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **							
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	91.18%	N

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	1	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 2304 - GUY-PERKINS SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	Y
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	40.74%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	51.61%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	73.68%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	5.26%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	1.75%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported at this time.			

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i	ndicator i	s not bein	g reported at
	this time .			

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		(Including early language/ Behaviors to		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%		
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%		
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%		
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%		
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%		

_) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			1 '				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)		
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A		
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A		

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	96.55%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00	0.00%	N

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10	40.00%	Y

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.95	49.10	60.00%	Y

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	80.00%	Y

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 2305 - MAYFLOWER SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	62.50%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	Y

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	29.82%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	36.51%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.79% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.00	0.00	Y

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	59.38%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	13.28%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	1.56%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported at this time.			

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported this time.		g reported at	

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		Emotional Skills (Including social Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/		C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate		
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%			
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%			
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%			
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%			

Summary Statements		A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	95.12%	N

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of 60 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecond education or training program; or	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some of employment within one year of leavin high school.	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 2306 - MT. VERNON/ENOLA SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	80.00%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	N	17.15%	19.38%	N/A
Literacy	N		37.98%	N/A
Mathematics	N/A		29.07%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	43.48%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	50.00%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	-0.23% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development	0.00	0.00	V
and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.00	0.00	I

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	56.10%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	7.32%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported at this time.			

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i	ndicator i	s not bein	g reported at
	this time .			

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		Knowledge and Skills Appropriate (Including early language/ Behaviors to		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%		
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%		
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%		
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%		
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%		

Summary Statements		sitive So 11s*	cial -En	motional B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills ** C) Use of Appropriate Behavior to Meet Their Needs			1 ' -					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	100.00%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

LEA: 2306 - MT. VERNON/ENOLA SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 2307 - VILONIA SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	95.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	0.54%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	Y
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	55.47%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	63.54%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.23% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	58.56%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	15.43%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.42%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i	ndicator i	s not bein	g reported at
	this time .			

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive Se Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		lls Knowledge and Skills ial (Including early language		C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate		
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%			
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%			
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%			
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%			

Summary Statements		A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	97.79%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of 60 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10	10.53%	N

B. Percent of youth enrolled in education or competitively within one year of leaving h	nployed Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.95	49.10	42.11%	N

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	68.42%	Y

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 2402 - CHARLESTON SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	88.89%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	2.78%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	26.83%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	46.67%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	56.82%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	4.55%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	5.68%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported this time.		g reported at	

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ Behaviors to		Kills Knowledge and Skills Appropriate Ocial (Including early language) Behaviors to		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate			
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%				
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%				
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%				
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%				

Summary Statements		A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills* B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills ** C) Use of App to Meet The			, -				oropriate Behaviors eir Needs			
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	94.12%	N

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of 60 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

LEA: 2402 - CHARLESTON SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.95	49.10		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecond education or training program; or	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some of employment within one year of leavin high school.	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 2403 - COUNTY LINE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	3.03%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	96.97%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	96.97%	Y

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	28.13%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	37.50%	N

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	56.92%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	4.62%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	3.08%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
ducation and related services in the regular early childhood program	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported at this time.			g reported at

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements	· ′				, -				Appropriate Behaviors Their Needs			
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	88.46%	N

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of oo days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

LEA: 2403 - COUNTY LINE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.95	49.10		N/A

C.	C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		55.92	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 2404 - OZARK SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	94.12%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	4.35%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	Y

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	96.55%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	96.97%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	35.71%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	58.33%	Y

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.58% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.00	0.00	Y

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	74.56%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	3.55%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	6.51%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
ducation and related services in the regular early childhood program	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported a			

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%	<u> </u>	1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements								C) Use of Appropriate Behavio to Meet Their Needs				
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	100.00%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of oo days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecond education or training program; or	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some of employment within one year of leavin high school.	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 2420 - WESTERN ARKANSAS CO-OP

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%		NA

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%		NA

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	N/A	17.15%	19.38%	N/A
Literacy	N/A		37.98%	N/A
Mathematics	N/A		29.07%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%		N/A
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%		N/A

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%		N/A
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%		N/A

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	1	N/A

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	N/A
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%		NA

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	-	NA

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%		NA

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special		State	LEA	Target Met
		Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
ducation and related services in the regular early childhood program	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported this time.			g reported at

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%	4.48%	1.26%	2.24%	0.83%	1.49%
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%	9.70%	7.65%	9.70%	4.77%	7.46%
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%	32.84%	33.42%	32.84%	16.37%	24.63%
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.		27.61%	43.32%	27.61%	40.24%	32.84%
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%	25.37%	14.36%	27.61%	37.80%	33.58%

Summary Statements	· ′	Positive Social -Emotional B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills ** C) Use of Approp to Meet Their I										
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%	81.00%	N	89.61%	90.50%	83.51%	N	91.00%	92.50%	86.52%	N
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%	52.99%	N	57.68%	60.50%	55.22%	N	78.03%	78.50%	66.42%	N

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%	87.95%	N
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%		N/A

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	N/A

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	N/A

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	99.40%	N
of oo days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	99.40%	N
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	Y
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	N/A

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 2501 - MAMMOTH SPRING SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	Y
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	45.71%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	65.85%	Y

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.00	0.00	Y

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	58.82%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	11.76%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported at this time.			

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported			g reported at
	this time .			

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%	<u> </u>	1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements		A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills* B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills ** C) Use of Appropriate to Meet Their			, -			priate Behaviors r Needs				
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	100.00%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of oo days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

LEA: 2501 - MAMMOTH SPRING SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw periods.	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 2502 - SALEM SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	Y
Literacy	Y		37.98%	Y
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	Y

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	47.83%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	63.27%	Y

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	68.89%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	0.00%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	1.11%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
ducation and related services in the regular early childhood program	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported a			g reported at
	this time .			

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%	<u> </u>	1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements		A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	100.00%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of oo days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00	100.00%	Y

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10	0.00%	N

Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.95	49.10	20.00%	N

	C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		55.92	60.10	80.00%	Y

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw periods.	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 2503 - VIOLA SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	N		37.98%	N/A
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	96.15%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	96.55%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	56.00%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	57.14%	Y

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Υ
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.00	0.00	-

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	56.90%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	0.00%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	1.72%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State State LEA Target Met Rate Target Rate by LEA(Y/N)				
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at	

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported this time.			g reported at

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements) Positive Social -Emotional Skills* B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills ** C) Use of Appropriat to Meet Their Nee										
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	97.87%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of oo days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	1	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw periods.	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 2601 - CUTTER-MORNING STAR SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	87.50%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	37.21%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	52.17%	Y

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	56.10%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	0.00%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	3.66%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program		State	LEA	Target Met
		Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
ducation and related services in the regular early childhood program	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported a this time.			g reported at

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements		A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%		N

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of oo days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

LEA: 2601 - CUTTER-MORNING STAR SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00	100.00%	Y

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10	14.29%	Y

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.95	49.10	64.29%	Y

C.	C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		55.92	60.10	78.57%	Y

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 2602 - FOUNTAIN LAKE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	85.71%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	4.76%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	Y
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	45.92%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	53.15%	Y

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development	0.00	0.00	
and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	61.90%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	8.84%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	1.36%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported at this time.			

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported at this time.			

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		Knowledge and Skills Appropriate (Including early language/ Behaviors to		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%	<u> </u>	1.26%		0.83%		
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%		
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%		
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%		
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%		

Summary Statements		sitive So 11s*	cial -En	al -Emotional B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills ** C) Use of Appropriate Beha to Meet Their Needs			1 ' -					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	94.12%	N

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Υ
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

LEA: 2602 - FOUNTAIN LAKE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 2603 - HOT SPRINGS SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	72.00%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	3.26%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	99.30%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	99.34%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	23.84%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	38.81%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.04% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	55.76%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	8.36%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	2.23%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State State LEA Target Met Rate Target Rate by LEA(Y/N)				
	This indicator is not being reported at this time.				

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported a this time.		g reported at	

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		Knowledge and Skills Appropriate (Including early language/Behaviors to		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%		
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%		
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%		
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%		
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%		

Summary Statements		A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills* B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills ** C) Use of Appropriate to Meet Their Needs			, , , ,							
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	97.06%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Υ
of ou days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.95	49.10		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecond education or training program; or	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some of employment within one year of leavin high school.	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 2604 - JESSIEVILLE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma			37.50%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	6.38%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	98.08%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	98.33%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	34.00%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	37.29%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	50.47%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	4.67%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	3.74%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported		g reported at	
	this time .			

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		Knowledge and Skills Appropriate (Including early language/ Behaviors to		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%		
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%		
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%		
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%		
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%		

Summary Statements		A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills* B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills ** C) Use of Appropriate Be to Meet Their Needs			' -							
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%	-	N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	100.00%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of 60 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

LEA: 2604 - JESSIEVILLE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.95	49.10		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecond education or training program; or	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some of employment within one year of leavin high school.	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 2605 - LAKE HAMILTON SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	81.48%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	1.13%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	40.51%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	52.42%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	-0.16% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development	0.00	0.00	
and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	55.51%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	13.66%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	1.98%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i	ndicator i	s not bein	g reported at
	this time .			

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/		C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate		
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%			
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%			
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%			
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%			

Summary Statements		sitive Social -Emotional ills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	96.88%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of 60 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

LEA: 2605 - LAKE HAMILTON SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecond education or training program; or	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some of employment within one year of leavin high school.	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 2606 - LAKESIDE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	84.21%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	1.40%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	Y
Literacy	Y		37.98%	Y
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	Y

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	99.39%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	99.46%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	56.79%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	69.61%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	-0.04% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	43.61%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	7.48%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	1.56%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State State LEA Target Met Rate Target Rate by LEA(Y/N)				
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at	

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported this time.		g reported at	

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		(Including early language/ Behaviors to		Appropriate	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate		
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%			
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%			
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%			
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%			

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotion Skills*			notional	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	100.00%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	98.04%	N
of 60 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	98.04%	N

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.95	49.10		N/A

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 2607 - MOUNTAIN PINE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	66.67%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	3.33%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	Y
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	20.51%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	29.27%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	71.95%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	2.44%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	1.22%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported this time.		g reported at	

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		Knowledge and Skills Appropriate (Including early language/ Behaviors to		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%		
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%		
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%		
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	38.05%		43.32%		40.24%		
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%		

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors		
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	97.92%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of 60 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

LEA: 2607 - MOUNTAIN PINE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00	100.00%	Y

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecond education or training program; or	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some of employment within one year of leavin high school.	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 2703 - POYEN SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	16.67%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	4.55%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	21.43%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	34.38%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development	0.00	0.00	V
and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.00	0.00	I

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	68.97%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	6.90%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State State LEA Target Met Rate Target Rate by LEA(Y/N)				
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at	

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i	ndicator i	s not bein	g reported at
	this time .			

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	onal Skills Knowledge and Skills Appropriate ling social (Including early language/ Behaviors to		Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	38.05%		43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs								
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	96.00%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.95	49.10		N/A

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 2705 - SHERIDAN SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	63.89%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	6.02%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	Y
Literacy	Y		37.98%	Y
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	Y

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	98.88%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	98.93%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	42.29%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	61.08%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Υ
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.00	0.00	-

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	55.62%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	16.44%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.82%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported this time.		g reported at	

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs		
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%		
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%		
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%		
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	38.05%		43.32%		40.24%		
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%		

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*				B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs				
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	94.15%	N

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	98.18%	N
of 60 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	98.18%	N

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.95	49.10		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some of employment within one year of leavin high school.	60.10		N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw periods.	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 2803 - MARMADUKE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	80.00%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	4.55%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	Y
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	30.36%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	29.82%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	54.29%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	10.48%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special	State	State	LEA	Target Met	
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)	
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	This indicator is not being reported at this time.				

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported at			
	this time .			

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	38.05%		43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	100.00%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of oo days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10	7.69%	N

В.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		42.95	49.10	53.85%	Y

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	69.23%	Y

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw periods.	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 2807 - GREENE COUNTY TECH SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	80.00%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	3.21%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	Y
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	99.63%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	99.64%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	34.32%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	51.44%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	-0.10% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	38.36%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	14.29%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.59%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported at this time.			

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported at			
	this time .			

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%	2.22%	7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%	13.33%	33.42%	20.00%	16.37%	11.11%
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.		15.56%	43.32%	22.22%	40.24%	11.11%
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%	68.89%	14.36%	57.78%	37.80%	77.78%

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%	92.86%	Y	89.61%	90.50%	100.00%	Y	91.00%	92.50%	100.00%	Y
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%	84.44%	Y	57.68%	60.50%	80.00%	Y	78.03%	78.50%	88.89%	Y

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%		N

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

LEA: 2807 - GREENE COUNTY TECH SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw periods.	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	Y
	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 2808 - PARAGOULD SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	80.77%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	2.92%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	99.52%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	99.52%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	32.04%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	46.38%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	-0.04% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development	0.00	0.00	
and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	52.59%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	10.35%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	1.09%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State State LEA Target Met Rate Target Rate by LEA(Y/N)					
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at		

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported a this time.			

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

		ional Skills Knowledge and Skills ding social (Including early language/		C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds	
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%	4.00%	4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%	32.00%	33.42%	20.00%	16.37%	4.00%
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.		28.00%	43.32%	40.00%	40.24%	24.00%
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%	40.00%	14.36%	36.00%	37.80%	72.00%

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%	100.00%	Y	89.61%	90.50%	93.75%	Y	91.00%	92.50%	100.00%	Y
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%	68.00%	N	57.68%	60.50%	76.00%	Y	78.03%	78.50%	96.00%	Y

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%	100.00%	Y
	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	98.21%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	100.00%	Υ
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
ervices that will reasonably enable the student o meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.95	49.10		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some of employment within one year of leavin high school.	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw periods.	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	Y
	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 2901 - BLEVINS SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	66.67%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	3.70%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	Y
Literacy	Y		37.98%	Y
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	Y

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	37.84%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	39.53%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	-0.42% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.00	0.00	Y

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	59.72%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	16.67%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special	State	State	LEA	Target Met		
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)		
education and related services in the regular early childhood program		This indicator is not being reported at this time.				

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported a			

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State LEA Rate Rate		State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	38.05%		43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	100.00%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of oo days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw periods.	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 2903 - HOPE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	85.71%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	2.94%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	Y
Literacy	Y		37.98%	Y
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	Y

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	99.28%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	99.41%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	21.90%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	31.25%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	-0.05% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.00	0.00	Y

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	85.49%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	7.45%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.78%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special	State	State	LEA	Target Met		
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)		
education and related services in the regular early childhood program		This indicator is not being reported at this time.				

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported at this time.			

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%	<u> </u>	1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	99.31%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of oo days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00	100.00%	Y

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10	11.76%	N

Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.95	49.10	29.41%	N

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	52.94%	N

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw periods.	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 2906 - SPRING HILL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	N	17.15%	19.38%	N/A
Literacy	N		37.98%	N/A
Mathematics	N/A		29.07%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	92.31%	N
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	94.12%	N

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	33.33%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	60.00%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	68.75%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	6.25%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	3.13%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

7 0		0					
Percent of children (a early childhood prog	gram and r	eceiving the maj	ority of special	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
education and related	a services	in the regular ear	rly childhood program	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at
1					LI	is tille.	

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	100.00%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of ou days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some of employment within one year of leavin high school.	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 2920 - SOUTHWEST ARKANSAS EDUCATIONAL CO-OP

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%		NA

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%		NA

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)		% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	N/A	17.15%	19.38%	N/A
Literacy	N/A		37.98%	N/A
Mathematics	N/A		29.07%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%		N/A
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%		N/A

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%		N/A
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%		N/A

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	-	N/A

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development	0.00	0.00	NI/A
and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	N/A
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%		NA

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	-	NA

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%		NA

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported a this time.			g reported at

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%	0.96%	1.26%	0.48%	0.83%	0.96%
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%	2.39%	7.65%	1.91%	4.77%	2.87%
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%	8.13%	33.42%	28.23%	16.37%	9.09%
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.		48.33%	43.32%	61.72%	40.24%	53.59%
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%	40.19%	14.36%	7.66%	37.80%	33.49%

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%	94.40%	Y	89.61%	90.50%	97.41%	Y	91.00%	92.50%	94.24%	Y
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%	88.52%	Y	57.68%	60.50%	69.38%	Y	78.03%	78.50%	87.08%	Y

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%	100.00%	Y
	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%		N/A

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by	
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)	
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	N/A	

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by	
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)	
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	N/A	

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%		N/A

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

LEA: 2920 - SOUTHWEST ARKANSAS EDUCATIONAL CO-OP

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.95	49.10		N/A

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	-	N/A

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	Y
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	N/A

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 3001 - BISMARCK SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	62.50%	N	

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	Y
Literacy	Y		37.98%	Y
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	Y

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	35.00%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	48.15%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	-0.12% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Υ
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.00	0.00	-

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	36.64%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	10.69%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	3.05%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State State LEA Target Met Rate Target Rate by LEA(Y/N)				
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at	

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported			g reported at
	this time.			

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements		sitive So	cial -En	notional	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs			
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	100.00%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecond education or training program; or	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some of employment within one year of leavin high school.	60.10	-	N/A

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 3002 - GLEN ROSE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	60.00%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	3.33%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	Y

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	27.42%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	50.00%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	-0.12% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	36.80%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	9.60%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State State LEA Target Met Rate Target Rate by LEA(Y/N)				
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at	

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported			g reported at
	this time.			

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	38.05%		43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements		sitive So 11s*	cial -En	notional				sition and Use of ledge and Skills **		C) Use of Appropriate I to Meet Their Needs		
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	92.42%	N

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10	0.00%	N

B. Percent of youth enrolled in high education or competitively emplo within one year of leaving high so	yed Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.95	49.10	37.50%	N

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	37.50%	N

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 3003 - MAGNET COVE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	87.50%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	3.70%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	96.77%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	96.77%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	36.67%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	46.67%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	63.93%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	6.56%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	6.56%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported this time.			g reported at

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	38.05%		43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements		sitive So	cial -En				sition and Use of ledge and Skills **		C) Use of Appropriate I to Meet Their Needs			
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	100.00%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

LEA: 3003 - MAGNET COVE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	-	N/A

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 3004 - MALVERN SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	69.57%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	5.66%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	99.20%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	99.22%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	33.06%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	35.43%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	1.72% pts	N

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development	0.00	0.00	V
and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.00	0.00	I

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	57.35%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	12.87%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	1.84%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	8				
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at		

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported this time.			g reported at

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%	<u> </u>	1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements		sitive So 11s*	cial -En	notional	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **							
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	86.90%	N

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.95	49.10		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecond education or training program; or	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some of employment within one year of leavin high school.	60.10	-	N/A

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 3005 - OUACHITA SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	Rate Target		LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
nigh school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	100.00%	Y	

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	10.53%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	N	17.15%	19.38%	N/A
Literacy	N		37.98%	N/A
Mathematics	N/A		29.07%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	32.00%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	39.29%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	59.46%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	2.70%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate					
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at		

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported this time.			g reported at

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements		sitive So 11s*	cial -En	notional		B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs			
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	91.30%	N

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10	40.00%	Y

В.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		42.95	49.10	100.00%	Y

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	100.00%	Y

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 3102 - DIERKS SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	24.00%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	Y
Literacy	Y		37.98%	Y
Mathematics	N/A		29.07%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	22.22%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	29.17%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	-0.21% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	52.73%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	3.64%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State State LEA Target Met Rate Target Rate by LEA(Y/N)				
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at	

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported this time.			

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements		sitive So	cial -En	notional	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs			
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	86.67%	N

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.95	49.10		N/A

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10		N/A

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 3104 - MINERAL SPRINGS SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	97.30%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	97.22%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	13.89%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	25.71%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Υ
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.00	0.00	-

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	63.01%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	4.11%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	8				
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at		

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported this time.			g reported at

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements		sitive So 11s*	cial -En	notional	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs				
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	98.36%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

LEA: 3104 - MINERAL SPRINGS SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00	100.00%	Y

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.95	49.10		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	55.92	60.10	-	N/A

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within the alloted reveiw periods.	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 3105 - NASHVILLE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	76.92%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	23.53%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	40.00%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

11) 111 1110 1100 111111	00,00			- y
Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	62.55%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	8.09%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State State LEA Target Met Rate Target Rate by LEA(Y/N)				
	This indicator is not being reported at this time.				

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being report this time.		g reported at	

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	38.05%		43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements		sitive So 11s*	cial -En	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills ** C) Use of Appropriate B to Meet Their Needs			, , , ,					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	98.72%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

LEA: 3105 - NASHVILLE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

В.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		42.95	49.10		N/A

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10		N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 3201 - BATESVILLE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	81.48%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	41.09%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	51.69%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	-0.08% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	62.23%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	4.62%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	1.63%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i			g reported at
this time			is time .	

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		lls Appropriate guage/ Behaviors to	
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%	1.68%	1.26%	5.04%	0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%	0.84%	7.65%		4.77%	0.84%
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%	5.88%	33.42%	15.13%	16.37%	8.40%
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.		42.02%	43.32%	47.90%	40.24%	66.39%
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%	49.58%	14.36%	31.93%	37.80%	24.37%

Summary Statements		sitive So 11s*	cial -En			1 / = 1 / = 1 / = 1							
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%	95.00%	Y	89.61%	90.50%	92.59%	Y	91.00%	92.50%	98.89%	Y	
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%	91.60%	Y	57.68%	60.50%	79.83%	Y	78.03%	78.50%	90.76%	Y	

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%	95.89%	Y
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	96.06%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10	20.69%	Y

В.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		42.95	49.10	44.83%	N

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	48.28%	N

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	Y
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 3209 - SOUTHSIDE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	71.43%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	0.93%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	43.33%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	56.67%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Υ
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.00	0.00	-

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	50.24%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	3.86%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	2.90%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported a this time.		g reported at	

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ Behaviors to		kills Knowledge and Skills Appropriate ocial (Including early language/ Behaviors to		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate			
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%				
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%	57.14%	16.37%				
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.		17.86%	43.32%	32.14%	40.24%	46.43%			
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%	82.14%	14.36%	10.71%	37.80%	53.57%			

Summary Statements		A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills ** C) Use of App to Meet The			1 ' = 1 '					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%	100.00%	Y	89.61%	90.50%	100.00%	Y	91.00%	92.50%	100.00%	Y	
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%	100.00%	Y	57.68%	60.50%	42.86%	N	78.03%	78.50%	100.00%	Y	

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%	90.91%	Y
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	96.91%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of oo days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
by their third birthday.	99.53%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10	13.33%	Y

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.95	49.10	26.67%	N

Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	46.67%	N

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	Y
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 3211 - MIDLAND SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	75.00%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	38.89%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	59.46%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development	0.00	0.00	V
and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.00	0.00	I

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	58.82%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	14.71%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported this time.		g reported at	

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ Behaviors to	
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%	11.11%	33.42%	22.22%	16.37%	11.11%
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.		88.89%	43.32%	55.56%	40.24%	88.89%
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%	22.22%	37.80%	

Summary Statements		sitive So 11s*	cial -Em	-Emotional B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills ** C) Use of Appropriate Beh to Meet Their Needs			1 / -					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%	100.00%	Y	89.61%	90.50%	100.00%	Y	91.00%	92.50%	100.00%	Y
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%	88.89%	Y	57.68%	60.50%	77.78%	Y	78.03%	78.50%	88.89%	Y

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%	100.00%	Y
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	100.00%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of ou days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00	100.00%	Y

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	Y
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 3212 - CEDAR RIDGE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	30.00%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	41.79%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	-0.28% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	49.57%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	4.27%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported this time.		g reported at	

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		(Including early language/ Behaviors to		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%		
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%		
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%		
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%		
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%		

Summary Statements A		A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **							of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)		
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A		
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A		

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	100.00%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

LEA: 3212 - CEDAR RIDGE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.95	49.10		N/A

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	Y
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 3301 - CALICO ROCK SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	N	17.15%	19.38%	N/A
Literacy	N		37.98%	N/A
Mathematics	N/A		29.07%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	95.83%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	96.00%	Y

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	39.13%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	20.83%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	93.18%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	2.27%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State State LEA Target Met Rate Target Rate by LEA(Y/N)				
	This indicator is not being reported at this time.				

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported this time.		g reported at	

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ Behaviors to		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%		
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%		
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%		
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	38.05%		43.32%		40.24%		
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%		

Summary Statements		Positive Social -Emotional B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills ** C) Use of Appropriate to Meet Their Need										
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	100.00%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of 60 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

LEA: 3301 - CALICO ROCK SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00	100.00%	Y

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.95	49.10		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecond education or training program; or	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some of employment within one year of leavin high school.	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 3302 - MELBOURNE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	3.45%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	Y
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	51.28%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	61.36%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	-0.36% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	54.95%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	9.01%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	1.80%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State State LEA Target Met Rate Target Rate by LEA(Y/N)				
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at	

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported this time.		g reported at	

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	Emotional (Including	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ Behaviors to		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate		
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%			
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%			
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%			
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%			

Summary Statements) Positive Social -Emotional Skills* B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills ** C) Use of Appropriate E to Meet Their Needs			' -							
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%	100.00%	Y
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	100.00%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of 60 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.95	49.10		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecond education or training program; or	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some of employment within one year of leavin high school.	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 3306 - IZARD CO. CONS. SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	100.00%	Y	

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	97.83%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	97.92%	Y

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	22.22%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	31.91%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	46.24%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	11.83%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State State LEA Target Met Rate Target Rate by LEA(Y/N)				
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at	

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported a this time.			

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%	12.50%	33.42%	12.50%	16.37%	12.50%
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	38.05%	87.50%	43.32%	87.50%	40.24%	87.50%
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements) Positive Social -Emotional			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%	100.00%	Y	89.61%	90.50%	100.00%	Y	91.00%	92.50%	100.00%	Y
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%	87.50%	Y	57.68%	60.50%	87.50%	Y	78.03%	78.50%	87.50%	Y

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%	100.00%	Y
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	100.00%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.95	49.10		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecond education or training program; or	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some of employment within one year of leavin high school.	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	Y
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 3320 - NORTH CENTRAL ARKANSAS EDUCATION SERVICE CENTER

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%		NA

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%		NA

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	N/A	17.15%	19.38%	N/A
Literacy	N/A		37.98%	N/A
Mathematics	N/A		29.07%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%		N/A
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%		N/A

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%		N/A
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%		N/A

LEA: 3320 - NORTH CENTRAL ARKANSAS EDUCATION SERVICE CENTER

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>≤</u> 1.36	1	N/A

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.00	0.00	N/A

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

11) 111 0110 110801111 011100 00 70 01 111010 01 0110 0111								
Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)				
in the regular class 80%		O						
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%		NA				

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	-	NA

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%		NA

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State State LEA Target Met Rate Target Rate by LEA(Y/N)				
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at	

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported			g reported at
	this time .			

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%	8.23%	7.65%	8.86%	4.77%	4.43%
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%	15.19%	33.42%	15.19%	16.37%	8.23%
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.		51.27%	43.32%	43.04%	40.24%	59.49%
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%	25.32%	14.36%	32.91%	37.80%	27.85%

		ositive Social -Emotional lills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%	88.98%	N	89.61%	90.50%	86.79%	N	91.00%	92.50%	93.86%	Y
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%	76.58%	Y	57.68%	60.50%	75.95%	Y	78.03%	78.50%	87.34%	Y

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%	98.87%	Y
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%		N/A

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	N/A

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	N/A

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of oo days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

LEA: 3320 - NORTH CENTRAL ARKANSAS EDUCATION SERVICE CENTER

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	1	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw periods.	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	Y
	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	N/A

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 3403 - NEWPORT SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	81.82%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	1.20%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	99.14%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	99.11%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	26.09%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	48.65%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	1.51% pts	N

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	77.21%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	6.51%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special		State	LEA	Target Met
		Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
ducation and related services in the regular early childhood program	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported			g reported at
	this time .			

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%	32.00%	33.42%	48.00%	16.37%	8.00%
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	38.05%	52.00%	43.32%	36.00%	40.24%	68.00%
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%	16.00%	14.36%	16.00%	37.80%	24.00%

_ '		A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%	100.00%	Y	89.61%	90.50%	100.00%	Y	91.00%	92.50%	100.00%	Y
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%	68.00%	N	57.68%	60.50%	52.00%	N	78.03%	78.50%	92.00%	Y

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%	100.00%	Y
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	100.00%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of oo days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some of employment within one year of leavin high school.	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	Y
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 3405 - JACKSON CO. SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	57.14%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	8.57%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	17.39%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	63.83%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	-0.13% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development	0.00	0.00	V
and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.00	0.00	I

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	65.06%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	7.23%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State State LEA Target Met Rate Target Rate by LEA(Y/N)				
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at	

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported a			g reported at
	this time .			

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements	· ′	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	96.15%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

LEA: 3405 - JACKSON CO. SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
ervices that will reasonably enable the student o meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.95	49.10		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 3502 - DOLLARWAY SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	71.43%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	5.66%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	18.06%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	25.00%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	3.07% pts	N

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Υ
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.00	0.00	-

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	43.95%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	0.00%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	7.01%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State State LEA Target Met Rate Target Rate by LEA(Y/N)				
	This indicator is not being reported at this time.				

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported this time.			g reported at

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	38.05%		43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements) Positive Social -Emotional Skills* B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills ** C) Use of Appropriate Bo to Meet Their Needs										
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	99.21%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of oo days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

LEA: 3502 - DOLLARWAY SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.95	49.10		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 3505 - PINE BLUFF SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	77.46%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	4.18%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	98.99%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	98.97%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	13.99%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	26.83%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.86% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.00	0.00	Y

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	14.18%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	24.00%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	4.73%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported this time.			g reported at

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements		A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%		N/A

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	71.21%	N
of oo days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	71.21%	N

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 3509 - WATSON CHAPEL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	68.75%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	1.45%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	96.05%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	96.36%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	16.44%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	20.75%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	4.87% pts	N

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	31.18%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	6.46%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	7.98%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State State LEA Target Met Rate Target Rate by LEA(Y/N)				
	This indicator is not being reported at this time.				

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported			g reported at
	this time .			

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	38.05%		43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements		A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills* B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills ** C) Use of Appropriate Bell to Meet Their Needs			, -							
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	92.08%	N

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

LEA: 3509 - WATSON CHAPEL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 3510 - WHITE HALL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	88.24%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	9.43%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	99.28%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	99.29%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	41.91%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	50.00%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.34% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Υ
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.00	0.00	-

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	53.90%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	14.50%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	1.86%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported at this time.			

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported at this time.			

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		Knowledge and Skills Appropriate (Including early language/ Behaviors to		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%		
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%		
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%		
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%		
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%		

Summary Statements		A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills* B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills ** C) Use of Appropriate to Meet Their Need			, -							
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%	-	N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	95.83%	N

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	98.33%	N
of 60 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	98.33%	N

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.95	49.10		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecond education or training program; or	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some of employment within one year of leavin high school.	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 3520 - ARKANSAS RIVER EDUCATION SERVICE CO-OP

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%		NA

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%		NA

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	N/A	17.15%	19.38%	N/A
Literacy	N/A		37.98%	N/A
Mathematics	N/A		29.07%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%		N/A
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%		N/A

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%		N/A
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%		N/A

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36		N/A

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	N/A
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%		NA

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	-	NA

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%		NA

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported a this time.		g reported at	

	A) Positive Se Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ Behaviors to	
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%	2.99%	1.26%	2.99%	0.83%	0.60%
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%	7.78%	7.65%	2.40%	4.77%	7.78%
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%	34.73%	33.42%	56.29%	16.37%	26.35%
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.		44.31%	43.32%	32.93%	40.24%	50.90%
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%	10.18%	14.36%	5.39%	37.80%	14.37%

Summary Statements	· ′	sitive So lls*	cial -En	-Emotional B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills ** C) Use of Appropriate Behavior to Meet Their Needs									
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%	88.00%	N	89.61%	90.50%	94.30%	Y	91.00%	92.50%	90.21%	N	
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%	54.49%	N	57.68%	60.50%	38.32%	N	78.03%	78.50%	65.27%	N	

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%	94.44%	Y
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%		N/A

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	N/A

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	N/A

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of 60 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
by their third birthday.	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

LEA: 3520 - ARKANSAS RIVER EDUCATION SERVICE CO-OP

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	Y
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	N/A

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 3541 - PINEBLUFF LIGHTHOUSE CHARTER SCHOOL

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
nigh school with a egular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	1	NA

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	N	17.15%	19.38%	N/A
Literacy	N		37.98%	N/A
Mathematics	N/A		29.07%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	16.67%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	33.33%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development	0.00	0.00	
and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	86.36%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	0.00%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State State LEA Target Met Rate Target Rate by LEA(Y/N)				
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at	

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported this time.		g reported at	

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		Knowledge and Skills Appropriate (Including early language/ Behaviors to		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%		
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%		
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%		
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%		
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%		

Summary Statements	, ,		Positive Social -Emotional B) Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **							
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A	
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A	

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%	-	N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	100.00%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	1	N/A
of ou days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

LEA: 3541 - PINEBLUFF LIGHTHOUSE CHARTER SCHOOL

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.95	49.10		N/A

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 3601 - CLARKSVILLE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	84.62%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	1.10%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	Y
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	99.39%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	99.40%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	50.93%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	48.80%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	-0.04% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Υ
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.00	0.00	-

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	59.39%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	11.49%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being report this time.		g reported at	

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ Behaviors to	
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements	· ′	sitive So 11s*	tive Social -Emotional B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills ** C) Use of Appropriate Beh. to Meet Their Needs				, <u>-</u>					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	99.25%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of 60 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

LEA: 3601 - CLARKSVILLE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 3604 - LAMAR SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	88.89%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	2.70%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	Y
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	37.50%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	40.00%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>≤</u> 1.36	-0.21% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.00	0.00	Y

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	35.45%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	20.00%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	1.82%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i	ndicator i	s not bein	g reported at
	this time .			

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements		Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills ** C) Use of Appropriate I to Meet Their Needs							
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	96.88%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00	100.00%	Y

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10	30.00%	Y

B. Percent of youth enroll education or competiti within one year of leav	vely employed	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		42.95	49.10	60.00%	Y

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	70.00%	Y

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 3606 - WESTSIDE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	88.89%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	Y

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	38.60%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	63.64%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	-7.53% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.00	0.00	Y

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	48.15%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	2.47%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	2.47%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported a this time.		g reported at	

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		Knowledge and Skills Appropriate (Including early language/Behaviors to		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%		
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%		
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%		
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%		
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%		

Summary Statements	A) Positive So Skills*		cial -En	notional		B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	96.30%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of ou days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10	0.00%	N

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.95	49.10	12.50%	N

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	25.00%	N

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 3701 - BRADLEY SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)		% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	N	17.15%	19.38%	N/A
Literacy	N		37.98%	N/A
Mathematics	N/A		29.07%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	33.33%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	15.38%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

-,							
Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)			
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	76.32%	Y			

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	2.63%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	2.63%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i	ndicator i	s not bein	g reported at
	this time .			

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		Knowledge and Skills Appropriate (Including early language/ Behaviors to		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%	<u> </u>	1.26%		0.83%		
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%		
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%		
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%		
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%		

Summary Statements	A) Positive So Skills*		cial -En	notional	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	100.00%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of ou days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.95	49.10		N/A

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 3704 - LAFAYETTE COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	5.56%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	Y
Literacy	Y		37.98%	Y
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	Y

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	24.14%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	28.57%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	-0.15% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.00	0.00	Y

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	70.00%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	15.00%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	8.33%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reporte this time.		g reported at	

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs	
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements	,				B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs				
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	100.00%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
or oo days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

LEA: 3704 - LAFAYETTE COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00	100.00%	Y

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C.	C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		55.92	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw periods.	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 3804 - HOXIE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	77.78%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	3.23%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	28.00%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	45.45%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.00	0.00	Y

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	46.09%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	6.09%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special	State	State	LEA	Target Met		
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)		
education and related services in the regular early childhood program		This indicator is not being reported at this time.				

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported at this time.			

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships) B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy		Skills language/	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds	
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State LEA Rate Rate		State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	90.32%	N

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of or days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00	100.00%	Y

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some of employment within one year of leavin high school.	60.10		N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw periods.	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 3806 - SLOAN-HENDRIX SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	6.67%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	97.73%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	98.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	30.23%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	28.57%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Υ
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.00	0.00	-

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	51.95%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	2.60%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	3.90%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
	This indicator is not being reported at this time.				

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported a this time.			

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	Emotional (Including	B) Acquisition and Use of Emotional Skills (Including social relationships) B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds	
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State LEA Rate Rate		State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	95.52%	N

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of oo days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

LEA: 3806 - SLOAN-HENDRIX SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.95	49.10		N/A

C.	C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		55.92	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw periods.	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 3809 - HILLCREST SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	80.00%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	3.70%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	28.00%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	32.26%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	58.06%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	1.61%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported a this time.			

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs	
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	97.50%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of 60 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	1	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw periods.	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 3810 - LAWRENCE COUNTY

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	81.82%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	1.37%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	98.82%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	98.61%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	19.05%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	43.66%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.00	0.00	Y

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	57.49%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	7.19%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special	State	State	LEA	Target Met		
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)		
ducation and related services in the regular early childhood program		This indicator is not being reported at this time.				

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported a			g reported at

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	96.77%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of 60 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.95	49.10		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecond education or training program; or	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some of employment within one year of leavin high school.	60.10		N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw periods.	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2011/12 School Year

Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 3820 - NORTHEAST ARKANSAS EDUCATION SERVICE CENTER

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%		NA

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%		NA

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	N/A	17.15%	19.38%	N/A
Literacy	N/A		37.98%	N/A
Mathematics	N/A		29.07%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%		N/A
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%		N/A

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%		N/A
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%		N/A

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	-	N/A

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development	0.00	0.00	27/4
and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	N/A
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%		NA

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%		NA

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%		NA

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State State LEA Target Met Rate Target Rate by LEA(Y/N)					
	This indicator is not being reported at this time.					

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported a this time .			g reported at

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%	7.77%	7.65%	17.48%	4.77%	1.94%
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%	3.88%	33.42%	13.59%	16.37%	0.97%
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.		29.13%	43.32%	41.75%	40.24%	15.53%
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%	59.22%	14.36%	27.18%	37.80%	81.55%

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%	80.95%	N	89.61%	90.50%	76.00%	N	91.00%	92.50%	89.47%	N
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%	88.35%	Y	57.68%	60.50%	68.93%	Y	78.03%	78.50%	97.09%	Y

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%	95.45%	Y
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%		N/A

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	N/A

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	N/A

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of 60 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%		N/A

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

LEA: 3820 - NORTHEAST ARKANSAS EDUCATION SERVICE CENTER

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.95	49.10		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecond education or training program; or	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some of employment within one year of leavin high school.	60.10		N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw periods.	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	Y
	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	N/A

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 3840 - IMBODEN CHARTER SCHOOL DIST

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	1	NA

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	50.00%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	N	17.15%	19.38%	N/A
Literacy	N		37.98%	N/A
Mathematics	N/A		29.07%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	44.44%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	33.33%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.00	0.00	Y

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	50.00%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	0.00%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
	This indicator is not being reported at this time.				

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported a this time.			

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	Emotional (Including	B) Acquisition and Use of Emotional Skills (Including social relationships) B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds	
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%		N

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of oo days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00	100.00%	Y

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw periods.	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 3904 - LEE COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	80.00%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	96.55%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	96.88%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	8.93%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	24.19%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	1.55% pts	N

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Υ
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.00	0.00	-

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	56.69%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	8.66%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	3.15%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State State LEA Target Met Rate Target Rate by LEA(Y/N)					
	This indicator is not being reported at this time.					

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported a			g reported at

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%	<u> </u>	1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%	-	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	98.25%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by	
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)	
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y	

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by	
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)	
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y	

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10	25.00%	Y

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.95	49.10	33.33%	N

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	41.67%	N

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 4003 - STAR CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	81.25%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	1.59%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	13.92%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	38.46%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	-0.27% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	53.42%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	7.45%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	1.86%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State State LEA Target Met Rate Target Rate by LEA(Y/N)					
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at		

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported this time.			g reported at

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs	
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	38.05%		43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%		N/A

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00	100.00%	Y

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10		N/A

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 4101 - ASHDOWN SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	1.30%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	18.18%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	25.29%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>≤</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	51.61%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	10.97%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	3.23%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	8				
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at		

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported a this time.			

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	38.05%		43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements		sitive So 11s*	cial -En	notional	cquisition and Use of nowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behavior to Meet Their Needs				
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	93.33%	N

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	93.33%	N
	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	93.33%	N

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.95	49.10		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecond education or training program; or	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some of employment within one year of leavin high school.	60.10	-	N/A

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 4102 - FOREMAN SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%		NA

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	Y
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	23.08%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	29.55%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	60.81%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	4.05%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	2.70%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State State LEA Target Met Rate Target Rate by LEA(Y/N)					
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at		

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported a			

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements		sitive So 11s*	cial -En	notional	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs			
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	88.89%	N

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecond education or training program; or	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some of employment within one year of leavin high school.	60.10	-	N/A

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 4201 - BOONEVILLE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	l hv i.i	
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	91.67%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	1.23%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	Y
Literacy	Y		37.98%	Y
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	Y

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	33.72%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	47.96%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	58.38%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	6.36%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State State LEA Target Met Rate Target Rate by LEA(Y/N)					
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at		

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported this time.			g reported at

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early liter		C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements		sitive So 11s*	cial -En	notional		B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs			
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	100.00%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00	100.00%	Y

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.95	49.10		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecond education or training program; or	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some of employment within one year of leavin high school.	60.10	-	N/A

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 4202 - MAGAZINE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	75.00%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	6.25%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	Y
Literacy	Y		37.98%	Y
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	Y

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	31.58%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	50.00%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	56.92%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	4.62%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State State LEA Target Met Rate Target Rate by LEA(Y/N)					
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at		

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported this time.			g reported at

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements		Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs				
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	100.00%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10	16.67%	Y

В.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		42.95	49.10	50.00%	Y

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	83.33%	Y

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 4203 - PARIS SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	28.57%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	33.33%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	41.27%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	71.00%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	9.00%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	2.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State State LEA Target Met Rate Target Rate by LEA(Y/N)				
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at	

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported a			

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements		sitive So 11s*	cial -En	notional				uisition and Use of wledge and Skills **		C) Use of Appropriate to Meet Their Need		
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	100.00%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00	100.00%	Y

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.95	49.10		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecond education or training program; or	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some of employment within one year of leavin high school.	60.10	-	N/A

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 4204 - SCRANTON SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	N	17.15%	19.38%	N/A
Literacy	N		37.98%	N/A
Mathematics	N/A		29.07%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	27.27%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	41.67%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	69.77%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	9.30%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	2.33%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate					
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at		

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported			g reported at
	this time.			

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	38.05%		43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements		sitive So	cial -En	notional	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs				
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	95.83%	N

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

В.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		42.95	49.10		N/A

	C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		55.92	60.10	-	N/A

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw periods.	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 4301 - LONOKE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	65.00%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	2.67%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	Y
Literacy	Y		37.98%	Y
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	Y

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	37.25%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	49.54%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.35% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Υ
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.00	0.00	-

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	57.65%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	9.18%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	1.02%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reporte this time.		g reported at	

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	(Including	itive Social - botional Skills bluding social citionships) B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy) C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Need		Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%	<u> </u>	1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements		A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	96.97%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of 60 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00	100.00%	Y

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.95	49.10		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecond education or training program; or	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some of employment within one year of leavin high school.	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 4302 - ENGLAND SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	46.15%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	2.99%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	32.26%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	42.25%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>≤</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	43.65%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	16.67%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported this time.		g reported at	

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		Knowledge and Skills Appropriate (Including early language/ Behaviors to		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%		
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%		
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%		
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	38.05%		43.32%		40.24%		
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%		

Summary Statements		sitive So 11s*	cial -En	-Emotional B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills ** C) Use of Appropriate Behavior to Meet Their Needs			1 ' -					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	100.00%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 4303 - CARLISLE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	76.92%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	Y
Literacy	Y		37.98%	Y
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	Y

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	38.46%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	54.55%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	64.37%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	3.45%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State State LEA Target Met Rate Target Rate by LEA(Y/N)				
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at	

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported a this time.		g reported at	

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		Ills Knowledge and Skills Appropriate rial (Including early language/ Behaviors to		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%		
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%		
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%		
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	38.05%		43.32%		40.24%		
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%		

Summary Statements		sitive So 11s*	we Social -Emotional B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills ** C) Use of Appropriate Behavior to Meet Their Needs				' -					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	98.98%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Υ
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.95	49.10		N/A

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 4304 - CABOT SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	77.92%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	3.37%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	99.22%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	99.32%	Y

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	42.25%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	53.47%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	1.26% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Υ
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.00	0.00	-

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	48.65%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	21.71%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.45%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reporte this time.		g reported at	

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ Behaviors to		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%		
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%		
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%		
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%		
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%		

		ositive Social -Emotional kills*										
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	89.96%	N

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 4401 - HUNTSVILLE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	87.50%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	0.91%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	Y

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	99.09%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	99.05%	Y

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	34.86%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	54.81%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.44% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	66.67%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	13.96%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	1.35%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i	ndicator i	s not bein	g reported at
	this time .			

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		Knowledge and Skills Appropriate (Including early language/ Behaviors to		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%		
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%		
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%		
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%		
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%		

Summary Statements	· ′	sitive So 11s*	cial -En	notional	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors		
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%		N

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of 60 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

LEA: 4401 - HUNTSVILLE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.95	49.10		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecond education or training program; or	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some of employment within one year of leavin high school.	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 4501 - FLIPPIN SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	91.67%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	2.63%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	Y
Literacy	Y		37.98%	Y
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	Y

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	51.02%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	66.10%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	65.63%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	19.79%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported at this time.			g reported at

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%	12.50%	4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%	37.50%	33.42%	25.00%	16.37%	37.50%
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	38.05%	50.00%	43.32%	37.50%	40.24%	50.00%
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%	12.50%	14.36%	25.00%	37.80%	12.50%

Summary Statements	· ′	itive Social -Emotional lls*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%	100.00%	Y	89.61%	90.50%	83.33%	N	91.00%	92.50%	100.00%	Y
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%	62.50%	N	57.68%	60.50%	62.50%	Y	78.03%	78.50%	62.50%	N

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	97.96%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of 60 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

LEA: 4501 - FLIPPIN SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecond education or training program; or	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some of employment within one year of leavin high school.	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	Y
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 4502 - YELLVILLE-SUMMIT SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	90.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	1.59%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	Y

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	29.41%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	38.67%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	46.51%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	2.33%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i	ndicator i	s not bein	g reported at
	this time.			

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	Emotional (Including	nal Skills Knowledge and Skills Aj ling social (Including early language/ Be		Emotional Skills Knowledge and Skills Appropriate (Including social (Including early language/ Behaviors to		Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/		Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate				
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%					
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%					
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%					
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%					
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%					

Summary Statements		B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills ** C) Use of Appropriate Behavior to Meet Their Needs										
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	100.00%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

LEA: 4502 - YELLVILLE-SUMMIT SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.95	49.10		N/A

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 4602 - GENOA CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	9.09%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	Y
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	35.14%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	42.86%	N

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	3.28% pts	N

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	72.97%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	4.05%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	1.35%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

, -g					
Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	This indicator is not being reported at				
		th	is time .		

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported a this time.			

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%	<u> </u>	1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

		A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*				B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **								
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)		
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A		
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A		

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	100.00%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

LEA: 4602 - GENOA CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.95	49.10		N/A

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10		N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 4603 - FOUKE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	75.00%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	29.82%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	37.29%	N

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.89% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	77.14%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	6.67%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported this time.			

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements		A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	100.00%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of oo days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00	100.00%	Y

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw periods.	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 4605 - TEXARKANA SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	73.68%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	2.82%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	29.60%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	38.78%	N

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	1.89% pts	N

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	54.44%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	19.11%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	2.22%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported a this time.			g reported at

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements	· ′	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	82.61%	N

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of ou days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

LEA: 4605 - TEXARKANA SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C.	C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		55.92	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 4701 - ARMOREL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	4.35%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	Y
Literacy	Y		37.98%	Y
Mathematics	N/A		29.07%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	28.00%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	20.00%	N

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	72.34%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	0.00%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special		State State LEA Target Met Rate Target Rate by LEA(Y/N)				
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at		

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported at this time.			g reported at

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	38.05%		43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements	· ′	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	85.71%	N

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of oo days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00	100.00%	Y

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw periods.	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 4702 - BLYTHEVILLE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	57.50%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	7.81%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	98.78%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	98.82%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	15.43%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	25.45%	N

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	9.87% pts	N

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	37.69%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	10.39%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.59%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special		State	LEA	Target Met	
		Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)	
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	This indicator is not being reported at this time.				

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported this time.			g reported at

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements		Positive Social -Emotional Skills* B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills ** C) Use of Appropriate to Meet Their Needs			, -							
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	95.90%	N

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of oo days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

LEA: 4702 - BLYTHEVILLE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00	100.00%	Y

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.95	49.10		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10		N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 4706 - SO. MISS. COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	84.62%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	2.17%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	Y
Literacy	Y		37.98%	Y
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	Y

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	97.22%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	97.50%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	40.00%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	48.72%	N

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	1.50% pts	N

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development	0.00	0.00	V
and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.00	0.00	I

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	66.96%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	6.96%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.87%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State State LEA Target Met Rate Target Rate by LEA(Y/N)				
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at	

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements		B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills ** C) Use of Appropriate to Meet Their Need			′ -							
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	97.92%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of oo days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw periods.	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 4708 - GOSNELL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	58.82%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	4.11%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	Y
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	98.68%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	98.73%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	43.24%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	47.44%	N

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>≤</u> 1.36	4.45% pts	N

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	48.41%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	15.08%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.79%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported a			g reported at
	this time.			

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%	<u> </u>	1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements		A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	97.25%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of oo days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 4712 - MANILA SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	93.33%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	Y

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	30.88%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	56.10%	Y

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	-0.24% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	54.90%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	6.54%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported at this time.			

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported at			g reported at
	this time .			

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		Knowledge and Skills Appropriate (Including early language/ Behaviors to		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%		
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%		
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%		
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	38.05%		43.32%		40.24%		
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%		

Summary Statements		sitive Social -Emotional ills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	89.36%	N

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of ou days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.95	49.10		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecond education or training program; or	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some of employment within one year of leavin high school.	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 4713 - OSCEOLA SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	58.33%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	1.43%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	Y
Literacy	Y		37.98%	Y
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	Y

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	98.84%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	99.07%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	37.65%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	38.68%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	3.96% pts	N

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	34.76%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	20.73%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	3.05%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported at this time.			

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i	ndicator i	s not bein	g reported at
	this time .			

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		Knowledge and Skills Appropriate (Including early language/ Behaviors to		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%		
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%		
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%		
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	38.05%		43.32%		40.24%		
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%		

Summary Statements		Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%		N

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	90.91%	N
of 60 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	90.91%	N

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecond education or training program; or	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some of employment within one year of leavin high school.	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 4801 - BRINKLEY SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	87.50%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	Y

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	97.78%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	97.96%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	30.23%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	43.75%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>≤</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	40.70%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	2.33%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	4.65%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported a this time.		g reported at	

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		Knowledge and Skills Appropriate (Including early language/ Behaviors to		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%		
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%		
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%		
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	38.05%		43.32%		40.24%		
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%		

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors		
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	97.44%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of ou days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.95	49.10		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10		N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 4802 - CLARENDON SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	81.82%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	2.17%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	Y

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	93.94%	N
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	95.45%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	12.90%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	23.81%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	2.20% pts	N

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development	0.00	0.00	V
and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.00	0.00	I

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	26.09%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	2.90%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	1.45%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reporte this time.		g reported at	

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements		A) Positive Soc Skills*		notional	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills ** C) Use of Appropriate Belton to Meet Their Needs							
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	100.00%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00	100.00%	Y

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10	7.69%	N

edu	ent of youth enrolled in higher cation or competitively employed nin one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		42.95	49.10	38.46%	N

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	53.85%	N

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 4901 - CADDO HILLS SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	4.65%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	16.67%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	38.64%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>≤</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	80.72%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	4.82%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	2.41%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i	ndicator i	s not bein	g reported at
	this time .			

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		Knowledge and Skills Appropriate (Including early language/ Behaviors to		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%		
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%		
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%		
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%		
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%		

Summary Statements			cial -En	notional	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors		
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	100.00%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of 60 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

LEA: 4901 - CADDO HILLS SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.95	49.10		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecond education or training program; or	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some of employment within one year of leavin high school.	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 4902 - MOUNT IDA SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	75.00%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	Y
Literacy	Y		37.98%	Y
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	Y

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	37.14%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	51.35%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	83.33%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	7.58%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	1.52%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State State LEA Target Met Rate by LEA(Y/N)				
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at	

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported a this time.		g reported at	

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		Knowledge and Skills Appropriate (Including early language/ Behaviors to		Skills Knowledge and Skills Appropri social (Including early language/ Behavior		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate			
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%				
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%				
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%				
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%				

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotiona Skills*			notional	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	100.00%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of 60 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00	100.00%	Y

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.95	49.10		N/A

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10		N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 5006 - PRESCOTT SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	1.82%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	98.11%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	98.59%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	21.57%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	19.40%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	-0.11% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development	0.00	0.00	V
and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.00	0.00	I

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	63.11%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	6.56%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.82%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State State LEA Target Met Rate Target Rate by LEA(Y/N)				
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at	

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported a this time.		g reported at	

	Emotional (Including	Positive Social - Emotional Skills Including social relationships) B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy) C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Need		Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%	<u> </u>	1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors		
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	100.00%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of 60 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00	100.00%	Y

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecond education or training program; or	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some of employment within one year of leavin high school.	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 5008 - NEVADA SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	71.43%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	N		37.98%	N/A
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	23.08%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	37.04%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Υ
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.00	0.00	-

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	30.43%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	6.52%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported at this time.			

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i	ndicator i	s not bein	g reported at
	this time .			

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs		
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%		
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%		
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%		
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%		
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%		

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%		N/A

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	1	N/A
of of days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%		N/A

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw periods.	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 5102 - JASPER SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	90.91%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	98.08%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	98.21%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	29.41%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	43.64%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	81.03%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	2.59%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	2.59%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special	State	State	LEA	Target Met	
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)	
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	This indicator is not being reported at this time.				

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported at			
	this time.			

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%	<u> </u>	1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	94.67%	N

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of oo days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

LEA: 5102 - JASPER SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some of employment within one year of leavin high school.	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw periods.	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 5106 - DEER/MT. JUDEA SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	83.33%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	3.85%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	21.62%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	33.33%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	77.19%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	0.00%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	7.02%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State State LEA Target Met Rate Target Rate by LEA(Y/N)					
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at		

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
	This indicator is not being reported at				
	this time.				

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	96.43%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of oo days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

LEA: 5106 - DEER/MT. JUDEA SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.95	49.10		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecond education or training program; or	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw periods.	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 5201 - BEARDEN SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	66.67%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	4.35%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	22.22%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	21.43%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.00	0.00	Y

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	69.77%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	11.63%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special	State	State	LEA	Target Met	
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)	
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	This indicator is not being reported at this time.				

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported at			
	this time .			

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State LEA Rate Rate		State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	38.05%		43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	100.00%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of oo days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.95	49.10		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecond education or training program; or	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw periods.	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 5204 - CAMDEN FAIRVIEW SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	92.31%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	0.83%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	32.23%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	43.10%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.52% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	40.25%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	19.09%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.83%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported a			g reported at

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	83.59%	N

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of oo days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

LEA: 5204 - CAMDEN FAIRVIEW SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw periods.	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 5205 - HARMONY GROVE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	88.89%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	19.05%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	28.99%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Υ
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.00	0.00	-

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	90.22%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	3.26%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
	This indicator is not being reported at this time.				

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported a this time.			g reported at

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	93.88%	N

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of 60 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

LEA: 5205 - HARMONY GROVE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw periods.	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 5206 - STEPHENS SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	83.33%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	16.00%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	N	17.15%	19.38%	N/A
Literacy	N		37.98%	N/A
Mathematics	N/A		29.07%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	4.76%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	30.43%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	-1.24% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	77.14%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	2.86%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special	State	State	LEA	Target Met		
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)		
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	This indicator is not being reported at this time.					

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported at			
	this time .			

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%		N/A

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.95	49.10		N/A

C.	C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		55.92	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 5220 - SOUTH CENTRAL SERVICE CO-OP

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	1	NA

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%		NA

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	N/A	17.15%	19.38%	N/A
Literacy	N/A		37.98%	N/A
Mathematics	N/A		29.07%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%		N/A
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	1	N/A

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%		N/A
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%		N/A

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36		N/A

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	N/A
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%		NA

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	-	NA

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%		NA

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	8.1.1.					
	This indicator is not being reported at this time.						

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported a this time.			

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%	4.79%	7.65%	3.42%	4.77%	4.11%
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%	16.44%	33.42%	48.63%	16.37%	18.49%
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.		44.52%	43.32%	45.89%	40.24%	41.10%
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%	34.25%	14.36%	2.05%	37.80%	36.30%

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%	92.71%	Y	89.61%	90.50%	96.50%	Y	91.00%	92.50%	93.55%	Y
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%	78.77%	Y	57.68%	60.50%	47.95%	N	78.03%	78.50%	77.40%	N

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%	90.44%	Y
	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%		N/A

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	N/A

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by	
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)	
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	N/A	

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	99.66%	N
	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	99.66%	N
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%		N/A

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecond education or training program; or	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some of employment within one year of leavin high school.	60.10	-	N/A

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	Y
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	N/A

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 5301 - EAST END SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	88.89%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	1.85%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	Y

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	25.93%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	42.11%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>≤</u> 1.36	-0.19% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.00	0.00	Y

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	52.78%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	7.41%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.93%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State State LEA Target Met Rate Target Rate by LEA(Y/N)					
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at		

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported this time.			g reported at

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	38.05%		43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements		sitive So 11s*	cial -En	1 / -			quisition and Use of owledge and Skills **		C) Use of Appropriate I to Meet Their Needs			
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	92.00%	N

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	-	N/A

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 5303 - PERRYVILLE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	90.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	1.61%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	98.84%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	98.92%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	41.18%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	41.30%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	59.35%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	10.32%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.65%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State State LEA Target Met Rate Target Rate by LEA(Y/N)				
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at	

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported this time.			g reported at

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements		sitive So	cial -En	notional	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills				C) Use of Appropriate Behavio to Meet Their Needs			
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	96.43%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecond education or training program; or	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some of employment within one year of leavin high school.	60.10		N/A

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 5401 - BARTON-LEXA SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	75.00%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	4.35%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	27.12%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	16.36%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	1.12% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	37.00%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	5.00%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	1.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State State LEA Target Met Rate Target Rate by LEA(Y/N)				
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at	

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported			g reported at
	this time.			

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements		sitive So 11s*	cial -En	notional		cquisition and Use of nowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behavio to Meet Their Needs			
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	100.00%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.95	49.10		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecond education or training program; or	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some of employment within one year of leavin high school.	60.10		N/A

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 5403 - HELENA/W.HELENA SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	42.31%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	1.69%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	97.41%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	97.73%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	22.12%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	24.81%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	5.38% pts	N

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Υ
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.00	0.00	-

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	41.05%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	29.69%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.87%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State State LEA Target Met Rate Target Rate by LEA(Y/N)				
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at	

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported a this time.			

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	91.80%	N

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

LEA: 5403 - HELENA/ W.HELENA SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecond education or training program; or	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some of employment within one year of leavin high school.	60.10		N/A

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 5404 - MARVELL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	75.00%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	16.67%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	Y
Literacy	Y		37.98%	Y
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	Y

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	17.65%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	40.00%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	-0.97% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	10.64%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	0.00%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	4.26%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State State LEA Target Met Rate Target Rate by LEA(Y/N)					
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at		

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported this time.			g reported at

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	38.05%		43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements		sitive So	cial -En	notional	nal B) Acquisition and Use Knowledge and Skill				C) Use of Appropriate Behavior to Meet Their Needs			
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	95.35%	N

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00	100.00%	Y

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10	42.86%	Y

B. Percent of youth enrolled in education or competitively e within one year of leaving h	mployed Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.95	49.10	57.14%	Y

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	57.14%	N

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 5420 - GREAT RIVERS CO-OP

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%		NA

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%		NA

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)		% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	N/A	17.15%	19.38%	N/A
Literacy	N/A		37.98%	N/A
Mathematics	N/A		29.07%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%		N/A
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	1	N/A

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%		N/A
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%		N/A

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	-	N/A

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development	0.00	0.00	NI/A
and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	N/A
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%		NA

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%		NA

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%		NA

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	8				
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at		

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported a			g reported at

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%	3.20%	1.26%	3.20%	0.83%	1.78%
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%	1.42%	7.65%	2.49%	4.77%	2.49%
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%	13.17%	33.42%	26.33%	16.37%	6.76%
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.		45.20%	43.32%	51.60%	40.24%	40.93%
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%	37.01%	14.36%	16.37%	37.80%	48.04%

Summary Statements		Positive Social -Emotional B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills ** C) Use of Appropriate Beh to Meet Their Needs										
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%	92.66%	Y	89.61%	90.50%	93.19%	Y	91.00%	92.50%	91.78%	N
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%	82.21%	Y	57.68%	60.50%	67.97%	Y	78.03%	78.50%	88.97%	Y

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%		N/A

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	N/A

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	N/A

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
by their third birthday.	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10		N/A

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	Y
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	N/A

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 5440 - KIPP: DELTA COLLEGE PREP SCHOOL

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	50.00%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	N	17.15%	19.38%	N/A
Literacy	N		37.98%	N/A
Mathematics	N/A		29.07%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	27.59%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	26.67%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Υ
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.00	0.00	-

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	83.33%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	1.85%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State State LEA Target Met Rate Target Rate by LEA(Y/N)				
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at	

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported this time.			g reported at

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	38.05%		43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements		sitive So	cial -En	notional	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs			
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	98.18%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Υ

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
by their third birthday.	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10	0.00%	N

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.95	49.10	0.00%	N

C.	C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		55.92	60.10	100.00%	Y

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw periods.	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 5502 - CENTERPOINT SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	Y
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	38.78%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	50.00%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.26% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	57.14%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	8.57%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	1.90%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported at this time.		g reported at	

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ Behaviors to		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%		
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%		
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%		
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%		
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%		

Summary Statements		Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	90.48%	N

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of 60 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Υ

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

LEA: 5502 - CENTERPOINT SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecond education or training program; or	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some of employment within one year of leavin high school.	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 5503 - KIRBY SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	80.00%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	N	17.15%	19.38%	N/A
Literacy	N		37.98%	N/A
Mathematics	N/A		29.07%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	30.43%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	24.00%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.00	0.00	Y

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	71.79%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	2.56%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being report this time.		g reported at	

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		(Including early language/ Behaviors to		Knowledge and Skills Appropriate (Including early language/ Behaviors to		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate			
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%				
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%				
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%				
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%				

Summary Statements		sitive So	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills ** C) Use of Appropriate Behav to Meet Their Needs			1 ' -						
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%		N/A

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00	100.00%	Y

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10	25.00%	Y

ec	ercent of youth enrolled in higher ducation or competitively employed rithin one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		42.95	49.10	75.00%	Y

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	100.00%	Y

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 5504 - SOUTH PIKE COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	3.13%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	33.93%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	41.07%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.97% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	70.71%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	10.10%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being report this time.		g reported at	

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		Knowledge and Skills Appropriate (Including early language/ Behaviors to		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%		
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%		
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%		
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%		
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%		

Summary Statements		A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills* B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills ** C) Use of Appropriate I to Meet Their Needs			, -							
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	100.00%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of 60 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

LEA: 5504 - SOUTH PIKE COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.95	49.10		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecond education or training program; or	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some of employment within one year of leavin high school.	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 5602 - HARRISBURG SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	63.64%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	8.93%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	29.13%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	41.59%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.51% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	36.63%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	6.40%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	1.74%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported this time.		g reported at	

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements		sitive So	cial -En	notional	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **							
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%	100.00%	Y
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	96.43%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

LEA: 5602 - HARRISBURG SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00	100.00%	Y

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	N
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	N

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 5604 - MARKED TREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	83.33%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	2.50%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	97.83%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	98.00%	Y

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	31.11%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	38.78%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.96% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	38.04%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	14.13%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State State LEA Target Met Rate Target Rate by LEA(Y/N)				
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at	

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reporte this time.		g reported at	

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills Knowledge and Skills Appropriate social (Including early language/ Behaviors to		Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements	· ′	·			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	96.23%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of 60 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.95	49.10		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10		N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 5605 - TRUMANN SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma 75.3	75.31%	85.00%	62.50%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	2.15%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	99.36%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	99.39%	Y

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	31.41%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	45.12%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	55.38%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	27.49%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.40%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported this time.		g reported at	

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ Behaviors to		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%	<u> </u>	1.26%		0.83%		
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%		
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%		
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%		
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%		

Summary Statements		sitive So				B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	91.19%	N

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10	14.29%	Y

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.95	49.10	42.86%	N

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	50.00%	N

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 5608 - EAST POINSETT CO. SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	62.50%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	Y
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	98.72%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	98.70%	Y

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	40.26%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	36.84%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Υ
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.00	0.00	-

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	61.76%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	9.56%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i	ndicator i	s not bein	g reported at
	this time .			

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%	<u> </u>	1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements		A) Positive Soc Skills*		otional B) Acquisition and Use of C) Use of Appropriate Behave to Meet Their Needs		ive Social -Emotional s*						1 ' -			
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)			
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A			
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A			

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	96.25%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	91.67%	N
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	91.67%	N

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

LEA: 5608 - EAST POINSETT CO. SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00	100.00%	Y

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10	25.00%	Y

ec	ercent of youth enrolled in higher ducation or competitively employed rithin one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		42.95	49.10	75.00%	Y

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	75.00%	Y

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 5620 - CROWLEY'S RIDGE EDUCATIONAL CO-OP

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from high school with a regular diploma	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	75.31%	85.00%		NA

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%		NA

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	N/A	17.15%	19.38%	N/A
Literacy	N/A		37.98%	N/A
Mathematics	N/A		29.07%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%		N/A
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	1	N/A

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%		N/A
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%		N/A

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	-	N/A

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development	0.00	0.00	NI/A
and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	N/A
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%		NA

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	-	NA

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%		NA

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special		State	LEA	Target Met	
		Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)	
ducation and related services in the regular early childhood program	This indicator is not being reported at this time .				

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reporte this time.			g reported at

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%	3.60%	7.65%	4.32%	4.77%	5.04%
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%	38.85%	33.42%	40.29%	16.37%	26.62%
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.		50.36%	43.32%	46.04%	40.24%	57.55%
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%	7.19%	14.36%	9.35%	37.80%	10.79%

Summary Statements	1 '							Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs				
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%	96.12%	Y	89.61%	90.50%	95.24%	Y	91.00%	92.50%	94.35%	Y
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%	57.55%	N	57.68%	60.50%	55.40%	N	78.03%	78.50%	68.35%	N

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%	91.78%	Y
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%		N/A

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	N/A

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	N/A

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of oo days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	-	N/A

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

LEA: 5620 - CROWLEY'S RIDGE EDUCATIONAL CO-OP

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within the alloted reveiw periods.	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	Y
	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	N/A

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 5703 - MENA SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	86.67%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	7.41%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	Y
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	34.00%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	40.95%	N

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>≤</u> 1.36	0.46% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	75.53%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	17.02%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.53%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State State LEA Target Met Rate Target Rate by LEA(Y/N)				
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at	

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported a this time.			

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements		A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	100.00%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of oo days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 5706 - OUACHITA RIVER SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	87.50%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	Y
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	97.67%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	97.56%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	45.24%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	35.00%	N

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	76.00%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	2.67%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported this time.			g reported at

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%	<u> </u>	1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements								e of Appropriate Behaviors Meet Their Needs				
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	100.00%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of oo days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

LEA: 5706 - OUACHITA RIVER SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 5707 - COSSATOT RIVER SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	85.71%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	1.69%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	39.47%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	47.44%	N

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.00	0.00	Y

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	50.00%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	5.38%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported this time.			g reported at

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements		A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	100.00%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

LEA: 5707 - COSSATOT RIVER SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
ervices that will reasonably enable the student o meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw periods.	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 5801 - ATKINS SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	80.00%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	98.61%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	98.63%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	26.76%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	45.83%	N

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.75% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Υ
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.00	0.00	-

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	48.80%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	12.80%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State State LEA Target Met Rate Target Rate by LEA(Y/N)				
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at	

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported a			

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%	<u> </u>	1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements					B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	84.00%	N

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of oo days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00	100.00%	Y

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.95	49.10		N/A

C.	C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		55.92	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw periods.	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 5802 - DOVER SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	80.00%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	98.97%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	98.86%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	46.88%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	48.28%	N

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Υ
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.00	0.00	-

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	67.78%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	18.33%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.56%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special		State	LEA	Target Met
		Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
ducation and related services in the regular early childhood program	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported this time.			g reported at

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	38.05%		43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements		A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	89.09%	N

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of oo days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecond education or training program; or	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some of employment within one year of leavin high school.	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 5803 - HECTOR SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	88.89%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	10.00%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	Y
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	95.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	94.74%	N

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	83.78%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	55.56%	Y

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	2.53% pts	N

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.00	0.00	Y

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	60.00%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	27.14%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported at this time.			g reported at

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	38.05%		43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements					, -			se of Appropriate Behaviors Meet Their Needs				
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	100.00%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of oo days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.95	49.10		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some of employment within one year of leavin high school.	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 5804 - POTTSVILLE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	50.00%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	2.94%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	Y
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	60.24%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	59.77%	Y

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	63.25%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	13.86%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported at this time.			

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported at this time.			

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ Behaviors to		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%		
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%		
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%		
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%		
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%		

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills ** C) Use of Appropriate Behavior to Meet Their Needs			1 '					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	97.22%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of 60 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 5805 - RUSSELLVILLE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	69.70%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	0.45%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	Y
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	99.64%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	99.66%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	46.95%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	57.34%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	-0.02% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Υ
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.00	0.00	-

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	68.92%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	16.49%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.69%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State State LEA Target Met Rate Target Rate by LEA(Y/N)				
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at	

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported a this time.		g reported at	

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ Behaviors to	
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements		sitive So 11s*	cial -En	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills ** C) Use of Appropriate Behave to Meet Their Needs			1 ' -					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	94.29%	N

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of oo days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	1	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 5901 - DES ARC SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	Y
Literacy	Y		37.98%	Y
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	Y

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	36.11%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	55.56%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

,						
Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)		
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	56.76%	N		

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	2.70%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	6.76%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported at this time.			

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i	ndicator i	s not bein	g reported at
	this time .			

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		Appropriate sage/ Behaviors to	
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	38.05%		43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

			Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs						
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%		N/A

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00	100.00%	Y

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10	0.00%	N

В.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		42.95	49.10	33.33%	N

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	33.33%	N

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 5903 - HAZEN SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	n Rate Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	57.14%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	27.59%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	23.53%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	26.42%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	-0.18% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.00	0.00	Y

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	42.22%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	8.89%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	1.11%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i	ndicator i	s not bein	g reported at
	this time .			

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		Knowledge and Skills Appropriate (Including early language/ Behaviors to	
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements		sitive So	cial -En	notional B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills ** C) Use of Appropriate Behavior to Meet Their Needs								
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%		N

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	80.00%	N
or ou days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	80.00%	N

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 6001 - LITTLE ROCK SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	58.33%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	0.09%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	97.89%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	98.07%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	24.32%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	29.85%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	2.94% pts	N

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Υ
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.00	0.00	-

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

2) 221 0210 210 000000 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 0						
Percent of CWD with	State	State	LEA	Target Met		
IEPs receiving instruction	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)		
in the regular class 80%						
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	49.26%	N		

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	16.49%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	6.03%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State State LEA Target Met Rate Target Rate by LEA(Y/N)				
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at	

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported a this time.		g reported at	

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements		sitive So 11s*							1 '			
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	86.67%	N

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	96.72%	N
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	96.72%	N

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00	100.00%	Y

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10	18.00%	Y

educa	nt of youth enrolled in higher ation or competitively employed n one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		42.95	49.10	42.00%	N

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	57.33%	N

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 6002 - N. LITTLE ROCK SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	58.23%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	21.10%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	Y
Literacy	Y		37.98%	Y
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	Y

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	97.72%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	97.86%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	28.74%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	33.11%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.11% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	50.41%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	15.47%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	4.57%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i	ndicator i	s not bein	g reported at
	this time .			

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements		A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	81.31%	N

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of ou days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

LEA: 6002 - N. LITTLE ROCK SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecond education or training program; or	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some of employment within one year of leavin high school.	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	Y
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 6003 - PULASKI CO. SPEC. SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	57.83%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	2.16%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	98.54%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	98.69%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	30.61%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	35.70%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	1.78% pts	N

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development	0.00	0.00	
and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	48.98%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	14.19%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	5.00%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported a this time.		g reported at	

	Emotional (Including	Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships) B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ Sehaviors to Meet Their Need Me		Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%	<u> </u>	1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements		A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	87.66%	N

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	97.48%	N
of 60 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	97.48%	N

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

LEA: 6003 - PULASKI CO. SPEC. SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecond education or training program; or	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some of employment within one year of leavin high school.	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 6040 - ACADEMICS PLUS CHARTER SCHOOL

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%		NA

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	N	17.15%	19.38%	N/A
Literacy	N		37.98%	N/A
Mathematics	N/A		29.07%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	28.57%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	31.03%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	100.00%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	0.00%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State State LEA Target Met Rate Target Rate by LEA(Y/N)				
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at	

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported a this time.		g reported at	

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs	
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements	,				B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs				
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	95.24%	N

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

LEA: 6040 - ACADEMICS PLUS CHARTER SCHOOL

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10		N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw periods.	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 6041 - LISA ACADEMY CHARTER SCHOOL

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	N	17.15%	19.38%	N/A
Literacy	N		37.98%	N/A
Mathematics	N/A		29.07%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	12.50%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	33.33%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	-0.22% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

,											
Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)							
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	79.17%	Y							

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	0.00%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported this time.			

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	57.14%	N

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

LEA: 6041 - LISA ACADEMY CHARTER SCHOOL

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.95	49.10		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw periods.	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 6042 - DREAMLAND ACADEMY CHARTER SCHOOL

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%		NA

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	N	17.15%	19.38%	N/A
Literacy	N		37.98%	N/A
Mathematics	N/A		29.07%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	8.33%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	0.00%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development	0.00	0.00	
and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	39.29%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	28.57%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
	This indicator is not being reported at this time.				

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported a this time.			g reported at

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	38.05%		43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%		N

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	80.00%	N
of oo days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	80.00%	N

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

LEA: 6042 - DREAMLAND ACADEMY CHARTER SCHOOL

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C.	C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		55.92	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw periods.	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 6043 - ARKANSAS VIRTUAL CHARTER SCHOOL

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	1	NA

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	Y
Literacy	Y		37.98%	Y
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	Y

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	36.17%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	36.17%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	100.00%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	0.00%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met	
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)	
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.00%	Y	

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special		State	LEA	Target Met	
		Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)	
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	This indicator is not being reported at this time.				

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported			
	this time .			

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	89.74%	N

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	95.00%	N
of to days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	95.00%	N

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

LEA: 6043 - ARKANSAS VIRTUAL CHARTER SCHOOL

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C.	C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		55.92	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw periods.	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 6044 - COVENANT KEEPERS CHARTER SCHOOL

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%		NA

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	N	17.15%	19.38%	N/A
Literacy	N		37.98%	N/A
Mathematics	N/A		29.07%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	20.00%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	0.00%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	-0.66% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Υ
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.00	0.00	-

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	77.78%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	11.11%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special	State	State	LEA	Target Met		
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)		
education and related services in the regular early childhood program		This indicator is not being reported at this time.				

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported a			

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%	<u> </u>	1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	100.00%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of 60 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

LEA: 6044 - COVENANT KEEPERS CHARTER SCHOOL

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00	100.00%	Y

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.95	49.10		N/A

C.	C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		55.92	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw periods.	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 6045 - eSTEM ELEMENTARY CHARTER SCHOOL

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%		NA

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	N	17.15%	19.38%	N/A
Literacy	N		37.98%	N/A
Mathematics	N/A		29.07%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	53.33%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	53.33%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

2) 22 02 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2											
Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction	State Rate	State	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)							
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (1/N)							
in the regular class 80%											
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	100.00%	Y							

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	0.00%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
	This indicator is not being reported at this time.				

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported at			
	this time .			

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	100.00%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.95	49.10		N/A

C.	C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		55.92	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw periods.	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 6046 - eSTEM MIDDLE CHARTER SCHOOL

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%		NA

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	N	17.15%	19.38%	N/A
Literacy	N		37.98%	N/A
Mathematics	N/A		29.07%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	96.97%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	97.22%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	50.00%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	37.14%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Υ
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.00	0.00	-

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	100.00%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	0.00%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
	This indicator is not being reported at this time.				

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported at			
	this time .			

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%	<u> </u>	1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	91.67%	N

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.95	49.10		N/A

C.	C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		55.92	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw periods.	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 6047 - eSTEM HIGH CHARTER SCHOOL

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%		NA

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	N	17.15%	19.38%	N/A
Literacy	N		37.98%	N/A
Mathematics	N/A		29.07%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	40.00%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	63.64%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Υ
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.00	0.00	-

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	100.00%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	0.00%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
	This indicator is not being reported at this time.				

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported at			
	this time .			

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships) B) Acquisition Knowledge (Including ecommunicate)			Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	80.00%	N

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by	
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)	
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y	

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by	
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)	
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y	

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	-	N/A

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 6048 - LISA ACADEMY CHARTER SCHOOL - NLR

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	1	NA

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	N	17.15%	19.38%	N/A
Literacy	N		37.98%	N/A
Mathematics	N/A		29.07%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	41.67%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	53.85%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Υ
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.00	0.00	-

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	58.33%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	0.00%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State State LEA Target Met Rate Target Rate by LEA(Y/N)					
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at		

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported a this time.			g reported at

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%	<u> </u>	1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements		sitive So 11s*	cial -En	notional				sition and Use of ledge and Skills **		C) Use of Appropriate I to Meet Their Needs		
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	90.48%	N

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

LEA: 6048 - LISA ACADEMY CHARTER SCHOOL - NLR

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.95	49.10		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecond education or training program; or	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some of employment within one year of leavin high school.	60.10		N/A

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 6049 - LITTLE ROCK PREPARATORY ACADEMY CHARTER SCHOOL

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%		NA

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	N	17.15%	19.38%	N/A
Literacy	N		37.98%	N/A
Mathematics	N/A		29.07%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	11.11%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	11.11%	N

LEA: 6049 - LITTLE ROCK PREPARATORY ACADEMY CHARTER SCHOOL

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development	0.00	0.00	V
and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.00	0.00	I

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	91.67%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	8.33%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State State LEA Target Met Rate Target Rate by LEA(Y/N)					
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at		

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported this time.			g reported at

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements		sitive So	ocial -Emotional B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills ** C) Use of Appropriate Behavi to Meet Their Needs									
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	100.00%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

LEA: 6049 - LITTLE ROCK PREPARATORY ACADEMY CHARTER SCHOOL

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	-	N/A

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 6050 - JACKSONVILLE LIGHT HOUSE CHARTER SCHOOL

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	1	NA

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	N	17.15%	19.38%	N/A
Literacy	N		37.98%	N/A
Mathematics	N/A		29.07%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	97.87%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	98.04%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	19.57%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	28.00%	N

LEA: 6050 - JACKSONVILLE LIGHT HOUSE CHARTER SCHOOL

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	78.69%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	0.00%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate					
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at		

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported this time.			g reported at

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements		sitive So 11s*	cial -En	notional				isition and Use of vledge and Skills **		C) Use of Appropriate B to Meet Their Needs		
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	100.00%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	1	N/A
	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

LEA: 6050 - JACKSONVILLE LIGHT HOUSE CHARTER SCHOOL

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.95	49.10		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecond education or training program; or	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some of employment within one year of leavin high school.	60.10		N/A

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 6052 - SIATECH CHARTER SCHOOL

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%		NA

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	N	17.15%	19.38%	N/A
Literacy	N		37.98%	N/A
Mathematics	N/A		29.07%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%		N/A
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%		N/A

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%		N/A
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%		N/A

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development	0.00	0.00	V
and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.00	0.00	I

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%		NA

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%		NA

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%		NA

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State State LEA Target Met Rate Target Rate by LEA(Y/N)				
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at	

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported a			

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive Se Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy		C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements		sitive So 11s*	cial -En	notional				ition and Use of edge and Skills **		C) Use of Appropriate I to Meet Their Needs		
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%		N/A

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%		N/A
	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecond education or training program; or	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some of employment within one year of leavin high school.	60.10		N/A

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 6091 - AR SCHOOL FOR THE BLIND

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	90.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	4.88%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	Y
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	88.10%	N
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	89.36%	N

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	58.33%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	57.14%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	0.00%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	0.00%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	100.00%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State State LEA Target Met Rate Target Rate by LEA(Y/N)					
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at		

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported this time.			g reported at

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	Emotional (Including	Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships) B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early languation and use of Knowledge and Skills					
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%		
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%	50.00%	7.65%	50.00%	4.77%	50.00%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%		
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%		
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%	50.00%	14.36%	50.00%	37.80%	50.00%	

Summary Statements		sitive So 11s*	cial -En	notional	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills				C) Use of Appropriate Behavio to Meet Their Needs			
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%	66.67%	N
	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	100.00%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	1	N/A
	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00	100.00%	Y

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10		N/A

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	Y
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 6092 - AR SCHOOL FOR THE DEAF

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	66.67%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	1.56%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	21.74%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	12.50%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	0.00%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	0.00%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	100.00%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate					
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at		

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported this time.			g reported at

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	38.05%		43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements		sitive So 11s*	cial -En	notional	Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs				
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%	50.00%	N
	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	92.68%	N

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	1	N/A
	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00	100.00%	Y

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecond education or training program; or	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some of employment within one year of leavin high school.	60.10		N/A

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	Y
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 6094 - DIVISION OF YOUTH SERVICES SCHOOL

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%		NA

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	N/A	17.15%	19.38%	N/A
Literacy	N/A		37.98%	N/A
Mathematics	N/A		29.07%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%		N/A
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	1	N/A

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%		N/A
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%		N/A

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	0.00%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	0.00%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
	This indicator is not being reported			g reported at	
	this time.				

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements		sitive So				B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs			
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%		N/A

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%		N/A
	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

LEA: 6094 - DIVISION OF YOUTH SERVICES SCHOOL

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

В.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		42.95	49.10		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	1	N/A

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw periods.	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 6102 - MAYNARD SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
igh school with a egular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	97.14%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	97.37%	Y

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	26.47%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	42.86%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	50.68%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	0.00%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	1.37%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i	ndicator i	s not bein	g reported at
	this time .			

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		Knowledge and Skills Appropriate (Including early language/Behaviors to		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%	<u> </u>	1.26%		0.83%		
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%		
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%		
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%		
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%		

Summary Statements		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	100.00%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of ou days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00	100.00%	Y

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.95	49.10		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecond education or training program; or	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some of employment within one year of leavin high school.	60.10		N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 6103 - POCAHONTAS SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	93.33%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	42.97%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	61.42%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	-0.06% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Υ
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.00	0.00	-

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	62.70%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	11.51%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	2.38%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported a this time.		g reported at	

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		kills Knowledge and Skills ocial (Including early language		C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate		
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%			
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%	2.70%	33.42%	10.81%	16.37%			
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.		18.92%	43.32%	86.49%	40.24%	24.32%		
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%	78.38%	14.36%	2.70%	37.80%	75.68%		

Summary Statements	· ′) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%	100.00%	Y	89.61%	90.50%	100.00%	Y	91.00%	92.50%	100.00%	Y
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%	97.30%	Y	57.68%	60.50%	89.19%	Y	78.03%	78.50%	100.00%	Y

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	94.69%	N

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	98.11%	N
of 60 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	98.80%	N
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

LEA: 6103 - POCAHONTAS SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.95	49.10		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecond education or training program; or	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some of employment within one year of leavin high school.	60.10		N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	Y
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 6201 - FORREST CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	64.29%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	1.48%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	98.68%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	98.78%	Y

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	13.84%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	27.69%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.36% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	60.61%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	12.03%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	2.12%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reporte this time.		g reported at	

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		Knowledge and Skills Appropriate (Including early language/Behaviors to		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%		
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%		
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%		
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%		
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%		

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors		
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	93.27%	N

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	91.67%	N
of 60 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	91.67%	N

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

LEA: 6201 - FORREST CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00	100.00%	Y

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.95	49.10		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10		N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 6202 - HUGHES SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	71.43%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	33.33%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	96.77%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	97.06%	Y

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	23.33%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	24.24%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	1.51% pts	N

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Υ
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.00	0.00	-

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	33.33%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	26.67%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	1.67%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported this time.		g reported at	

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	Emotional (Including	ositive Social - motional Skills ncluding social elationships) B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy) C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Need		Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%	<u> </u>	1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

		sitive So	ve Social -Emotional *			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	100.00%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of 60 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10		N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 6205 - PALESTINE-WHEATLEY SCH. DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	80.00%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	2.94%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	Y
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	97.92%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	98.08%	Y

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	17.02%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	25.49%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.00	0.00	Y

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	31.17%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	1.30%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	1.30%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported this time.		g reported at	

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		Knowledge and Skills Appropriate (Including early language/ Behaviors to		ills Knowledge and Skills rial (Including early language/		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate			
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%				
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%				
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%				
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%				

Summary Statements		A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	95.65%	N

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of 60 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

LEA: 6205 - PALESTINE-WHEATLEY SCH. DISTRICT

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecond education or training program; or	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some of employment within one year of leavin high school.	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	N
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	N

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 6301 - BAUXITE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	2.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	Y

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	98.57%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	98.68%	Y

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	31.88%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	45.33%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	55.37%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	7.44%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	2.48%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i	ndicator i	s not bein	g reported at
	this time .			

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	Emotional (Including	Positive Social - Emotional Skills Including social relationships) B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy) C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Need		Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%	<u> </u>	1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements		A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	88.24%	N

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of 60 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecond education or training program; or	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some of employment within one year of leavin high school.	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 6302 - BENTON SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	79.31%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	0.49%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	99.63%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	99.65%	Y

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	51.11%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	60.50%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>≤</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.00	0.00	Y

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	55.35%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	13.63%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	1.05%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i	ndicator i	s not bein	g reported at
	this time.			

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		nowledge and Skills Appropriate ncluding early language/ Behaviors to	
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills* B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs								
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	92.04%	N

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10	15.38%	Y

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.95	49.10	42.31%	N

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	53.85%	N

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 6303 - BRYANT SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	59.42%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	1.21%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	Y
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	99.79%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	99.81%	Y

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	47.71%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	57.58%	Y

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	1.12% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	53.17%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	15.47%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	3.53%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported a this time.			

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	38.05%		43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary A Statements		A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	91.93%	N

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	99.39%	N
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	99.39%	N

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
ervices that will reasonably enable the student o meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.95	49.10		N/A

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw periods.	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 6304 - HARMONY GROVE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	50.00%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	14.29%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	Y
Literacy	Y		37.98%	Y
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	Y

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	27.42%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	43.28%	N

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	56.35%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	6.35%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.79%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported this time.			g reported at

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs		
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%		
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%		
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%		
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%		
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%		

Summary Statements	· ′				, -				of Appropriate Behaviors eet Their Needs			
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	96.55%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

LEA: 6304 - HARMONY GROVE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00	100.00%	Y

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw periods.	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 6401 - WALDRON SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	91.67%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	2.30%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	Y

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	18.03%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	34.92%	N

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>≤</u> 1.36	-0.07% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	53.89%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	1.55%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported this time.			g reported at

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements					B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	100.00%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of oo days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.95	49.10		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 6502 - SEARCY COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	81.82%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	Y
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	37.50%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	64.71%	Y

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.93% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	84.03%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	0.00%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	1.68%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
ducation and related services in the regular early childhood program	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported this time.			g reported at

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%	50.00%	33.42%		16.37%	50.00%
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.		50.00%	43.32%	50.00%	40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%	50.00%	37.80%	50.00%

		A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%	100.00%	Y	89.61%	90.50%	100.00%	Y	91.00%	92.50%	100.00%	Y
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%	50.00%	N	57.68%	60.50%	100.00%	Y	78.03%	78.50%	50.00%	N

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%	100.00%	Y
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	98.18%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of 60 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	100.00%	Υ
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

LEA: 6502 - SEARCY COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.95	49.10		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	Y
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 6505 - OZARK MOUNTAIN SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma			50.00%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	Y
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	29.82%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	37.88%	N

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	57.41%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	2.78%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported this time.			

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%	<u> </u>	1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	100.00%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of oo days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

LEA: 6505 - OZARK MOUNTAIN SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw periods.	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 6601 - FORT SMITH SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	65.91%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	0.57%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	99.35%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	99.41%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	39.06%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	40.12%	N

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	1.48% pts	N

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Υ
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.00	0.00	-

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	56.33%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	21.70%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	2.23%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special		State	LEA	Target Met
		Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
ducation and related services in the regular early childhood program	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported a this time.			

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%	1.30%	1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%	5.19%	7.65%	12.99%	4.77%	1.30%
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%	31.17%	33.42%	37.66%	16.37%	12.99%
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.		40.26%	43.32%	35.06%	40.24%	54.55%
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%	22.08%	14.36%	14.29%	37.80%	31.17%

Summary Statements		Cositive Social -Emotional B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills ** C) Use of Appropriate B to Meet Their Needs			, -							
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%	91.67%	Y	89.61%	90.50%	84.85%	N	91.00%	92.50%	98.11%	Y
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%	62.34%	N	57.68%	60.50%	49.35%	N	78.03%	78.50%	85.71%	Y

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%	100.00%	Y
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	100.00%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	97.07%	N
of oo days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	100.00%	Υ
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	95.21%	N

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

LEA: 6601 - FORT SMITH SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00	100.00%	Y

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10		N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw periods.	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	Y
	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 6602 - GREENWOOD SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	89.47%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	16.57%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	Y
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	99.61%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	99.64%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	49.81%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	56.32%	Y

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.13% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	72.16%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	6.64%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.64%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State State LEA Target Met Rate Target Rate by LEA(Y/N)				
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at	

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported this time.			g reported at

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary A Statements		A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	92.18%	N

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of oo days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

LEA: 6602 - GREENWOOD SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 6603 - HACKETT SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	66.67%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	4.88%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	Y
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	30.61%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	27.45%	N

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>≤</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	63.51%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	4.05%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported at this time.			

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported at			g reported at
	this time .			

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	38.05%		43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements		sitive So	cial -En	Emotional B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills ** C) Use of Appropriate E to Meet Their Needs			, -						
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A	
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A	

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	100.00%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Υ

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10	30.00%	Y

В.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		42.95	49.10	50.00%	Y

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	80.00%	Y

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 6604 - HARTFORD SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	71.43%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	4.35%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	Y

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	96.88%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	97.30%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	22.58%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	33.33%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	-0.35% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	48.48%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	10.61%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State State LEA Target Met Rate Target Rate by LEA(Y/N)				
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at	

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported a this time.		g reported at	

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		Knowledge and Skills Appropriate (Including early language/ Behaviors to		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%		
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%		
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%		
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%		
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%		

Summary Statements					B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	89.47%	N

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of 60 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

LEA: 6604 - HARTFORD SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.95	49.10		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecond education or training program; or	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some of employment within one year of leavin high school.	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 6605 - LAVACA SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	70.00%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	28.07%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	38.33%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	-0.13% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	59.22%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	9.71%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported this time.		g reported at	

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		(Including early language/ Behaviors to		Ils Knowledge and Skills Appropriate ial (Including early language/ Behaviors to		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate			
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%				
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%				
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	38.05%		43.32%		40.24%				
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%				

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors		
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	100.00%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00	100.00%	Y

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.95	49.10		N/A

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 6606 - MANSFIELD SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	72.73%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	1.82%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	Y

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	23.53%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	40.00%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	3.57% pts	N

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Υ
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.00	0.00	-

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

11) 111 1110 1100 111111	00,00			- J
Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	66.33%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	11.22%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State State LEA Target Met Rate Target Rate by LEA(Y/N)				
	This indicator is not being reported at this time.				

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported this time.		g reported at	

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ Behaviors to		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%		
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%		
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%		
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	38.05%		43.32%		40.24%		
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%		

Summary Statements) Positive Social -Emotional I Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **							
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	92.86%	N

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of 60 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10	0.00%	N

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employ within one year of leaving high sch		State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.95	49.10	44.44%	N

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	66.67%	Y

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 6701 - DEQUEEN SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	61.11%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	2.41%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	Y
Literacy	Y		37.98%	Y
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	Y

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	47.67%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	55.32%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.45% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	71.13%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	10.31%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	1.03%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported a this time.		g reported at	

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ Behaviors to		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%	<u> </u>	1.26%		0.83%		
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%		
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%		
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%		
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%		

Summary Statements		B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills ** C) Use of Appropriate Be to Meet Their Needs		' -			, =					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	96.67%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of 60 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

LEA: 6701 - DEQUEEN SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.95	49.10		N/A

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	1	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 6703 - HORATIO SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	23.81%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	29.55%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.00	0.00	Y

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	56.32%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	0.00%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported a this time.			g reported at

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	38.05%		43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements		sitive So	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills ** C) Use of Appropriate Behavior to Meet Their Needs			' -						
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	100.00%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10	9.09%	N

Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.95	49.10	54.55%	Y

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	72.73%	Y

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 6720 - DEQUEEN/MENA EDUCATIONAL CO-OP

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%		NA

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%		NA

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	N/A	17.15%	19.38%	N/A
Literacy	N/A		37.98%	N/A
Mathematics	N/A		29.07%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%		N/A
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	-	N/A

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%		N/A
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%		N/A

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36		N/A

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development	0.00	0.00	NI/A
and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	N/A
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%		NA

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%		NA

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%		NA

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State State LEA Target Met Rate Target Rate by LEA(Y/N)				
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at	

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported this time.		g reported at	

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%	– –	1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%	4.96%	7.65%	2.84%	4.77%	3.55%
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%	17.02%	33.42%	39.01%	16.37%	9.93%
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.		53.19%	43.32%	51.77%	40.24%	57.45%
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%	24.82%	14.36%	6.38%	37.80%	29.08%

Summary Statements	· ′	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%	93.40%	Y	89.61%	90.50%	96.97%	Y	91.00%	92.50%	95.00%	Y
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%	78.01%	Y	57.68%	60.50%	58.16%	N	78.03%	78.50%	86.52%	Y

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%	87.86%	N
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%		N/A

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	N/A

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	N/A

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of 60 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

LEA: 6720 - DEQUEEN/MENA EDUCATIONAL CO-OP

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.95	49.10		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecond education or training program; or	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some of employment within one year of leavin high school.	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	Y
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	N/A

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 6802 - CAVE CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	Y
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	96.47%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	96.59%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	47.56%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	52.94%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	59.74%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	10.39%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	1.30%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State State LEA Target Met Rate Target Rate by LEA(Y/N)			
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reporte this time.		g reported at	

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs		
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%		
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%		
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%		
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%		
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%		

Summary Statements	,				B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs				
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	100.00%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of oo days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00	100.00%	Y

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10		N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw periods.	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 6804 - HIGHLAND SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	42.35%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	51.14%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

11) 111 1110 110 0111111 0111111	00,00		_	- y
Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	61.11%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	0.62%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.62%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
	This indicator is not being reported at this time.				

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported a this time.			

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%	<u> </u>	1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	95.45%	N

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of 60 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

LEA: 6804 - HIGHLAND SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some of employment within one year of leavin high school.	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw periods.	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 6901 - MOUNTAIN VIEW SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	90.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	5.33%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	41.12%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	45.61%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.00	0.00	Y

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	68.06%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	15.74%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.93%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special	State	State	LEA	Target Met	
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)	
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	This indicator is not being reported at this time.				

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	38.05%		43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	100.00%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

LEA: 6901 - MOUNTAIN VIEW SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	1	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw periods.	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 7001 - EL DORADO SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	81.25%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	0.94%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	98.24%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	98.26%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	38.92%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	39.64%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>≤</u> 1.36	-2.04% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

,											
Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)							
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	57.14%	N							

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	16.96%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported a this time.			g reported at

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	92.20%	N

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of 60 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

LEA: 7001 - EL DORADO SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.95	49.10		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 7003 - JUNCTION CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	80.00%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	10.34%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	Y
Literacy	N		37.98%	N/A
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	Y

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	26.09%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	51.85%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Υ
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.00	0.00	-

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	68.00%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	4.00%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State State LEA Target Met Rate Target Rate by LEA(Y/N)					
	This indicator is not being reported at this time.					

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported a this time.			

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	100.00%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of 60 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

LEA: 7003 - JUNCTION CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some of employment within one year of leavin high school.	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw periods.	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 7006 - NORPHLET SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	66.67%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	96.67%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	96.15%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	24.14%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	20.00%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	69.81%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	3.77%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported at this time.			

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%	-	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	100.00%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
ervices that will reasonably enable the student o meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10	50.00%	Y

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.95	49.10	50.00%	Y

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	50.00%	N

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw periods.	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 7007 - PARKERS CHAPEL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	66.67%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	Y

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	97.56%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	97.92%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	60.00%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	65.96%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	-0.17% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	58.90%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	1.37%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported a this time.			

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%	-	N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	100.00%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

LEA: 7007 - PARKERS CHAPEL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.95	49.10		N/A

C.	C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		55.92	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw periods.	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 7008 - SMACKOVER SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	95.45%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	95.12%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	28.57%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	20.51%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	-0.13% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development	0.00	0.00	
and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	70.24%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	3.57%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
	This indicator is not being reported at this time.				

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported a this time.			

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	98.63%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by	
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)	
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y	

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	-	N/A

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 7009 - STRONG-HUTTIG SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	N/A		29.07%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	96.43%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	96.15%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	18.52%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	12.00%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	-0.74% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	69.64%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	8.93%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State State LEA Target Met Rate Target Rate by LEA(Y/N)				
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at	

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported this time.			g reported at

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements		sitive So 11s*				B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs			
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	100.00%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

LEA: 7009 - STRONG-HUTTIG SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10	33.33%	Y

В.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		42.95	49.10	33.33%	N

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	33.33%	N

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 7102 - CLINTON SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	91.67%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	1.47%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	34.78%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	48.91%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.48% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Υ
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.00	0.00	-

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	62.24%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	7.14%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	1.53%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State State LEA Target Met Rate Target Rate by LEA(Y/N)				
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at	

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported this time.			g reported at

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs	
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements	· ′	ositive Social -Emotional B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills ** C) Use of Appropriate Be to Meet Their Needs			1 ' =							
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	95.18%	N

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00	100.00%	Y

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	-	N/A

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 7104 - SHIRLEY SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	91.67%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	4.76%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	Y
Literacy	Y		37.98%	Y
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	Y

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	30.77%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	40.00%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	1.10% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development	0.00	0.00	V
and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.00	0.00	I

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	71.95%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	13.41%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	1.22%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	StateStateLEATarget MetRateTargetRateby LEA(Y/N)				
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at	

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported a			g reported at

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%	<u> </u>	1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements		Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs				
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	86.84%	N

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	1	N/A

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 7105 - SOUTH SIDE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	66.67%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	Y
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	24.24%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	33.33%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.00	0.00	Y

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	64.94%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	0.00%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	8				
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at		

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported this time.			g reported at

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements		sitive So 11s*	cial -En	notional	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				C) Use of Appropriate Behavio to Meet Their Needs			
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	88.24%	N

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00	100.00%	Y

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.95	49.10		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecond education or training program; or	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	60.10	-	N/A

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 7201 - ELKINS SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	83.33%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	1.56%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	Y
Literacy	Y		37.98%	Y
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	Y

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	38.33%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	53.42%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.75% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.00	0.00	Y

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	54.39%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	14.04%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	3.51%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate					
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at		

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported a			

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements		sitive So 11s*	cial -En	notional		B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs			
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	97.56%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10	0.00%	N

В.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		42.95	49.10	55.56%	Y

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	55.56%	N

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 7202 - FARMINGTON SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	89.47%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	0.93%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	Y
Literacy	Y		37.98%	Y
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	Y

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	98.47%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	98.53%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	34.11%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	52.24%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.39% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Υ
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.00	0.00	-

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	55.60%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	8.62%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	2.59%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State State LEA Target Met Rate Target Rate by LEA(Y/N)				
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at	

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported this time.			g reported at

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements		sitive So 11s*	cial -En	notional				uisition and Use of wledge and Skills **		C) Use of Appropriate to Meet Their Needs		
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	96.77%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

LEA: 7202 - FARMINGTON SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00	100.00%	Y

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecond education or training program; or	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some of employment within one year of leavin high school.	60.10	-	N/A

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 7203 - FAYETTEVILLE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	73.68%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	0.47%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	Y
Literacy	Y		37.98%	Y
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	Y

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	98.16%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	98.20%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	43.07%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	55.78%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.86% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Υ
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.00	0.00	-

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	59.42%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	7.28%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	3.20%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate					
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at		

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported a			

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements	· ′	sitive So 11s*	cial -En	notional	quisition and Use of owledge and Skills **		C) Use of Appropriate Bel to Meet Their Needs					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	86.81%	N

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	99.58%	N
	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	99.58%	N

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

LEA: 7203 - FAYETTEVILLE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.95	49.10		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	55.92	60.10	-	N/A	

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within the alloted reveiw periods.	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 7204 - GREENLAND SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	80.00%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	36.36%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	Y
Literacy	Y		37.98%	Y
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	Y

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	97.22%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	97.26%	Y

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	25.71%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	25.35%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.91% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.00	0.00	Y

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	32.04%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	9.71%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	3.88%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i	ndicator i	s not bein	g reported at
	this time .			

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		Knowledge and Skills Appropriate (Including early language/ Behaviors to		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%		
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%		
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%		
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%		
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%		

Summary Statements		A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills* B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills ** C) Use of Appropriate Be to Meet Their Needs			l ' =							
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	100.00%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of 60 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

LEA: 7204 - GREENLAND SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.95	49.10		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecond education or training program; or	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some of employment within one year of leavin high school.	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 7205 - LINCOLN SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	73.33%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	1.09%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	Y
Literacy	Y		37.98%	Y
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	Y

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	97.83%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	97.92%	Y

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	24.44%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	32.26%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	43.23%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	9.68%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	1.29%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reporte this time.		g reported at	

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	38.05%		43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements		sitive So 11s*	cial -En	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills ** C) Use of Appropriate Beha to Meet Their Needs			1 ' -					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	94.12%	N

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 7206 - PRAIRIE GROVE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	92.86%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	Y
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	41.73%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	53.17%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	-0.20% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	52.38%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	1.43%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	1.43%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported this time.		g reported at	

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements		sitive So 11s*	cial -En	notional B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills ** C) Use of Appropriate Behavior to Meet Their Needs			, -					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	97.67%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

LEA: 7206 - PRAIRIE GROVE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10	0.00%	N

В.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
		42.95	49.10	46.15%	N

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	53.85%	N

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 7207 - SPRINGDALE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	81.03%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	0.65%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	Y
Literacy	Y		37.98%	Y
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	Y

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	99.08%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	99.24%	Y

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	42.22%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	50.86%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	-0.12% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	59.03%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	12.66%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	5.51%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reporte this time.		g reported at	

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		Knowledge and Skills Appropriate (Including early language/ Behaviors to		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%	<u> </u>	1.26%		0.83%		
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%		
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%		
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%		
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%		

Summary Statements		sitive So 11s*	cial -En	-Emotional B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills ** C) Use of Appropriate Behavior to Meet Their Needs			1 ' -					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	95.83%	N

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	99.63%	N
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	99.63%	N

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 7208 - WEST FORK SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	90.91%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	35.85%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	28.40%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	41.18%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	53.60%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	4.80%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	1.60%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State State LEA Target Met Rate Target Rate by LEA(Y/N)				
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at	

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reporte this time.		g reported at	

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		, -		C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs				
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	97.87%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of 60 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
by their third birthday.	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.95	49.10		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecond education or training program; or	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some of employment within one year of leavin high school.	60.10		N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 7221 - NORTHWEST ARKANSAS EDUCATION SERVICE CENTER

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%		NA

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%		NA

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	N/A	17.15%	19.38%	N/A
Literacy	N/A		37.98%	N/A
Mathematics	N/A		29.07%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%		N/A
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%		N/A

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%		N/A
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%		N/A

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	-	N/A

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	N/A
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

11) 111 0110 110 010 010 010 010 010 010						
Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)		
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%		NA		

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%		NA

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%		NA

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported at this time.			

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i	ndicator i	s not bein	g reported at
	this time .			

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	Emotional (Including	Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships) B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early li		Knowledge and Skills		Knowledge and Skills Ap (Including early language/ Be		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate		
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%			
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%	13.96%	7.65%	16.22%	4.77%	9.01%		
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%	17.57%	33.42%	42.79%	16.37%	9.01%		
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.		13.96%	43.32%	36.94%	40.24%	12.61%		
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%	54.50%	14.36%	4.05%	37.80%	69.37%		

Summary Statements		A) Positive Social -Emo Skills*										
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%	69.31%	N	89.61%	90.50%	83.10%	N	91.00%	92.50%	70.59%	N
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%	68.47%	N	57.68%	60.50%	40.99%	N	78.03%	78.50%	81.98%	Y

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%	82.61%	N
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%		N/A

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	N/A

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	N/A

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of ou days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	100.00%	Υ
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
by their third birthday.	99.53%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

LEA: 7221 - NORTHWEST ARKANSAS EDUCATION SERVICE CENTER

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	1	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	Y
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	N/A

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 7301 - BALD KNOB SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	80.95%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	1.22%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	26.58%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	39.53%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.93% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	56.60%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	11.95%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	1.26%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported this time.		g reported at	

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		(Including early language/ Behaviors to		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%		
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%		
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%		
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	38.05%		43.32%		40.24%		
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%		

Summary Statements	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs								
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	84.21%	N

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.95	49.10		N/A

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 7302 - BEEBE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	92.00%	Υ

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	1.08%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	Y

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	99.52%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	99.59%	Y

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	43.20%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	55.27%	Y

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development	0.00	0.00	V
and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.00	0.00	I

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	59.35%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	9.93%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.92%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported at this time.			

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported a this time.			g reported at

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs		
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%		
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%		
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%		
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%		
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%		

Summary Statements	l ′	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills ** C) Use of Appropriate Behavior to Meet Their Needs										
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	98.85%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of oo days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 7303 - BRADFORD SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State LEA Target Rate		Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	38.46%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	53.85%	Y

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Υ
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.00	0.00	-

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	76.79%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	0.00%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	5.36%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported a			g reported at
	this time .			

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive Social - Emotional Skills (Including social relationships)		B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements	· ′	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills* B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills ** C) Use of Appropriate to Meet Their Needs			, -							
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	100.00%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of ou days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.95	49.10		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some of employment within one year of leavin high school.	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 7304 - WHITE CO. CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	50.00%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	18.18%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	Y
Literacy	Y		37.98%	Y
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	Y

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	30.56%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	40.54%	N

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.00	0.00	Y

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

,								
Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)				
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	64.06%	Y				

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	12.50%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	1.56%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special		State	LEA	Target Met
		Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
education and related services in the regular early childhood program	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported			g reported at
	this time .			

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements		1 / -										
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	100.00%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of oo days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

LEA: 7304 - WHITE CO. CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
ervices that will reasonably enable the student o meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00	100.00%	Y

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 7307 - RIVERVIEW SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	94.44%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	Y
Literacy	Y		37.98%	Y
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	Y

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	98.90%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	99.04%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	43.82%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	41.18%	N

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.93% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Υ
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.00	0.00	-

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	59.90%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	6.25%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	2.60%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State State LEA Target Met Rate Target Rate by LEA(Y/N)				
	This indicator is not being reported at this time.				

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported this time.			g reported at

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements					B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	100.00%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of oo days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00	100.00%	Y

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.95	49.10		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 7309 - PANGBURN SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	Y
Literacy	Y		37.98%	Y
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	Y

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	58.06%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	63.64%	Y

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	-0.15% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

,								
Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)				
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	72.86%	Y				

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	0.00%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	7.14%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported a			g reported at
	this time .			

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements	· ′) Positive Social -Emotional B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills ** C) Use of Appropr to Meet Their N			, -							
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	77.78%	N

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of ou days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00	100.00%	Y

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw periods.	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 7310 - ROSE BUD SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	78.57%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	Y
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	32.73%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	42.86%	N

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	58.70%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	1.09%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State State LEA Target Met Rate Target Rate by LEA(Y/N)				
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at	

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported this time.			g reported at

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Knowledge and (Including early communication	Skills	C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	38.05%		43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements					B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	100.00%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of oo days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw periods.	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 7311 - SEARCY SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	l h	
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	69.23%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	0.71%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	99.08%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	99.56%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	45.12%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	50.88%	N

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.16% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Υ
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.00	0.00	-

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

in the regular class 50 70 or more or the day									
Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)					
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	39.78%	N					

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	14.89%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	6.67%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported at this time.			

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported a			g reported at
	this time .			

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	38.05%		43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements		Positive Social -Emotional Skills* B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills ** C) Use of Appropriate to Meet Their Needs			, -							
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

NOTE: * Including social relationships

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Indicator 08: Parent Involvement

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	98.25%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	91.43%	N
of oo days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	91.43%	N

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.95	49.10		N/A

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	-	N/A

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 7320 - WILBUR D. MILLS EDUCATION SERVICE CO-OP

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	1	NA

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%		NA

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	N/A	17.15%	19.38%	N/A
Literacy	N/A		37.98%	N/A
Mathematics	N/A		29.07%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%		N/A
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%		N/A

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%		N/A
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%		N/A

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	1	N/A

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development	0.00	0.00	NI/A
and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	N/A
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%		NA

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	-	NA

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%		NA

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported at this time.			

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported at this time.			

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		Knowledge and Skills Appropriate (Including early language/ Behaviors to		Appropriate	s to	
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate			
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%				
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%	3.51%	7.65%	0.88%	4.77%	0.88%			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%	11.40%	33.42%	9.65%	16.37%	6.58%			
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.		52.63%	43.32%	45.61%	40.24%	48.25%			
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%	32.46%	14.36%	43.86%	37.80%	44.30%			

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **								
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%	94.81%	Y	89.61%	90.50%	98.44%	Y	91.00%	92.50%	98.43%	Y
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%	85.09%	Y	57.68%	60.50%	89.47%	Y	78.03%	78.50%	92.54%	Y

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%	96.14%	Y
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%		N/A

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	N/A

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	N/A

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of ou days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%		N/A

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
by their third birthday.	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

LEA: 7320 - WILBUR D. MILLS EDUCATION SERVICE CO-OP

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	-	N/A

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	Y
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	N/A

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 7401 - AUGUSTA SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	60.00%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	Y
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	20.45%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	31.11%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	4.71% pts	N

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Υ
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.00	0.00	-

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	58.33%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	11.90%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	3.57%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State State LEA Target Met Rate Target Rate by LEA(Y/N)				
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at	

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reporte this time.		g reported at	

	Emotional (Including	Emotional Skills Know (Including social (Includi		B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		Knowledge and Skills Appr (Including early language/ Beha		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate		
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%			
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%			
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	38.05%		43.32%		40.24%			
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%			

Summary Statements		ositive Social -Emotional kills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%		N

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 60 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecond education or training program; or	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some of employment within one year of leavin high school.	60.10		N/A

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 7403 - MCCRORY SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	75.00%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	6.67%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	Y
Literacy	Y		37.98%	Y
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	Y

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	26.19%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	47.83%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	-0.35% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	56.79%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	11.11%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reporte this time.		g reported at	

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		Knowledge and Skills Appropriate (Including early language/ Behaviors to		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%		
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%		
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%		
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	38.05%		43.32%		40.24%		
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%		

Summary Statements		sitive So 11s*	cial -En	al -Emotional B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills ** C) Use of Appropriate Behavior to Meet Their Needs			1 ' -					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%		N

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of 60 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	-	N/A

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 7503 - DANVILLE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	1.85%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	Y
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	35.94%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	52.38%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

/						
Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)		
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	51.97%	N		

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	7.87%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	2.36%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i	ndicator i	s not bein	g reported at
	this time.			

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		Knowledge and Skills Appropriate Including early language/ Behaviors to	
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%	
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%	
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%	
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%	

Summary Statements	A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			al -Emotional B) Acquisition and Use of C) Use of Appropriate Behave to Meet Their Needs			1 ' -					
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	100.00%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
01 00 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	-	N/A

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 7504 - DARDANELLE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	68.42%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	4.21%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	99.31%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	99.32%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	48.25%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	55.78%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	-0.18% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Υ
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.00	0.00	-

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	47.47%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	20.62%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.78%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported at this time.			

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
	This i	ndicator i	s not bein	g reported at
	this time .			

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		Knowledge and Skills Appropriate (Including early language/ Behaviors to		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%		
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%		
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%		
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%		
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%		

Summary Statements	· ′	sitive So 11s*	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills ** C) Use of Appropriate B to Meet Their Needs			, -						
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	94.40%	N

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of 60 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

LEA: 7504 - DARDANELLE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C.	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	55.92	60.10	-	N/A

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 7509 - WESTERN YELL CO. SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	83.33%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	2.38%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	Y
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	45.28%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	43.33%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	0.00% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Y
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	55.29%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	4.71%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State State LEA Target Met Rate Target Rate by LEA(Y/N)				
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at	

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported a this time.		g reported at	

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		Knowledge and Skills Appropriate (Including early language/ Behaviors to		C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate		
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%			
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%			
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.			43.32%		40.24%			
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%			

Summary Statements		A) Positive Social -Emotional Skills* B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills ** C) Use of Appropriate to Meet Their Needs			' -							
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	98.51%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of 60 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

LEA: 7509 - WESTERN YELL CO. SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.95	49.10		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecond education or training program; or	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some of employment within one year of leavin high school.	60.10		N/A

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2011/12 School Year Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 7510 - TWO RIVERS SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%	83.33%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	3.45%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	Y	17.15%	19.38%	N
Literacy	Y		37.98%	N
Mathematics	Y		29.07%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%	100.00%	Y
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%	17.50%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%	43.68%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u>≤</u> 1.36	-0.14% pts	Y

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	Υ
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	0.00	0.00	-

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%	63.95%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	10.47%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State State LEA Target Met Rate Target Rate by LEA(Y/N)				
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at	

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This indicator is not being reported a this time.			g reported at

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ Behaviors to		eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%		1.26%		0.83%		
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%		7.65%		4.77%		
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%		33.42%		16.37%		
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.	38.05%		43.32%		40.24%		
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%		14.36%		37.80%		

Summary Statements A		sitive So	itive Social -Emotional lls*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%		N/A	89.61%	90.50%		N/A	91.00%	92.50%		N/A
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%		N/A	57.68%	60.50%		N/A	78.03%	78.50%		N/A

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%		N/A
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	97.56%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	Y

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	100.00%	Y
of 60 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	1	N/A
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Y

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%		N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecond education or training program; or	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some of employment within one year of leavin high school.	60.10		N/A

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel

ARKANSAS SPECIAL EDUCATION DISTRICT ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2011/12 School Year

Publication Date: July 2013

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD). Any LEA not meeting targets on compliance indicators (0% for Indicators 4B, 09 & 10 and 100% for Indicators 11, 12, 13, and 20) are non-compliant with IDEA.

There are no report changes for the 2011/12 school year profile; However, it is important to recognize that: .

- 1. Indicator 01 (Graduation) is reported a year in arrear (2010/11 graduates) and is based on a four-year cohort. This means the rate is a measure of students who entered in ninth grade and graduate in four years. The calculation is a requirement under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).
- 2. Indicator 02 (Drop out) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who dropped out in the 2010/11 school year. The Indicator 02 data are aligned with the Common Core of Data (CCD) requirements for grades 7-12.
- 3. Indicator 04A and 4B (Suspension/Expulsion) is reported a year in arrear. This means the rate is a measure of students who had out-of-school suspensions and/or expulsions totaling more than 10 days.

For a complete description of all the Indicators, please visit the special education website at http://arksped.k12.ar.us/sections/policy.html

LEA: 9150 - DHS: DIVISION of DEVELOPMENTAL DISABILITIES SERVICES

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
high school with a regular diploma	75.31%	85.00%		NA

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates (2010/11 school year)

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
out of high school	2.92%	4.20%	0.00%	NA

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Annual Measurable Objectives

n=25	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AMO for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Overall	N/A	17.15%	19.38%	N/A
Literacy	N/A		37.98%	N/A
Mathematics	N/A		29.07%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minimum of 25 (n=25) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: # of CWD Tested ÷ # of CWD Enrolled in grades tested.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Participation Rate: Literacy	98.81%	95.00%		N/A
Participation Rate: Math	98.61%	95.00%		N/A

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	36.06%	45.22%		N/A
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	45.42%	51.14%		N/A

LEA: 9150 - DHS: DIVISION of DEVELOPMENTAL DISABILITIES SERVICES

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions (2010/11 school year)

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with out-of- school suspensions and expulsions totaling greater than 10 days in a school year as compared to the same data for general education students in	Allowable	LEA	Target Met by
	Difference	Difference	LEA (Y/N)
the district.	<u><</u> 1.36	-	N/A

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
and do not comply with requirements relating to the development	0.00	0.00	NI/A
and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral	0.00	0.00	N/A
interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.			

Indicator 05: School Age (5-21) Least Restrictive Environment

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

11) 111 1110 110 0111111 0111111	-,							
Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80%	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)				
or more of the day.	53.26%	59.77%		NA				

B) In the Regular Class less than 40% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
than 40% of the day.	12.52%	12.51%	-	NA

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate schools,	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.70%	2.56%		NA

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

A) Regular Early Childhood Program

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	State State LEA Target Met Rate Target Rate by LEA(Y/N)				
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at	

B) Speparate special education class, separate school or residential facility

Percent of children (aged 3 through 5) with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
	This i		s not bein is time .	g reported at

	A) Positive So Emotional (Including relationsh	Skills social	B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (Including early language/ communication and early literacy)		C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Ne	eds
	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate	State Rate	LEA Rate
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.	1.31%	3.06%	1.26%	3.06%	0.83%	2.56%
b) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	6.23%	6.71%	7.65%	7.00%	4.77%	7.30%
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved at a level nearer to same aged peers.	24.21%	51.18%	33.42%	52.17%	16.37%	38.66%
d) Percent of preschool CWD who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same age peers.		35.11%	43.32%	34.02%	40.24%	44.48%
e) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same aged peers.	30.20%	3.85%	14.36%	3.65%	37.80%	6.90%

Summary Statements	1 '	a) Positive Social -Emotional Skills*			B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills **				of Appro leet Thei		Behaviors	
	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
1) Of those preschool children who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	89.20%	90.50%	89.84%	N	89.61%	90.50%	89.55%	N	91.00%	92.50%	89.40%	N
2) The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited	68.25%	69.50%	38.99%	N	57.68%	60.50%	37.71%	N	78.03%	78.50%	51.43%	N

^{**} Including early language/ communication and early literacy

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Early Childhood (3-5)	92.71%	88.00%	87.37%	N
and results for children with disabilities.	School Age (5-21)	95.18%	96.00%	100.00%	Y

Note: * Indicates a zero reponse rate for the district.

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
related services that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	N/A

Note: The target for Indicator 09 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	0.00%	0.00%	N/A

Note: The target for Indicator 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in a disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who are evaluated within the State established timeline of 60 days.	Overall (3-21)	99.42%	100.00%	99.28%	N
01 60 days.	Early Childhood (3-5)	99.71%	100.00%	99.28%	N
	School Age (5-21)	99.00%	100.00%		N/A

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	99.53%	100.00%	99.48%	N

LEA: 9150 - DHS: DIVISION of DEVELOPMENTAL DISABILITIES SERVICES

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	96.51	100.00		N/A

Note: Data for this Indicator is from the on-site monitoring of LEAs for the given year.

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

A. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	15.88	13.10		N/A

B. Percent of youth enrolled education or competitivel within one year of leaving	y employed Rat	·	State arget	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
	42.9	5 4	19.10		N/A

C. Percent of youth enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecond education or training program; or	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competitively employed or in some of employment within one year of leavin high school.	60.10		N/A

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates; made revisions within	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	N
the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included all APSCN cycle data except for personnel