2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 0101 - DEWITT SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	6.98%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	94.74%	N

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	6.67%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	10.81%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

11) 0 tisp 01101014 2:tp tilo1011			
Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Doto	is not
compared to the same data for all	<u>~1.24</u>		
children in the district.		ava	ilable
	•		

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>	
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to
expulsions for greater than 10		
days in a school year.	be reported i	
	time in	2008.

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	39.60%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	8.42%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	1.98%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	02.220/	(2.250/		NT / A
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time in	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below	re	This is indicate ported for time in	or to be or the firs	st
same age peers. d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.				
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
special education and related		TT1-:-:-	
services that is a result of	This is a new		
inappropriate identification	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		
(e.g. monitoring data, review			
of policies, practices, and			
procedures under 618(d), etc.).			

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)		
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new		
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator to be reported for the fir time in 2008.			
days.	School Age (5-21)		ime m	2006.		

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	N

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 0104 - STUTTGART SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	3.95%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	97.67%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	13.10%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	15.71%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to
expulsions for greater than 10		
days in a school year.	be reported	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	time ir	2008.

Note: - - denotes not applicable

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	56.35%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	16.57%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%	52.17%	N

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				EEA(IJN)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose	re	This is indicate ported fo time in	or to be or the firs	st
functioning remained below same age peers. d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported fo time in	or to be or the firs	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.			. 2000.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
special education and related		TT1-:-:-	
services that is a result of	This is a new		
inappropriate identification	indicator to be		
(e.g. monitoring data, review	rep	orted fo	or the first
of policies, practices, and	time in 2008.		
procedures under 618(d), etc.).			

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)		
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is	a new		
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2006.		

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate		This is	a new
identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		or to be or the first

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	N

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	Y
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 0201 - CROSSETT SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	81.82%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	3.37%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	98.18%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	11.11%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	9.89%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	is not
compared to the same data for all	<u><1.24</u>		
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>		
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first		
	time in	2008.	

Note: - - denotes not applicable

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	50.65%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	7.36%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.43%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	02.220/	(2.250/		NT / A
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				,
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time in	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for		st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related services that is a result of		This is	a new	
inappropriate identification	indicator to be			
(e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and	reported for the first time in 2008.			
procedures under 618(d), etc.).				

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is	a new	
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		
days.	School Age (5-21)		l ine in	2006.	

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	N
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	N

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 0203 - HAMBURG SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	81.82%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	2.67%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	97.20%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	15.38%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	14.29%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>	Data is not	
children in the district.		available	

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>		
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first		
	time in	2008.	

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	95.21%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	0.00%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	02.220/	(2.250/		NT / A
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				EEA(IJN)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for		st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.			. 2000.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
special education and related		TT1-:-:-	
services that is a result of	This is a new		
inappropriate identification	indicator to be		
(e.g. monitoring data, review	reported for the first		or the first
of policies, practices, and	time in 2008.		
procedures under 618(d), etc.).			

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicato ported fo time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		line in	2006.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate		This is	a new
identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	N
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	N

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 0302 - COTTER SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	96.36%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	13.21%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	50.00%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

11) 0 tisp 01101014 2:tp tilo1011			
Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Doto	is not
compared to the same data for all	<u>~1.24</u>		
children in the district.		ava	ilable
	•		

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to
expulsions for greater than 10		
days in a school year.	be reported for the first time in 2008.	

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	70.33%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	4.40%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	1.10%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	time in 2008.			
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.				
same age peers. d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	time in 2008.				
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related		TT1-:-:-		
services that is a result of	This is a new			
inappropriate identification	indicator to be			
(e.g. monitoring data, review	rep	orted fo	or the first	
of policies, practices, and	time in 2008.			
procedures under 618(d), etc.).				

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)		
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new		
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
days.	School Age (5-21)		ime m	2006.		

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	N

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 0303 - MOUNTAIN HOME SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	94.12%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	8.26%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	96.52%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	21.65%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	27.38%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

11) 0 tisp 01101014 2:tp tilo1011			
Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Doto	is not
compared to the same data for all	<u>~1.24</u>		
children in the district.		ava	ilable
	•		

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met		
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA		
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)		
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to		
expulsions for greater than 10				
days in a school year.	be reported for the first			
	time in	2008.		

Note: - - denotes not applicable

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	60.10%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	11.97%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.25%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	02.220/	(2.250/		NT / A
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for		st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related				
services that is a result of	This is a new			
inappropriate identification	indicator to be			
(e.g. monitoring data, review	reported for the first time in 2008.			
of policies, practices, and				
procedures under 618(d), etc.).		l illie ii	11 2006.	

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)		
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new		
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
days.	School Age (5-21)					

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Rate	Target	by LEA (Y/N)
75.76%	100.00%	N/A
	75.76%	

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	N

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 0304 - NORFORK SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	14.29%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	18.75%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	D-1-	
compared to the same data for all	<u><1.24</u>	Data is not	
children in the district.		avai	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>			
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met		
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA		
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)		
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to		
expulsions for greater than 10				
days in a school year.	be reported i			
	time in	2008.		

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	37.84%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	21.62%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	00.000/	(2.250/		27/4
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				EEA(IJN)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for		st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD	re	This is indicate ported fo time in	or to be or the firs	st
who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.				
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
special education and related		TT1-:-:-	
services that is a result of	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		
inappropriate identification			
(e.g. monitoring data, review			
of policies, practices, and			
procedures under 618(d), etc.).			

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)			
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new			
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator to be reported for the first				
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in 2008.				

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that			
is a result of inappropriate	This is a new indicator to be		
identification (e.g. monitoring			
data, review of policies, practices,	reported for the first		or the first
and procedures under 618(d),	time in 2008.		
etc.).		time ii	n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	N

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 0401 - BENTONVILLE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	88.68%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	3.40%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	Υ	9.00%	21.43%	Y
Mathematics	Y	36.48%	32.00%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	96.10%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	26.20%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	29.92%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	•
compared to the same data for all	<u><1.24</u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	3			
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met		
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA		
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)		
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to		
expulsions for greater than 10				
days in a school year.	be reported t			
	time in	2008.		

Note: - - denotes not applicable

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	45.95%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	24.28%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	4.70%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	00.000/	(2.250)		27/4
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				EEA(IJN)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose	re	This is indicate ported fo time in	or to be or the firs	st
functioning remained below same age peers. d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported fo time in	or to be or the firs	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.			. 2000.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related				
services that is a result of	This is a new			
inappropriate identification	indicator to be			
(e.g. monitoring data, review	reported for the first		or the first	
of policies, practices, and	time in 2008.			
procedures under 618(d), etc.).				

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)		
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is	a new		
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2006.		

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		This is indicate orted for time in	or to be or the first

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Rate	Target	by LEA (Y/N)
75.76%	100.00%	N/A
	75.76%	

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	N

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 0402 - DECATUR SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	83.33%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	97.56%	Υ

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	15.00%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	20.00%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

11) 0 mop 01101017 2mp 41101011	_		
Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Doto	is mot
compared to the same data for all	<u><1.24</u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable
	•		

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	time ir	2008.	

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	44.74%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	1.32%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	02.220/	(2.250/		NT / A
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				,
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time in	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for		st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
special education and related services that is a result of		This is	a new
inappropriate identification	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		
(e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).			

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	1	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2008.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Rate	Target	by LEA (Y/N)
75.76%	100.00%	N/A
	75.76%	

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	N

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 0403 - GENTRY SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	88.89%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	3.45%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: Number of CWD Tested ÷	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	96.20%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	18.42%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	21.88%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

11) 0 mop 01101017 2mp 41101011	_		
Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Doto	is mot
compared to the same data for all	<u><1.24</u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable
	•		

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>		
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first time in 2008.		

Note: - - denotes not applicable

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	60.99%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	4.26%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.71%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	00.000/	(2.250)		27/4
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.			. 2000.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
special education and related services that is a result of	This is a new		
inappropriate identification	indicator to be		
(e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	reported for the first time in 2008.		

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator ported for time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2006.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	N

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first		to be the first
		time in 2	2008.

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 0404 - GRAVETTE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	88.89%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	3.80%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	12.50%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	17.65%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	D-1-	
compared to the same data for all	<u><1.24</u>		is not
children in the district.		avai	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	J		
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first		
	time ir	1 2008.	

Note: - - denotes not applicable

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	66.50%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	4.06%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.51%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				EEA(IJN)	
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.		
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

(State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.					
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.					
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.				
who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.					
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related services that is a result of	This is a new			
inappropriate identification	indicator to be			
(e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and	reported for the first time in 2008.			
procedures under 618(d), etc.).		time ii	n 2008.	

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)		
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is	a new		
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2006.		

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Rate	Target	by LEA (Y/N)
75.76%	100.00%	N/A
	75.76%	

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	N

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 0405 - ROGERS SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	93.24%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	1.85%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	Y	9.00%	21.43%	Y
Mathematics	Y	36.48%	32.00%	Y

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	96.28%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	25.91%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	33.33%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>	Data is not	
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>		
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first		
	time in	2008.	

Note: - - denotes not applicable

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	53.13%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	19.95%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or	2.60%	2.58%	0.65%	Y
homebound/hospital placements.				

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

(State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for		st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	1 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related services that is a result of	This is a new			
inappropriate identification	indicator to be			
(e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	reported for the first time in 2008.			

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicato ported fo time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2006.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate	This is a new			
identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	N

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 0406 - SILOAM SPRINGS SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	75.00%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	4.85%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	97.14%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	15.44%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	18.64%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

11) 0 tisp 01101014 2:tp tilo1011			
Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Doto	is not
compared to the same data for all	<u>~1.24</u>		
children in the district.		ava	ilable
	•		

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	3			
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met		
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA		
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)		
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to		
expulsions for greater than 10				
days in a school year.	be reported t			
	time in	2008.		

Note: - - denotes not applicable

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	63.16%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	5.67%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.40%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	02.220/	(2.250/		NT / A
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				EEA(IJN)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below	re	This is indicate ported for time in	or to be or the firs	st
same age peers. d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
comparable to same age peers.					
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	1 2008.		
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
special education and related services that is a result of	This is a new		
inappropriate identification	indicator to be		
(e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and	reported for the first time in 2008.		
procedures under 618(d), etc.).		time ii	n 2008.

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	r	indicato eported fo time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		lime in	2006.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate		This is	a new
identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		or to be or the first

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Rate	Target	by LEA (Y/N)
75.76%	100.00%	N/A
	75.76%	

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Υ

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 0407 - PEA RIDGE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	90.91%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	17.24%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	20.00%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>	
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to
expulsions for greater than 10		
days in a school year.	be reported t	
	time in	2008.

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	62.66%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	4.43%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				EEA(IJN)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below	re	This is indicate ported for time in	or to be or the firs	st
same age peers. d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related services that is a result of	This is a new			
inappropriate identification	indicator to be			
(e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and	reported for the first time in 2008.			
procedures under 618(d), etc.).		time ii	n 2008.	

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is	a new	
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2006.	

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).			

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	N

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 0440 - BENTON COUNTY SCHOOL OF ARTS

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%		N/A

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	92.86%	N

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	23.08%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	15.38%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	•
compared to the same data for all	<u><1.24</u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	J		
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first time in 2008.		

Note: - - denotes not applicable

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	89.29%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	0.00%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	02.220/	(2.250/		NT / A
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.				
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD	re	This is indicate ported fo time in	or to be or the firs	st
who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.				
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate	or to be or the firs	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	1 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related		TT1-:-:-		
services that is a result of	This is a new			
inappropriate identification	indicator to be			
(e.g. monitoring data, review	rep	orted fo	or the first	
of policies, practices, and	time in 2008.			
procedures under 618(d), etc.).				

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator ported for time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2008.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		
identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).			

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Rate	Target	by LEA (Y/N)
75.76%	100.00%	N/A
	75.76%	

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 0501 - ALPENA SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	90.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	1.89%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	10.91%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	18.60%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

11) 0 tisp 01101014 2:tp tilo1011			
Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Doto	is mot
compared to the same data for all	<u>~1.24</u>	Data is not	
children in the district.		ava	ilable
	•		

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>			
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met		
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA		
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)		
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to		
expulsions for greater than 10				
days in a school year.	be reported for the first			
	time in 2008.			

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	51.00%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	2.00%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	02.220/	(2.250/		NT / A
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
comparable to same age peers. b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for time in	or to be or the firs	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.			2000.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below	re	This is indicate ported for time in	or to be or the firs	st
same age peers. d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.				
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
special education and related services that is a result of	This is a new		
inappropriate identification	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		
(e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).			

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicato ported fo time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2008.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate		This is	a new
identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		or to be or the first

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Rate	Target	by LEA (Y/N)
75.76%	100.00%	N/A
	75.76%	

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 0502 - BERGMAN SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	97.33%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	27.40%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	20.00%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	D-1-	
compared to the same data for all	<u><1.24</u>	Data is not	
children in the district.		avai	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to
expulsions for greater than 10		
days in a school year.	be reported i	
	time in	2008.

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	61.11%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	0.79%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	00.000/	(2.250/		27/4
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				EEA(IJN)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.					
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.		
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	time in 2008.				
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)		
special education and related		TT1-:-:-			
services that is a result of	This is a new				
inappropriate identification	indicator to be				
(e.g. monitoring data, review	reported for the first				
of policies, practices, and	time in 2008.				
procedures under 618(d), etc.).					

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)		
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new		
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2006.		

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 0503 - HARRISON SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	2.35%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	97.84%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	12.50%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	20.17%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>			
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met		
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA		
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)		
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to		
expulsions for greater than 10				
days in a school year.	be reported for the first			
	time in	2008.		

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	56.64%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	7.03%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.39%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	00.000/	(2.250)		27/4
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.			a new or to be or the fir	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time i	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.				
functioning remained below same age peers. d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.			. 2000.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related services that is a result of	This is a new			
inappropriate identification	indicator to be			
(e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	reported for the first time in 2008.			
procedures under oro(u), etc.).				

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new	
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2006.	

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate	This is a new			
identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		or to be or the first	

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Rate	Target	by LEA (Y/N)
75.76%	100.00%	N/A
	75.76%	

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 0504 - OMAHA SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	100.00%	Υ

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	16.67%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	28.57%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>	Data is not	
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	3			
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met		
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA		
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)		
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to		
expulsions for greater than 10				
days in a school year.	be reported for the first			
	time in	2008.		

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	68.92%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	0.00%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	1.35%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for		st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.		
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
special education and related		TT1-:-:-	
services that is a result of	This is a new		
inappropriate identification	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		
(e.g. monitoring data, review			
of policies, practices, and			
procedures under 618(d), etc.).			

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)		
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is	a new		
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2006.		

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate		This is	a new
identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 0505 - VALLEY SPRINGS SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	22.37%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	33.80%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

12) 0 ttop 01101014 2mp tt101011			
Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	iomot
compared to the same data for all	<u>~</u> 1,24		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable
	•		

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>	
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to
expulsions for greater than 10		
days in a school year.	be reported t	
	time in	2008.

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	76.80%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	3.20%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.80%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	•	or to be or the fir	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for		st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
special education and related		TT1-:-:-	
services that is a result of	This is a new indicator to be		
inappropriate identification			
(e.g. monitoring data, review	reported for the first		or the first
of policies, practices, and	time in 2008.		
procedures under 618(d), etc.).			

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicato ported fo time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2006.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that			
is a result of inappropriate		This is	a new
identification (e.g. monitoring	indicator to be		or to be
data, review of policies, practices,	ren	orted fo	or the first
and procedures under 618(d),	time in 2008.		
etc.).		time ii	n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Rate	Target	by LEA (Y/N)
75.76%	100.00%	N/A
	75.76%	

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Υ

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 0506 - LEAD HILL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	3.33%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	21.74%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

, i , i	J		
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first time in 2008.		
	time in	1 2008.	

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	46.15%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	1.54%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

(State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for		st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related		TT1-:-:-		
services that is a result of	This is a new			
inappropriate identification	indicator to be			
(e.g. monitoring data, review	reported for the first time in 2008.			
of policies, practices, and				
procedures under 618(d), etc.).				

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicato ported fo time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		ime m	2006.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		This is indicate orted for time in	or to be or the first

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 0520 - OZARK UNLIMITED RESOURCES CO-OP

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%		N/A

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%		N/A

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N/A	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N/A	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	1	N/A

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%		N/A
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%		N/A

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>	Data is not	
children in the district.		available	

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>			
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met		
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA		
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)		
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to		
expulsions for greater than 10				
days in a school year.	be reported for the first time in 2008.			

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%		N/A

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%		N/A

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%		N/A

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%	97.31%	Y

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	Sta Ra	ite ite	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first				st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.			time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.				
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.				
who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.					
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
special education and related services that is a result of	This is a new		
inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		
of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).			

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicato ported fo time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2008.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate		This is	a new
identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		or to be or the first

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	Y
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	N/A

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 0601 - HERMITAGE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	3.23%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	10.34%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>	Data is not	
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>	
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to
expulsions for greater than 10		
days in a school year.	be reported t	
	time in	2008.

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	88.00%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	2.00%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				EEA(IJN)	
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.					
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.					
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		n 2008.			
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.					
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	time in 2008.				
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicat		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)		
special education and related	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.				
services that is a result of					
inappropriate identification					
(e.g. monitoring data, review					
of policies, practices, and					
procedures under 618(d), etc.).					

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicato ported fo time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		ime m	2006.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Rate	Target	by LEA (Y/N)
75.76%	100.00%	N/A
	75.76%	

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 0602 - WARREN SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	85.71%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	8.33%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	94.51%	N

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	19.77%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	21.92%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first		
	time in	2008.	

Note: - - denotes not applicable

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	57.67%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	3.07%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.61%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				EEA(IJN)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below	re	This is indicate ported for time in	or to be or the firs	st
same age peers. d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related				
services that is a result of	This is a new			
inappropriate identification	indicator to be			
(e.g. monitoring data, review	reported for the first			
of policies, practices, and	time in 2008.			
procedures under 618(d), etc.).				

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10° is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Ch	ild Find				
Percent of children with		_	tate late	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)			This is a	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)		re	indicator ported for time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)			time in	2000.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
specific disability categories that				
is a result of inappropriate	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first			
identification (e.g. monitoring				
data, review of policies, practices,				
and procedures under 618(d),	time in 2008.			
etc.).				

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	new to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

Page 3 of 3 Note: - - denotes not applicable

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 0701 - HAMPTON SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	87.50%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	94.87%	N

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	0.00%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	7.69%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

11) 0 tisp 01101014 2:tp tilo1011			
Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Doto	is not
compared to the same data for all	<u>~1.24</u>		
children in the district.		ava	ilable
	•		

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>	
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to
expulsions for greater than 10		
days in a school year.	be reported i	
	time in	2008.

Note: - - denotes not applicable

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	65.52%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	6.90%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	5.75%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	00.000/	(2.250)		27/4
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				,
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time in	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.		
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related services that is a result of	This is a new			
inappropriate identification	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
(e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and				
procedures under 618(d), etc.).				

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)		
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new		
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2006.		

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).			

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Υ

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	N
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	N

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 0801 - BERRYVILLE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	80.00%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	99.07%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	14.02%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	15.05%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	D-1-	
compared to the same data for all	<u><1.24</u>		is not
children in the district.		avai	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>	
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to
expulsions for greater than 10		
days in a school year.	be reported i	
	time in	2008.

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	50.51%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	10.61%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.51%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	02.220/	(2.250/		NT / A
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	•	or to be or the fir	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for		st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related				
services that is a result of	This is a new			
inappropriate identification	indicator to be			
(e.g. monitoring data, review	reported for the first		or the first	
of policies, practices, and	*			
procedures under 618(d), etc.).	time in 2008.			

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)		
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new		
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
days.	School Age (5-21)					

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		a new
identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).			or to be or the first

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Rate	Target	by LEA (Y/N)
75.76%	100.00%	N/A
	75.76%	

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Υ

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 0802 - EUREKA SPRINGS SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	85.71%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	96.43%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	11.11%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	16.67%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>		
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first		
	time in	2008.	

Note: - - denotes not applicable

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	53.66%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	9.76%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	1.22%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				,
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time in	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for		st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported fo time in	or to be or the firs	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.			. 2000.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related services that is a result of		This is	a new	
inappropriate identification	indicator to be			
(e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and	reported for the first time in 2008.			
procedures under 618(d), etc.).		time ii	n 2008.	

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator ported for time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2006.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Rate	Target	by LEA (Y/N)
75.76%	100.00%	N/A
	75.76%	

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	new to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Υ
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 0803 - GREEN FOREST SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	63.64%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	8.47%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	97.09%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	20.00%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	25.84%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>	Data is not	
children in the district.		available	

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	J			
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met		
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA		
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)		
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to		
expulsions for greater than 10				
days in a school year.	be reported for the first			
	time in 2008.			

Note: - - denotes not applicable

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	35.67%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	11.11%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	00.000/	(2.250)		27/4
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				EEA(IJN)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.				
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for		st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.		
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related services that is a result of		This is	a new	
inappropriate identification	indicator to be			
(e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	reported for the first time in 2008.			
procedures under oro(u), etc.).				

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	1	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2008.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		This is indicate orted for time in	or to be or the first

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Rate	Target	by LEA (Y/N)
75.76%	100.00%	N/A
	75.76%	

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Υ

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 0901 - DERMOTT SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	97.87%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	10.87%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	6.35%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to
expulsions for greater than 10		
days in a school year.	be reported i	
	time in	2008.

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	30.14%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	5.48%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	00.000/	(2.250)		27/4
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				EEA(IJN)	
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.		
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.				
same age peers. d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	1 2008.		
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related services that is a result of	This is a new			
inappropriate identification	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
(e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).				

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)		
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new		
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
days.	School Age (5-21)		line in	2006.		

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate	This is a new			
identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Rate	Target	by LEA (Y/N)
75.76%	100.00%	N/A
	75.76%	

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Υ

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	N
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	N

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 0903 - LAKESIDE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	94.12%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	3.90%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	94.44%	N

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	7.06%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	10.87%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

11) 0 tisp 01101014 2:tp tilo1011			
Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Doto	is not
compared to the same data for all	<u>~1.24</u>		
children in the district.		ava	ilable
	•		

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>			
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met		
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA		
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)		
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to		
expulsions for greater than 10				
days in a school year.	be reported for the first			
	time in	2008.		

Note: - - denotes not applicable

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	75.80%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	9.55%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	2.55%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	02.220/	(2.250/		NT / A
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				EEA(IJN)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	•	or to be or the firs	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.			. 2000.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
special education and related		TT1-:-:-	
services that is a result of	This is a new		
inappropriate identification	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		
(e.g. monitoring data, review			
of policies, practices, and			
procedures under 618(d), etc.).			

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicato ported fo time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		line in	2006.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		
identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).			

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 1002 - ARKADELPHIA SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	7.14%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	98.57%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	13.77%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	14.41%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

11) 0 tisp 01101014 2:tp tilo1011			
Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Doto	is not
compared to the same data for all	<u>~1.24</u>		
children in the district.		ava	ilable
	•		

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>			
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met		
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA		
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)		
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to		
expulsions for greater than 10				
days in a school year.	be reported i			
	time in	2008.		

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	47.75%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	11.42%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	02.220/	(2.250/		NT / A
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time in	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for		st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.				
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
special education and related services that is a result of	This is a new		
inappropriate identification	indicator to be		
(e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and	reported for the first time in 2008.		
procedures under 618(d), etc.).		time ii	n 2008.

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)		
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is	a new		
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2006.		

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Υ

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 1003 - GURDON SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	75.00%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	100.00%	Υ

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	15.28%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	22.39%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	is not
compared to the same data for all	<u><1.24</u>		
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>		
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first time in 2008.		

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	79.71%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	3.62%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	00.000/	(2.250)		27/4
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below	re	This is indicate ported for time in	or to be or the firs	st
same age peers. d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			st
who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.				
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related				
services that is a result of	This is a new			
inappropriate identification	indicator to be reported for the first			
(e.g. monitoring data, review				
of policies, practices, and				
procedures under 618(d), etc.).	time in 2008.			

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)		
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new		
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator to be reported for the first			
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in 2008.			

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Rate	Target	by LEA (Y/N)
75.76%	100.00%	N/A
	75.76%	

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 1020 - DAWSON EDUCATION SERVICE CO-OP

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%		N/A

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%		N/A

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N/A	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N/A	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	- 1	N/A

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%		N/A
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%		N/A

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>		
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first		
	time in	2008.	

Note: - - denotes not applicable

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%		N/A

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%		N/A

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%		N/A

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time in	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for		st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related services that is a result of		This is	a new	
inappropriate identification	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
(e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and				
procedures under 618(d), etc.).		time ii	11 2008.	

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicato ported fo time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2006.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate	This is a new			
identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		or to be or the first	

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their	75.76%	100.00%	N
third birthday.			

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	Y
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	N/A

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 1101 - CORNING SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	1.16%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	Y	36.48%	32.00%	Y

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	98.89%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	22.47%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	17.72%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>	Data is not	
children in the district.		available	

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>		
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first time in 2008.		

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	52.97%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	6.93%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or	2.60%	2.58%	1.98%	Y
homebound/hospital placements.				

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				EEA(IJN)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below	re	This is indicate ported for time in	or to be or the firs	st
same age peers. d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
special education and related		TT1-:-:-	
services that is a result of	This is a new		
inappropriate identification	indicator to be		
(e.g. monitoring data, review	reported for the first time in 2008.		
of policies, practices, and			
procedures under 618(d), etc.).			

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicato ported fo time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2006.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Rate	Target	by LEA (Y/N)
75.76%	100.00%	N/A
	75.76%	

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 1104 - PIGGOTT SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	1.54%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	97.89%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	7.53%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	20.99%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>		
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first time in 2008.		

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	30.95%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	5.36%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
comparable to same age peers. b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for time in	or to be or the fir	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.			2000.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.					
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.					
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	1 2008.		
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related services that is a result of	This is a new			
inappropriate identification	indicator to be			
(e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and	reported for the first time in 2008.			
procedures under 618(d), etc.).		time ii	n 2008.	

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicato ported fo time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2008.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).				

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 1106 - RECTOR SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	Υ	9.00%	21.43%	N
Mathematics	Y	36.48%	32.00%	Y

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	98.75%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	17.72%	Y
Proficiency Rate:	18.98%	18.54%	21.74%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	is not
compared to the same data for all	<u><1.24</u>		
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	J			
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met		
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA		
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)		
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to		
expulsions for greater than 10				
days in a school year.	be reported for the first time in 2008.			
	time ir	1 2008.		

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	30.37%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	2.96%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	02.220/	(2.250/		NT / A
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.			a new or to be or the fir	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time i	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below	re	This is indicate ported for time in	or to be or the firs	st
same age peers. d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.		
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related		TT1-:-:-		
services that is a result of	This is a new			
inappropriate identification	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
(e.g. monitoring data, review				
of policies, practices, and				
procedures under 618(d), etc.).				

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Ch	ild Find				
Percent of children with		_	tate ate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)			This is a	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)		re	r to be r the first	
days.	School Age (5-21)			time in	2006.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	N

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	N
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	N

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 1201 - CONCORD SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	78.57%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	2.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	97.73%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	6.98%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	29.41%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	D-1-	
compared to the same data for all	<u><1.24</u>	Data is not	
children in the district.		avai	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

, i , i	,			
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met		
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA		
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)		
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to		
expulsions for greater than 10				
days in a school year.	be reported for the first time in 2008.			
	time in	1 2008.		

Note: - - denotes not applicable

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	60.19%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	4.63%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	1.85%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	02.220/	(2.250/		NT / A
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	1 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
special education and related services that is a result of		This is	a new
inappropriate identification	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		
(e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).			

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)		
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new		
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
days.	School Age (5-21)		ime m	2006.		

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Rate	Target	by LEA (Y/N)
75.76%	100.00%	N/A
	75.76%	

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Υ

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 1202 - HEBER SPRINGS SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	85.71%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	2.63%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	98.68%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	12.00%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	23.26%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>	
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to
expulsions for greater than 10		
days in a school year.	be reported t	
	time in	2008.

Note: - - denotes not applicable

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	39.43%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	11.47%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				EEA(IJN)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below	re	This is indicate ported for time in	or to be or the firs	st
same age peers. d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related	This is a new			
services that is a result of				
inappropriate identification	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
(e.g. monitoring data, review				
of policies, practices, and				
procedures under 618(d), etc.).				

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicato ported fo time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2008.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate	This is a new			
identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		or to be or the first	

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	new to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 1203 - QUITMAN SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	90.91%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	2.38%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	11.11%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	6.67%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>		
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first		
	time in	2008.	

Note: - - denotes not applicable

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	64.89%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	8.51%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	02.220/	(2.250/		NT / A
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD	re	This is indicate ported fo time in	or to be or the firs	st
who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.				
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported fo time in	or to be or the firs	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.			. 2000.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related		TT1-:-:-		
services that is a result of	This is a new			
inappropriate identification	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
(e.g. monitoring data, review				
of policies, practices, and				
procedures under 618(d), etc.).				

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.	
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2006.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate	This is a new			
identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		or to be or the first	

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Rate	Target	by LEA (Y/N)
75.76%	100.00%	N/A
	75.76%	

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 1204 - WEST SIDE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	7.89%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	17.24%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>	Data is not	
children in the district.		available	

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>		
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first time in 2008.		

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	71.83%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	12.68%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	"	ate ate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first				st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.			time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below	re	st		
same age peers. d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
special education and related services that is a result of		This is	a new
inappropriate identification	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		
(e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).			

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicato ported fo time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)	time in	2006.	

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 1304 - WOODLAWN SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	0.00%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	96.88%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	10.34%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	34.48%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	is not
compared to the same data for all	<u><1.24</u>		
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>		
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first		
	time in	2008.	

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	78.18%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	1.82%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	02.220/	(2.250/		NT / A
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				EEA(IJN)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)		
functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.						
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first					
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.			
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.						

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.			. 2000.		
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)		
special education and related	771 * *				
services that is a result of	This is a new				
inappropriate identification	indicator to be				
(e.g. monitoring data, review	reported for the first				
of policies, practices, and	time in 2008.				
procedures under 618(d), etc.).					

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator ported for time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2006.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate	This is a new			
identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Rate	Target	by LEA (Y/N)
75.76%	100.00%	N/A
	75.76%	

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Υ

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 1305 - CLEVELAND COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	2.04%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	96.88%	Υ

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	6.45%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	6.12%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

11) 0 tisp 01101014 2:tp tilo1011			
Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Doto	is not
compared to the same data for all	<u>~1.24</u>		
children in the district.		ava	ilable
	•		

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>			
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met		
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA		
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)		
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to		
expulsions for greater than 10				
days in a school year.	be reported for the first			
	time in	2008.		

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	91.82%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	1.82%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				EEA(IJN)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
comparable to same age peers. b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD	re	This is indicate ported fo time in	or to be or the firs	st
who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.				
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
special education and related		TT1-:-:-	
services that is a result of	This is a new		
inappropriate identification	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		
(e.g. monitoring data, review			
of policies, practices, and			
procedures under 618(d), etc.).			

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicato ported fo time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2006.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their	75.76%	100.00%	N/A
third birthday.			

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	N
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	N

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 1402 - MAGNOLIA SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	4.20%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	98.40%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	2.17%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	3.66%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to
expulsions for greater than 10		
days in a school year.	be reported	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	time ir	2008.

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	53.13%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	7.39%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	1.42%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	02.220/	(2.250/		NT / A
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for		st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.				
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
special education and related services that is a result of		This is	a new
inappropriate identification	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		
(e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).			

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)		
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new		
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
days.	School Age (5-21)		ime m	2006.		

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).			

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Rate	Target	by LEA (Y/N)
75.76%	100.00%	N/A
	75.76%	

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 1408 - EMERSON-TAYLOR SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	87.50%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	7.50%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	10.53%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>	
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to
expulsions for greater than 10		
days in a school year.	be reported i	
	time in	2008.

Note: - - denotes not applicable

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	64.00%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	4.00%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				EEA(IJN)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose	re	This is indicate ported fo time in	or to be or the firs	st
functioning remained below same age peers. d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
special education and related services that is a result of	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		
inappropriate identification			
(e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).			

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)		
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new		
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
days.	School Age (5-21)		line in	2006.		

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Rate	Target	by LEA (Y/N)
75.76%	100.00%	N/A
	75.76%	

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 1503 - NEMO VISTA SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	3.85%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	96.88%	Υ

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	12.90%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	51.85%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>		
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first		
	time in	2008.	

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	59.46%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	0.00%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	1.35%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	02.220/	(2.250/		NT / A
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				EEA(IJN)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	•	or to be or the firs	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD	re	This is indicate ported fo time in	or to be or the firs	st
who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.				
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related services that is a result of		This is	a new	
inappropriate identification	indicator to be			
(e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	reported for the first time in 2008.			
procedures under oro(u), etc.).				

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicato eported fo time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)			2006.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).			

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Υ

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 1505 - WONDERVIEW SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	4.76%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	96.15%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	52.00%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	40.91%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	D-1-	
compared to the same data for all	<u><1.24</u>	Data is not	
children in the district.		available	

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>		
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first		
	time in	2008.	

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	58.93%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	12.50%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	00.000/	(2.250/		27/4
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				,
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time in	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for		st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.				
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
special education and related		TT1-:-:-	
services that is a result of	This is a new		
inappropriate identification	indicator to be		
(e.g. monitoring data, review	reported for the first		or the first
of policies, practices, and	time in 2008.		
procedures under 618(d), etc.).			

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicato ported fo time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2006.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate		This is	a new
identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		or to be or the first

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Rate	Target	by LEA (Y/N)
75.76%	100.00%	N/A
	75.76%	

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Υ

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	N
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	N

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 1507 - SO. CONWAY CO. SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	70.00%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	2.42%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: Number of CWD Tested ÷	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	96.40%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	7.46%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	9.65%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

11) 0 tisp 01101014 2:tp tilo1011			
Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Doto	is not
compared to the same data for all	<u>~1.24</u>		
children in the district.		ava	ilable
	•		

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	3			
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met		
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA		
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)		
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to		
expulsions for greater than 10				
days in a school year.	be reported for the first			
	time in	2008.		

Note: - - denotes not applicable

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	46.83%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	9.86%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	02.220/	(2.250/		NT / A
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.					
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	time in 2008.				
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.					
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	time in 2008.				
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.				
who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.					
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related services that is a result of	This is a new			
inappropriate identification	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
(e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).				

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)		
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is	a new		
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2008.		

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)		
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate	This is a new				
identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.				

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Rate	Target	by LEA (Y/N)
75.76%	100.00%	N/A
	75.76%	

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 1520 - ARCH FORD EDUCATION SERVICE CO-OP

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%		N/A

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%		N/A

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N/A	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N/A	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	1	N/A

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%		N/A
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%		N/A

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>			
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met		
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA		
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)		
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to		
expulsions for greater than 10				
days in a school year.	be reported for the first			
	time in	2008.		

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%		N/A

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%		N/A

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%		N/A

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%	87.24%	Y

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				EEA(IJN)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for		st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD	re	This is indicate ported fo time in	or to be or the firs	st
who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.				
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related services that is a result of	This is a new			
inappropriate identification	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
(e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).				

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)		
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new		
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2006.		

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate	This is a new			
identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	Y
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	N/A

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 1601 - BAY SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	3.03%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	98.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	30.61%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	40.48%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	3			
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met		
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA		
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)		
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to		
expulsions for greater than 10				
days in a school year.	be reported t			
	time in	2008.		

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	36.84%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	13.68%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	1.05%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	02.220/	(2.250/		NT / A
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

a) Percent of preschool CWD	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time in	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below	re	This is indicate ported for time in	or to be or the firs	st
same age peers. d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.				
who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.					
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related services that is a result of	This is a new			
inappropriate identification	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
(e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).				
procedures under oro(u), etc.).				

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator ported for time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2006.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Rate	Target	by LEA (Y/N)
75.76%	100.00%	N/A
	75.76%	

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 1602 - WESTSIDE CONS. SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	72.73%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	4.94%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	99.03%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	10.78%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	16.67%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>	
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to
expulsions for greater than 10		
days in a school year.	be reported i	
	time in	2008.

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	45.10%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	18.14%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.98%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	02.220/	(2.250/		NT / A
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.			a new or to be or the fir	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time i	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below	re	This is indicate ported for time in	or to be or the firs	st
same age peers. d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related				
services that is a result of	This is a new			
inappropriate identification	indicator to be			
(e.g. monitoring data, review	reported for the first			
of policies, practices, and				
procedures under 618(d), etc.).	time in 2008.			

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is	a new	
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2006.	

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Rate	Target	by LEA (Y/N)
75.76%	100.00%	N/A
	75.76%	

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	new to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 1603 - BROOKLAND SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	1.32%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	97.25%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	14.15%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	25.58%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>		
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first		
	time in	2008.	

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	42.46%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	15.64%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.			a new or to be or the fir	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time i	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below	re	This is indicate ported for time in	or to be or the firs	st
same age peers. d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related		TT1-:-:-		
services that is a result of	This is a new			
inappropriate identification	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
(e.g. monitoring data, review				
of policies, practices, and				
procedures under 618(d), etc.).				

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new	
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2008.	

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Rate	Target	by LEA (Y/N)
75.76%	100.00%	N/A
	75.76%	

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Υ

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 1605 - BUFFALO IS. CENTRAL SCH. DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	80.00%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	6.38%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	98.48%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	9.23%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	11.86%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	is not
compared to the same data for all	<u><1.24</u>	Data is not	
children in the district.		available	

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	J		
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first time in 2008.		

Note: - - denotes not applicable

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	38.76%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	3.10%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	02.220/	(2.250/		NT / A
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				EEA(IJN)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	•	or to be or the firs	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.			. 2000.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
special education and related services that is a result of		This is	a new
inappropriate identification	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		
(e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).			

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicato ported fo time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		line in	2006.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 1608 - JONESBORO SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	5.88%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	95.91%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	18.36%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	22.10%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>	Data is not	
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	3			
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met		
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA		
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)		
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to		
expulsions for greater than 10				
days in a school year.	be reported for the first			
	time in	2008.		

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	34.92%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	16.82%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.18%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.		
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	1 2008.		
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.			. 2000.		
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)		
special education and related	This is a new indicator to be				
services that is a result of					
inappropriate identification					
(e.g. monitoring data, review	reported for the first				
of policies, practices, and	time in 2008.				
procedures under 618(d), etc.).					

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicato ported fo time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2006.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).				

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Rate	Target	by LEA (Y/N)
75.76%	100.00%	N/A
	75.76%	

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	N

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 1611 - NETTLETON SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	93.33%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	1.72%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	94.56%	N

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	14.60%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	17.31%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

11) 0 mop 01101017 2mp 41101011	_		
Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Doto	is mot
compared to the same data for all	<u><1.24</u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable
	•		

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	3			
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met		
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA		
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)		
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to		
expulsions for greater than 10				
days in a school year.	be reported for the first			
	time in 2008.			

Note: - - denotes not applicable

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	42.64%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	19.45%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for		st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				2212(2711)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related				
services that is a result of	This is a new			
inappropriate identification	indicator to be			
(e.g. monitoring data, review	reported for the first			
of policies, practices, and	time in 2008.			
procedures under 618(d), etc.).				

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicato ported fo time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		ime m	2006.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		This is indicate orted for time in	or to be or the first

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Rate	Target	by LEA (Y/N)
75.76%	100.00%	N/A
	75.76%	

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	N

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 1612 - VALLEY VIEW SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	85.71%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	97.53%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	25.32%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	25.68%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

	5			
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met		
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA		
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)		
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to		
expulsions for greater than 10				
days in a school year.	be reported			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	time ir	2008.		

Note: - - denotes not applicable

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	58.47%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	15.30%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	00.000/	(2.250/		27/4
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				EEA(IJN)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below	re	This is indicate ported for time in	or to be or the firs	st
same age peers. d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
special education and related		TT1-:-:-	
services that is a result of	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		
inappropriate identification			
(e.g. monitoring data, review			
of policies, practices, and			
procedures under 618(d), etc.).			

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicato ported fo time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2008.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate		This is	a new
identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 1613 - RIVERSIDE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	1.96%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	98.86%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	20.69%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	27.03%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	is not
compared to the same data for all	<u><1.24</u>		
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	J		
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first		
	time in 2008.		

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	37.69%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	10.00%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	02.220/	(2.250/		NT / A
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				,
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time in	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for		st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
comparable to same age peers. b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD	re	This is indicate ported fo time in	or to be or the firs	st
who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.				
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related	This is a new			
services that is a result of				
inappropriate identification	indicator to be			
(e.g. monitoring data, review	reported for the first		or the first	
of policies, practices, and	time in 2008.			
procedures under 618(d), etc.).				

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new	
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator to be reported for the firs time in 2008.		
days.	School Age (5-21)		line in	2006.	

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate	This is a new		
identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		or to be or the first

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	new to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 1701 - ALMA SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	1.96%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	96.15%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	14.80%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	22.83%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	is not
compared to the same data for all	<u><1.24</u>		
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>		
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first		
	time in	2008.	

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	66.28%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	10.70%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.47%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	00.000/	(2.250)		27/4
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				EEA(IJN)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

(State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for		st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported fo time in	or to be or the firs	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.			. 2000.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related services that is a result of	This is a new			
inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review	indicator to be reported for the first			
of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	time in 2008.			

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	1	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2008.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		This is indicate orted for time in	or to be or the first

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Υ
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 1702 - CEDARVILLE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	75.00%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	5.88%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	4.88%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	15.63%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>	Data is not	
children in the district.		available	

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>		
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first time in 2008.		

Note: - - denotes not applicable

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	59.57%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	21.28%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	1.06%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	00.000/	(2.250)		27/4
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
special education and related		This is	2 20147
services that is a result of inappropriate identification	This is a new indicator to be		
(e.g. monitoring data, review	reported for the first time in 2008.		
of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).			

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicato ported fo time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2008.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Rate	Target	by LEA (Y/N)
75.76%	100.00%	N/A
	75.76%	

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	N

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.	

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 1703 - MOUNTAINBURG SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	5.88%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	94.23%	N

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	6.12%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	21.95%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	is not
compared to the same data for all	<u><1.24</u>	Data is not	
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>		
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first		
	time in	2008.	

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	42.68%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	25.61%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	1.22%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	92.229/	(2.250/		NT / A
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.					
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.		This is a new indicator to be reported for the first			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time i	n 2008.		
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.				
same age peers. d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)		
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.						
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first					
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.			
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.						

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)		
special education and related					
services that is a result of	This is a new				
inappropriate identification	indicator to be reported for the first				
(e.g. monitoring data, review					
of policies, practices, and					
procedures under 618(d), etc.).	time in 2008.				

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)		
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is	a new		
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2008.		

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
This is a new			
indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
	i	This is indicate reported for	

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Rate	Target	by LEA (Y/N)
75.76%	100.00%	N/A
	75.76%	

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 1704 - MULBERRY SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	3.13%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	93.88%	N

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	10.87%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	12.82%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	is not
compared to the same data for all	<u><1.24</u>		
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>		
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first time in 2008.		

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	39.51%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	19.75%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	3.70%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				EEA(IJN)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
comparable to same age peers. b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	•	or to be or the firs	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.					
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.		
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related		TT1-:-:-		
services that is a result of	This is a new			
inappropriate identification	indicator to be			
(e.g. monitoring data, review	reported for the first			
of policies, practices, and	time in 2008.			
procedures under 618(d), etc.).				

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicato ported fo time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2008.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Rate	Target	by LEA (Y/N)
75.76%	100.00%	N/A
	75.76%	

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	N

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 1705 - VAN BUREN SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	92.31%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	1.59%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	Υ	9.00%	21.43%	N
Mathematics	Y	36.48%	32.00%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	98.55%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	14.71%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	22.93%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	3			
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met		
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA		
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)		
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to		
expulsions for greater than 10				
days in a school year.	be reported			
	time ir	1 2008.		

Note: - - denotes not applicable

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	39.32%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	15.53%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.14%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	02.220/	(2.250/	04.000/	
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%	84.00%	Y

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	•	or to be or the fir	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below	re	This is indicate ported for time in	or to be or the firs	st
same age peers. d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.			. 2000.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related		TT1-:-:-		
services that is a result of	This is a new			
inappropriate identification	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
(e.g. monitoring data, review				
of policies, practices, and				
procedures under 618(d), etc.).				

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	1	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2008.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Rate	Target	by LEA (Y/N)
75.76%	100.00%	N/A
	75.76%	

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Υ

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	Y
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 1802 - EARLE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	0.00%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	Υ	9.00%	21.43%	N
Mathematics	Y	36.48%	32.00%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	90.91%	N

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	13.75%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	4.62%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>		
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first		
	time in	2008.	

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	26.76%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	30.99%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	00.000/	(2.250)		27/4
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				EEA(IJN)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose	re	This is indicate ported fo time in	or to be or the firs	st
functioning remained below same age peers. d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.				
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related services that is a result of	This is a new			
inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		or the first	

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)		
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new		
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2006.		

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their	75.76%	100.00%	N/A
third birthday.			

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	N
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	N

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 1803 - WEST MEMPHIS SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	65.85%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	1.35%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	Υ	9.00%	21.43%	N
Mathematics	Y	36.48%	32.00%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	98.63%	Υ

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	7.33%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	10.68%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>		
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first		
	time in	2008.	

Note: - - denotes not applicable

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	12.60%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	16.28%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.			a new or to be or the fir	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time i	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below	re	This is indicate ported for time in	or to be or the firs	st
same age peers. d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD	re	This is indicate ported fo time in	or to be or the firs	st
who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.				
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
special education and related services that is a result of		This is	a new
inappropriate identification	indicator to be		
(e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and	reported for the first time in 2008.		
procedures under 618(d), etc.).		time ii	n 2008.

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator ported for time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2006.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Υ

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 1804 - MARION SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	96.67%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	5.37%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	98.19%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	20.66%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	26.19%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	D-1-	
compared to the same data for all	<u><1.24</u>	Data is not	
children in the district.		available	

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>		
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first time in 2008.		

Note: - - denotes not applicable

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	40.13%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	24.12%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.88%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
comparable to same age peers. b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.			2000.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
same age peers. d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		
	School Age (5-21)				

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
special education and related			
services that is a result of	This is a new		
inappropriate identification	indicator to be		
(e.g. monitoring data, review	reported for the first time in 2008.		
of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).			
procedures under 010(u), etc.).			

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicato ported fo time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		line in	2006.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate		This is	a new
identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		or to be or the first

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 1805 - TURRELL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	25.00%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	10.81%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	88.10%	N

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	29.73%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	51.72%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>	Data is not	
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>		
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first		
	time in	2008.	

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	28.79%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	6.06%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	02.220/	(2.250/		NT / A
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level	1	ate ate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
comparable to same age peers.					
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.		re	This is indicate ported for		st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.			time in	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for		st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.					
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	time in 2008.				
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)		
special education and related					
services that is a result of	This is a new				
inappropriate identification	indicator to be				
(e.g. monitoring data, review	reported for the first				
of policies, practices, and	time in 2008.				
procedures under 618(d), etc.).		l illie ii	11 2006.		

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicato ported fo time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2006.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	N
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	N

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 1901 - CROSS COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	60.00%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	6.78%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	Υ	9.00%	21.43%	N
Mathematics	Y	36.48%	32.00%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	97.44%	Υ

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	6.58%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	10.14%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>		
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first		
	time in	2008.	

Note: - - denotes not applicable

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	51.97%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	9.87%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or	2.60%	2.58%	0.00%	Y
homebound/hospital placements.				

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				EEA(IJN)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for		st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD	re	This is indicate ported fo time in	or to be or the firs	st
who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.				
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related services that is a result of	This is a new			
inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicato ported fo time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2006.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Rate	Target	by LEA (Y/N)
75.76%	100.00%	N/A
	75.76%	

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 1905 - WYNNE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	3.40%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	8.89%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	20.10%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	D-1-	:t
compared to the same data for all	<u><1.24</u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>			
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met		
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA		
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)		
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to		
expulsions for greater than 10				
days in a school year.	be reported t			
	time in	2008.		

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	34.04%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	23.75%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	1.06%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				EEA(IJN)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below	re	This is indicate ported for time in	or to be or the firs	st
same age peers. d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.			. 2000.		
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related		TT1-:-:-		
services that is a result of	This is a new			
inappropriate identification	indicator to be reported for the first			
(e.g. monitoring data, review				
of policies, practices, and	time in 2008.			
procedures under 618(d), etc.).				

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)		
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new		
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2008.		

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate		This is	a new
identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		or to be or the first

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Rate	Target	by LEA (Y/N)
75.76%	100.00%	N/A
	75.76%	

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Υ

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	new to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 2002 - FORDYCE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	85.71%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	2.21%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	54.79%	N

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	5.00%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	4.62%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>	
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to
expulsions for greater than 10		
days in a school year.	be reported t	
	time in	2008.

Note: - - denotes not applicable

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	10.71%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	3.17%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	44.05%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				,
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time in	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for		st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.				
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related				
services that is a result of	This is a new			
inappropriate identification	indicator to be			
(e.g. monitoring data, review	reported for the first			
of policies, practices, and				
procedures under 618(d), etc.).	time in 2008.			

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new	
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2008.	

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	N
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	N

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 2104 - DUMAS SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	4.50%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	94.59%	N

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	4.76%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	4.82%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	is not
compared to the same data for all	<u><1.24</u>		
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>		
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first		
	time in	2008.	

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	31.20%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	14.96%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	4.70%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	02.220/	(2.250/		NT / A
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below	re	This is indicate ported for time in	or to be or the firs	st
same age peers. d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate	or to be or the firs	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	1 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related		TT1-:-:-		
services that is a result of	This is a new			
inappropriate identification	indicator to be			
(e.g. monitoring data, review	reported for the first time in 2008.			
of policies, practices, and				
procedures under 618(d), etc.).				

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.	
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2008.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Rate	Target	by LEA (Y/N)
75.76%	100.00%	N/A
	75.76%	

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	new to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 2105 - MCGEHEE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	4.35%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	93.88%	N

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	9.78%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	9.88%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	is not
compared to the same data for all	<u><1.24</u>	Data is not	
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first time in 2008.		

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	34.66%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	1.14%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	3.41%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	00.000/	(2.250)		27/4
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.				
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose	re	st		
functioning remained below same age peers. d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
special education and related		TT1-:-:-	
services that is a result of	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		
inappropriate identification			
(e.g. monitoring data, review			
of policies, practices, and			
procedures under 618(d), etc.).			

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicato ported fo time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2006.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate		This is	a new
identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		or to be or the first

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 2202 - DREW CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	91.67%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	6.12%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	94.64%	N

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	5.66%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	9.30%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>	Data is not	
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>		
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first		
	time in 2008.		

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	49.49%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	17.17%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	02.220/	(2.250/		NT / A
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.					
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.					
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

(State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.					
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.		
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.			. 2000.		
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related services that is a result of	This is a new			
inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review	indicator to be reported for the first			
of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	time in 2008.			

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator ported for time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2006.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).				

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 2203 - MONTICELLO SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	0.96%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	93.14%	N

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	16.84%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	19.74%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>		
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first		
	time in	2008.	

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	35.51%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	12.62%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.93%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				EEA(IJN)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for		st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD	re	This is indicate ported fo time in	or to be or the firs	st
who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.				
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related		TT1-:-:-		
services that is a result of	This is a new			
inappropriate identification	indicator to be			
(e.g. monitoring data, review	reported for the first			
of policies, practices, and	time in 2008.			
procedures under 618(d), etc.).				

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)		
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is	a new		
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2006.		

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
specific disability categories that				
is a result of inappropriate	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first			
identification (e.g. monitoring				
data, review of policies, practices,				
and procedures under 618(d),	time in 2008.			
etc.).				

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Rate	Target	by LEA (Y/N)
75.76%	100.00%	N/A
	75.76%	

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 2220 - SOUTHEAST ARKANAS EDUCATIONAL SERVICE CO-OP

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%		N/A

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%		N/A

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N/A	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N/A	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	- 1	N/A

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%		N/A
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%		N/A

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>	
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to
expulsions for greater than 10		
days in a school year.	be reported i	
	time in	2008.

Note: - - denotes not applicable

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%		N/A

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%		N/A

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%		N/A

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%	97.40%	Y

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	Sta Ra	ite ite	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.		re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.			time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose	re	This is indicate ported fo time in	or to be or the firs	st
functioning remained below same age peers. d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.		
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related		TT1-:-:-		
services that is a result of	This is a new			
inappropriate identification	indicator to be			
(e.g. monitoring data, review	reported for the first time in 2008.			
of policies, practices, and				
procedures under 618(d), etc.).				

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)		
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new		
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
days.	School Age (5-21)		ime m	2006.		

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate	This is a new			
identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Rate	Target	by LEA (Y/N)
75.76%	100.00%	N/A
	75.76%	

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	Y
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	N/A

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 2240 - ARISE CHARTER SCHOOL

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%		N/A

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%		N/A

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	0.00%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	0.00%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

11) 0 tisp 01101014 2:tp tilo1011			
Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Doto	is not
compared to the same data for all	<u>~1.24</u>		
children in the district.		ava	ilable
	•		

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

	•			
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met		
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA		
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)		
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to		
expulsions for greater than 10				
days in a school year.	be reported i			
	time in	2008.		

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	33.33%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	0.00%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				,
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time in	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose	re	This is indicate ported fo time in	or to be or the firs	st
functioning remained below same age peers. d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be or the firs	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	1 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related services that is a result of	This is a new			
inappropriate identification	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
(e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).				
procedures under oro(u), etc.).				

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is	a new	
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2008.	

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		This is indicate orted for time in	or to be or the first

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	new to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 2301 - CONWAY SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	89.77%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	Y	9.00%	21.43%	Y
Mathematics	Y	36.48%	32.00%	Y

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	98.85%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	39.56%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	42.74%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first time in 2008.		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			

Note: - - denotes not applicable

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	47.03%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	16.47%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.32%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	02.220/	(2.250/		NT / A
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				EEA(IJN)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
comparable to same age peers. b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	•	or to be or the firs	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported fo time in	or to be or the firs	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.			. 2000.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
special education and related		TT1-:-:-	
services that is a result of	This is a new		
inappropriate identification	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		
(e.g. monitoring data, review			
of policies, practices, and			
procedures under 618(d), etc.).			

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicato ported fo time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2008.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate	This is a new			
identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		or to be or the first	

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Υ

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 2303 - GREENBRIER SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	86.96%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	96.60%	Υ

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	23.79%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	34.34%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>	Data is not	
children in the district.		available	

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>			
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met		
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA		
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)		
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to		
expulsions for greater than 10				
days in a school year.	be reported for the first time in 2008.			

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	52.89%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	9.09%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
related services in settings with	00.000/	(2.250/		27/4	
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A	

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.					
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.		
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for		st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.				
who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.					
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
special education and related			
services that is a result of	This is a new		
inappropriate identification	indicator to be		
(e.g. monitoring data, review	reported for the first		or the first
of policies, practices, and	time in 2008.		
procedures under 618(d), etc.).		l illie ii	11 2006.

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

3 3

Indicator 11: Cl	ild Find					
Percent of children with		tate ate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)		
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new		
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator ported for time in	r the first		
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2000.		

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Rate	Target	by LEA (Y/N)
75.76%	100.00%	N/A
	75.76%	

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Υ

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 2304 - GUY-PERKINS SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	96.77%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	10.00%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	26.92%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	D-1-	:t
compared to the same data for all	<u><1.24</u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>		
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first		
	time in 2008.		

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	75.00%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	7.69%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				EEA(IJN)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.					
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.		
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
comparable to same age peers. b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.				
who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.					
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related services that is a result of	This is a new			
inappropriate identification	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
(e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and				
procedures under 618(d), etc.).		time ii	11 2008.	

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicato ported fo time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2006.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		This is indicate orted for time in	or to be or the first

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 2305 - MAYFLOWER SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	98.72%	Υ

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	6.49%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	18.31%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first time in 2008.		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			

Note: - - denotes not applicable

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	45.38%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	15.97%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	Sta Ra	ite ite	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.		re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.			time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for		st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported fo time in	or to be or the firs	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.			. 2000.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related				
services that is a result of	This is a new			
inappropriate identification	indicator to be			
(e.g. monitoring data, review	reported for the first time in 2008.			
of policies, practices, and				
procedures under 618(d), etc.).				

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10° is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicato ported fo time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		ime m	2006.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate	This is a new			
identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

Page 3 of 3 Note: - - denotes not applicable

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 2306 - MT. VERNON/ENOLA SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	3.57%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	97.37%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	13.51%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	20.00%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	3			
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met		
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA		
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)		
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to		
expulsions for greater than 10				
days in a school year.	be reported t			
	time in	2008.		

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	61.64%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	2.74%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	02.220/	(2.250/		NT / A
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	•	or to be or the fir	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

(State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for		st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
special education and related		TT1-:-:-	
services that is a result of	This is a new		
inappropriate identification	indicator to be		
(e.g. monitoring data, review	rep	orted fo	or the first
of policies, practices, and	time in 2008.		
procedures under 618(d), etc.).			

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicato ported fo time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2006.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 2307 - VILONIA SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	89.66%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	3.45%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	97.80%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	18.92%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	18.59%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	J		
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported		
	time in 2008.		

Note: - - denotes not applicable

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	56.24%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	12.24%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				EEA(IJN)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	1 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
special education and related		TT1-:-:-	
services that is a result of	This is a new		
inappropriate identification	indicator to be		
(e.g. monitoring data, review	reported for the first		or the first
of policies, practices, and	time in 2008.		
procedures under 618(d), etc.).			

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)		
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new		
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
days.	School Age (5-21)		line in	2006.		

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate	This is a new			
identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		or to be or the first	

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	N

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 2340 - FOCUS LEARNING ACADEMY

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%		N/A

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%		N/A

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	20.00%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	30.00%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	is not
compared to the same data for all	<u><1.24</u>		
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>		
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first		
	time in	2008.	

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	85.71%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	0.00%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	02.220/	(2.250/		NT / A
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time in	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose	re	This is indicate ported fo time in	or to be or the firs	st
functioning remained below same age peers. d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related services that is a result of		This is	a new	
inappropriate identification	indicator to be			
(e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and	reported for the first time in 2008.			
procedures under 618(d), etc.).		time ii	11 2008.	

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new	
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		
days.	School Age (5-21)				

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	new to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 2402 - CHARLESTON SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	66.67%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	95.31%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	21.31%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	26.32%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	D-1-	:t
compared to the same data for all	<u><1.24</u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>		
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first		
	time in	2008.	

Note: - - denotes not applicable

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	70.41%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	4.08%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	4.08%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	02.220/	(2.250/		NT / A
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.				
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below	re	st		
same age peers. d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
special education and related		TT1-:-:-	
services that is a result of	This is a new		
inappropriate identification	indicator to be		
(e.g. monitoring data, review	rep	orted fo	or the first
of policies, practices, and	time in 2008.		
procedures under 618(d), etc.).			

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicato ported fo time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2008.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).			or to be or the first

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	N

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 2403 - COUNTY LINE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	80.00%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	3.33%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	94.44%	N

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	5.88%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	8.70%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	D-1-	:t
compared to the same data for all	<u><1.24</u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>		
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first		
	time in	2008.	

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	49.15%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	6.78%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	1.69%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	00.000/	(2.250)		27/4
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.		
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.					
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	time in 2008.				
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.					
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related		TT1-:-:-		
services that is a result of	This is a new			
inappropriate identification	indicator to be			
(e.g. monitoring data, review	reported for the first time in 2008.			
of policies, practices, and				
procedures under 618(d), etc.).				

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)		
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is	a new		
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2006.		

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	N

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 2404 - OZARK SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	93.33%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	96.45%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	13.24%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	19.01%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first time in 2008.		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			

Note: - - denotes not applicable

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	60.85%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	6.81%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	2.98%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	02.220/	(2.250/		NT / A
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	Sta Ra	ite ite	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.		re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.			time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below	re	This is indicate ported for time in	or to be or the firs	st
same age peers. d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.				
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related services that is a result of	This is a new			
inappropriate identification	indicator to be			
(e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	reported for the first time in 2008.			
procedures under oro(u), etc.).				

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	1	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2008.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Υ

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 2420 - WESTERN ARKANSAS CO-OP

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%		N/A

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%		N/A

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N/A	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N/A	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%		N/A

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%		N/A
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%		N/A

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

11) o mop onorony zarp wronon	_		
Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Doto	is mot
compared to the same data for all	<u><1.24</u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable
	•		

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	•			
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met		
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA		
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)		
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to		
expulsions for greater than 10				
days in a school year.	be reported t			
	time in	2008.		

Note: - - denotes not applicable

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%		N/A

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%		N/A

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%		N/A

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%	82.69%	Y

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				EEA(IJN)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for		st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
special education and related		TT1-:-:-	
services that is a result of	This is a new		
inappropriate identification	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		
(e.g. monitoring data, review			
of policies, practices, and			
procedures under 618(d), etc.).			

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)		
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is	a new		
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2006.		

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	N/A
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	Y
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	N/A

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 2501 - MAMMOTH SPRING SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	22.45%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	36.36%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>		
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first		
	time in	2008.	

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	69.23%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	0.00%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	02.220/	(2.250/		NT / A
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				EEA(IJN)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for		st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
comparable to same age peers. b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD	re	This is indicate ported fo time in	or to be or the firs	st
who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.				
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related services that is a result of	This is a new			
inappropriate identification	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
(e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and				
procedures under 618(d), etc.).				

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is	a new	
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2006.	

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate	This is a new			
identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		indicate	or to be or the first	

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 2502 - SALEM SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	96.15%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	24.00%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	37.84%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>		
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first		
	time in	2008.	

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	64.21%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	0.00%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or	2.60%	2.58%	1.05%	Y
homebound/hospital placements.				

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	02.220/	(2.250/		NT / A
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				EEA(IJN)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for		st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
special education and related services that is a result of		This is	a new
inappropriate identification	indicator to be		
(e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and	reported for the first time in 2008.		
procedures under 618(d), etc.).		time ii	n 2008.

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicato ported fo time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2006.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate	This is a new			
identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	N

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	N
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	N

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 2503 - VIOLA SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%		N/A

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	96.97%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	43.75%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	51.72%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>	Data is not	
children in the district.		available	

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	J			
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met		
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA		
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)		
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to		
expulsions for greater than 10				
days in a school year.	be reported for the first			
	time in 2008.			

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	62.50%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	0.00%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	1.79%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	02.220/	(2.250/		NT / A
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.			2000.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below	re	This is indicate ported for time in	or to be or the firs	st
same age peers. d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.				
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
special education and related services that is a result of		This is	a new
inappropriate identification	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		
(e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).			

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	1	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2008.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).			

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Rate	Target	by LEA (Y/N)
75.76%	100.00%	N/A
	75.76%	

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Υ

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 2601 - CUTTER-MORNING STAR SCH. DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	97.96%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	14.58%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	35.00%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>		
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first		
	time in 2008.		

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	56.52%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	1.45%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	2.90%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	Sta Ra	ite ite	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.		re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.			time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.					
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.		
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
comparable to same age peers. b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.				
who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.					
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related		TT1-:-:-		
services that is a result of	This is a new			
inappropriate identification	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
(e.g. monitoring data, review				
of policies, practices, and				
procedures under 618(d), etc.).				

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicato ported fo time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2008.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate		This is	a new
identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Υ

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.	

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 2602 - FOUNTAIN LAKE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	76.92%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	98.81%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	25.30%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	21.62%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>		
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first		
	time in	2008.	

Note: - - denotes not applicable

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	34.43%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	4.92%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	5.74%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	02.220/	(2.250/		NT / A
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				EEA(IJN)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for		st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.				
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related		TT1-:-:-		
services that is a result of	This is a new			
inappropriate identification	indicator to be			
(e.g. monitoring data, review	reported for the first			
of policies, practices, and	time in 2008.			
procedures under 618(d), etc.).				

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator ported for time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2008.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Rate	Target	by LEA (Y/N)
75.76%	100.00%	N/A
	75.76%	

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 2603 - HOT SPRINGS SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	90.63%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	6.93%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	95.72%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	16.15%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	22.01%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	•
compared to the same data for all	<u><1.24</u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

, i , i	J			
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met		
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA		
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)		
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to		
expulsions for greater than 10				
days in a school year.	be reported for the first time in 2008.			
	time in	1 2008.		

Note: - - denotes not applicable

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	43.83%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	9.22%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	2.61%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	02.220/	(2.250/		NT / A
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	"	ate ate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.		re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.			time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for		st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.			. 2000.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
special education and related services that is a result of		This is	a new
inappropriate identification	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		
(e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).			

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)			
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new			
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator to be reported for the first				
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in 2008.				

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate		This is	a new
identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 2604 - JESSIEVILLE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	97.18%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	26.09%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	31.75%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

	•		
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported i		
	time in	2008.	

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	34.00%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	3.00%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	5.00%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	02.220/	(2.250/		NT / A
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				EEA(IJN)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below	re	This is indicate ported for time in	or to be or the firs	st
same age peers. d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related	TIL::			
services that is a result of	This is a new			
inappropriate identification	indicator to be			
(e.g. monitoring data, review	reported for the first		or the first	
of policies, practices, and	time in 2008.			
procedures under 618(d), etc.).				

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)		
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new		
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
days.	School Age (5-21)		ime m	2006.		

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		This is indicate orted for time in	or to be or the first

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 2605 - LAKE HAMILTON SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	70.97%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	1.67%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	97.59%	Υ

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	21.40%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	25.47%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>		
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first		
	time in	2008.	

Note: - - denotes not applicable

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	34.32%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	17.50%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	2.27%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	00.000/	(2.250)		27/4
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				EEA(IJN)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for		st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related		TT1-:-:-		
services that is a result of	This is a new			
inappropriate identification	indicator to be			
(e.g. monitoring data, review	reported for the first time in 2008.			
of policies, practices, and				
procedures under 618(d), etc.).				

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.	
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2006.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		This is indicate orted for time in	or to be or the first

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Rate	Target	by LEA (Y/N)
75.76%	100.00%	N/A
	75.76%	

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Υ

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 2606 - LAKESIDE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	4.55%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	97.52%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	22.93%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	36.81%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>	Data is not	
children in the district.		available	

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>		
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first time in 2008.		

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	39.94%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	9.58%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.64%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	00.000/	(2.250)		27/4
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.			2000.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
same age peers. d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
special education and related services that is a result of	This is a new		
inappropriate identification	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		
(e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).			

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicato ported fo time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2006.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate		This is	a new
identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		or to be or the first

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 2607 - MOUNTAIN PINE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	87.50%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	9.38%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	91.84%	N

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	4.44%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	10.00%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	is not
compared to the same data for all	<u><1.24</u>		
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	J		
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first time in 2008.		
	time ir	1 2008.	

Note: - - denotes not applicable

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	84.27%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	0.00%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	1.12%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	00.000/	(2.250)		27/4
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)		
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				EEA(IJN)		
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.						
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.						

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.				
same age peers. d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
comparable to same age peers.					
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	1 2008.		
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)		
special education and related services that is a result of	This is a new				
inappropriate identification	indicator to be				
(e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and	reported for the first				
procedures under 618(d), etc.).	time in 2008.				

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicato ported fo time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2008.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Rate	Target	by LEA (Y/N)
75.76%	100.00%	N/A
	75.76%	

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 2703 - POYEN SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	50.00%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	4.35%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	95.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	18.42%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	17.14%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	D-1-	
compared to the same data for all	<u><1.24</u>		is not
children in the district.		avai	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>		
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first		
	time in	2008.	

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	57.14%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	7.14%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	00.000/	(2.250/		27/4
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	"	ate ate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.		re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.			time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below	re	This is indicate ported for time in	or to be or the firs	st
same age peers. d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
special education and related services that is a result of	This is a new		
inappropriate identification	indicator to be		
(e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and	reported for the first time in 2008.		
procedures under 618(d), etc.).		time ii	n 2008.

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator ported for time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2008.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		This is indicate orted for time in	or to be or the first

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 2705 - SHERIDAN SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	87.50%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	3.92%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	97.24%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	9.93%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	23.55%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	is not
compared to the same data for all	<u><1.24</u>	Data is not	
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>			
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met		
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA		
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)		
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to		
expulsions for greater than 10				
days in a school year.	be reported for the first			
	time in	2008.		

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	54.17%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	13.37%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	3.99%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	00.000/	(2.250/		27/4
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				EEA(IJN)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for		st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				2212(2711)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	1 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related services that is a result of	This is a new			
inappropriate identification	indicator to be			
(e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and	reported for the first time in 2008.			
procedures under 618(d), etc.).				

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)		
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is	a new		
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2006.		

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		This is indicate orted for time in	or to be or the first

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Rate	Target	by LEA (Y/N)
75.76%	100.00%	N/A
	75.76%	

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 2803 - MARMADUKE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	Υ	9.00%	21.43%	N
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	98.65%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	4.11%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	16.42%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>		
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first time in 2008.		

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	68.75%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	7.03%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	02.220/	(0.050)	100 000/	.,
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level	1	ate ate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
comparable to same age peers.					
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.		re	This is indicate ported for		st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.			time i	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below	re	This is indicate ported for time in	or to be or the firs	st
same age peers. d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate	or to be or the firs	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	1 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related services that is a result of	This is a new			
inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review	indicator to be reported for the first			
of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	time in 2008.			

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)		
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new		
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
days.	School Age (5-21)					

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	Y
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 2807 - GREENE CO. TECH SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	1.14%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	97.69%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	18.11%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	22.94%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>		
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first		
	time in	2008.	

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	51.78%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	12.45%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.20%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%	98.59%	Y

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				EEA(IJN)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

(State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for		st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported fo time in	or to be or the firs	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.			. 2000.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related services that is a result of		This is	a new	
inappropriate identification	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
(e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).				
procedures under oro(u), etc.).				

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicato ported fo time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2006.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).			

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Rate	Target	by LEA (Y/N)
75.76%	100.00%	N/A
	75.76%	

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Υ

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	Y
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 2808 - PARAGOULD SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	85.00%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	3.49%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	99.16%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	17.72%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	20.41%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

11) 0 tisp 01101014 2:tp tilo1011			
Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Doto	is mot
compared to the same data for all	<u>~1.24</u>	Data is not	
children in the district.		ava	ilable
	•		

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>			
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met		
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA		
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)		
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to		
expulsions for greater than 10				
days in a school year.	be reported for the first time in 2008.			

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	49.78%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	9.25%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.22%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	00.000/	(0.050/	07.1.0/	.,
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%	97.14%	Y

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				,
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time in	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below	re	This is indicate ported for time in	or to be or the firs	st
same age peers. d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
special education and related			
services that is a result of	This is a new		
inappropriate identification	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		
(e.g. monitoring data, review			
of policies, practices, and			
procedures under 618(d), etc.).			

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicato ported fo time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2006.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate		This is	a new
identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		or to be or the first

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	N

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	Y
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 2901 - BLEVINS SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	85.71%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	95.45%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	1.59%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	8.47%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

11) 0 tisp 01101014 2:tp tilo1011			
Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Doto	is not
compared to the same data for all	<u>~1.24</u>		
children in the district.		ava	ilable
	•		

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>	
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to
expulsions for greater than 10		
days in a school year.	be reported t	
	time in	2008.

Note: - - denotes not applicable

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	82.35%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	5.88%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.98%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.					
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.		
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.					
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.		
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.					
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	time in 2008.				
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related		TT1-:-:-		
services that is a result of	This is a new			
inappropriate identification	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
(e.g. monitoring data, review				
of policies, practices, and				
procedures under 618(d), etc.).				

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)		
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new		
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator to be reported for the firs time in 2008.			
days.	School Age (5-21)		line in	2006.		

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).				

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 2903 - HOPE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	0.84%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	97.73%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	11.63%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	16.07%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

11) 0 tisp 01101014 2:tp tilo1011			
Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Doto	is not
compared to the same data for all	<u>~1.24</u>		
children in the district.		ava	ilable
	•		

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>		
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first time in 2008.		

Note: - - denotes not applicable

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	75.19%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	2.26%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.75%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	02.220/	(2.250/		NT / A
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time in	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for		st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related services that is a result of	This is a new			
inappropriate identification	indicator to be			
(e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and	reported for the first			
procedures under 618(d), etc.).	time in 2008.			

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicato ported fo time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2006.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).			

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 2906 - SPRING HILL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	89.66%	N

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	11.54%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	20.83%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>	
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to
expulsions for greater than 10		
days in a school year.	be reported i	
	time in	2008.

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	76.92%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	5.77%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	1.92%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				,
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time in	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related services that is a result of	This is a new			
inappropriate identification	indicator to be			
(e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and	reported for the first			
procedures under 618(d), etc.).	time in 2008.			

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)			
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new			
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator to be reported for the first				
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in 2008.				

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate		This is	a new
identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	N
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	N

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 2920 - SOUTHWEST ARKANSAS EDUCATIONAL CO-OP

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%		N/A

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%		N/A

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N/A	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N/A	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	1	N/A

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%		N/A
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%		N/A

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	is not
compared to the same data for all	<u><1.24</u>		
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	3			
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met		
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA		
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)		
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to		
expulsions for greater than 10				
days in a school year.	be reported t			
	time in	2008.		

Note: - - denotes not applicable

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%		N/A

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%		N/A

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%		N/A

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%	98.65%	Y

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				LEA(I/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for		st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related services that is a result of	This is a new			
inappropriate identification	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
(e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).				

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)		
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new		
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2008.		

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that			
is a result of inappropriate	This is a new		
identification (e.g. monitoring	indicator to be reported for the first		or to be
data, review of policies, practices,			or the first
and procedures under 618(d),			
etc.).	time in 2008.		

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their	75.76%	100.00%	N
third birthday.			

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	Y
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	N/A

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 3001 - BISMARCK SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	91.67%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	6.78%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	97.10%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	28.36%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	27.87%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	is not
compared to the same data for all	<u><1.24</u>		
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>		
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first		
	time in	2008.	

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	55.22%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	8.21%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	1.49%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	00.000/	(2.250)		27/4
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

(State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for		st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related services that is a result of	This is a new			
inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review	indicator to be reported for the first			
of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	time in 2008.			

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicato ported fo time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2006.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 3002 - GLEN ROSE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	4.69%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	Υ	9.00%	21.43%	N
Mathematics	Y	36.48%	32.00%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	98.88%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	7.95%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	13.16%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>	Data is not	
children in the district.		available	

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>		
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first time in 2008.		

Note: - - denotes not applicable

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	51.79%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	4.76%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.60%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				EEA(IJN)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
same age peers. d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.			. 2000.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

$Indicator\ 09:\ Disproportionality\ -\ Eligibility$

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
special education and related services that is a result of	This is a new		
inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review	indicator to be reported for the first		
of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	time in 2008.		

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicato ported for time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2008.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate	This is a new		
identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		or to be or the first

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.	

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 3003 - MAGNET COVE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	5.00%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	97.47%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	20.78%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	30.00%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>	Data is not	
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	3			
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met		
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA		
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)		
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to		
expulsions for greater than 10				
days in a school year.	be reported for the first			
	time in	2008.		

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	58.00%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	0.00%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	4.00%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				LEA(Y/N)	
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	time in 2008.				
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

(State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.					
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.		
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	1 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related services that is a result of	This is a new			
inappropriate identification	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
(e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).				

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator ported for time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2008.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 3004 - MALVERN SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	92.31%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	6.32%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	98.10%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	5.16%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	10.88%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>			
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met		
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA		
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)		
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to		
expulsions for greater than 10				
days in a school year.	be reported for the first			
	time in	2008.		

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	47.26%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	6.85%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	4.11%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	02.220/	(2.250/		NT / A
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				EEA(IJN)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	•	or to be or the firs	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.			. 2000.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
special education and related services that is a result of		This is	a new
inappropriate identification	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		
(e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).			

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicato ported fo time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2008.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate	This is a new			
identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their	75.76%	100.00%	N/A
third birthday.			

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 3005 - OUACHITA SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	97.06%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	15.15%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	18.75%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	3			
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met		
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA		
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)		
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to		
expulsions for greater than 10				
days in a school year.	be reported t			
	time in	2008.		

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	79.25%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	1.89%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	00.000/	(2.250)		27/4
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.				
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below	re	This is indicate ported for time in	or to be or the firs	st
same age peers. d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.		
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)		
special education and related services that is a result of		This is	a new		
inappropriate identification	indicator to be				
(e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and	reported for the first				
procedures under 618(d), etc.).		time in 2008.			

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)		
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new		
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
days.	School Age (5-21)					

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Rate	Target	by LEA (Y/N)
75.76%	100.00%	N/A
	75.76%	

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 3102 - DIERKS SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	96.77%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	13.33%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	14.29%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>	
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to
expulsions for greater than 10		
days in a school year.	be reported t	
	time in	2008.

Note: - - denotes not applicable

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	55.74%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	6.56%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	02.220/	(2.250/		NT / A
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	"	ate ate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.		re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.			time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose	re	This is indicate ported fo time in	or to be or the firs	st
functioning remained below same age peers. d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported fo time in	or to be or the firs	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.			. 2000.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related services that is a result of	This is a new			
inappropriate identification	indicator to be			
(e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and	reported for the first			
procedures under 618(d), etc.).	time in 2008.			

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new	
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2008.	

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		This is indicate orted for time in	or to be or the first

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for	to be
		time in 2	2008.

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 3104 - MINERAL SPRINGS SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	83.33%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	100.00%	Υ

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	16.33%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	7.50%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>		
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first		
	time in	2008.	

Note: - - denotes not applicable

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	63.95%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	0.00%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	00.000/	(2.250)		27/4
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				EEA(IJN)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for		st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD	re	This is indicate ported fo time in	or to be or the firs	st
who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.				
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
special education and related services that is a result of		This is	a new
inappropriate identification	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		
(e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).			

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicato ported fo time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		ime m	2006.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate	This is a new			
identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Rate	Target	by LEA (Y/N)
75.76%	100.00%	N/A
	75.76%	

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 3105 - NASHVILLE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	99.13%	Υ

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	10.53%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	21.28%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>	Data is not	
children in the district.		available	

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>			
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met		
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA		
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)		
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to		
expulsions for greater than 10				
days in a school year.	be reported for the first time in 2008.			

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	49.33%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	12.00%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.89%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				EEA(IJN)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.			. 2000.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
special education and related	TI.		
services that is a result of	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		
inappropriate identification			
(e.g. monitoring data, review			
of policies, practices, and			
procedures under 618(d), etc.).			

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator ported for time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2006.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate		This is	a new
identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		or to be or the first

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 3201 - BATESVILLE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	90.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	1.39%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	96.71%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	27.67%	Y
Proficiency Rate:	18.98%	18.54%	29.34%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	•
compared to the same data for all	<u><1.24</u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>	
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to
expulsions for greater than 10		
days in a school year.	be reported t	
	time in	2008.

Note: - - denotes not applicable

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	53.41%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	5.37%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	1.71%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)		
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				EEA(IJN)		
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time in 2008.				
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.						

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.				
who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.					
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.					
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	time in 2008.				
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)		
special education and related	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.				
services that is a result of					
inappropriate identification					
(e.g. monitoring data, review					
of policies, practices, and					
procedures under 618(d), etc.).					

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicato ported fo time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2006.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Rate	Target	by LEA (Y/N)
75.76%	100.00%	N/A
	75.76%	

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 3203 - CUSHMAN SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	4.76%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	93.33%	N

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	23.81%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	27.03%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	D-1-	
compared to the same data for all	<u><1.24</u>		is not
children in the district.		avai	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>			
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met		
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA		
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)		
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to		
expulsions for greater than 10				
days in a school year.	be reported for the first			
	time in	2008.		

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	80.33%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	0.00%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	1.64%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	00.000/	(2.250)		27/4
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported fo time in	or to be or the fir	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.			2000.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below	re	This is indicate ported for time in	or to be or the firs	st
same age peers. d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
special education and related services that is a result of	This is a new		
inappropriate identification	indicator to be		
(e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and	reported for the first time in 2008.		
procedures under 618(d), etc.).		time ii	n 2008.

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicato ported fo time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2008.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate	This is a new			
identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Υ

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 3209 - SOUTHSIDE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	93.75%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	3.88%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	Y	9.00%	21.43%	N
Mathematics	Y	36.48%	32.00%	Y

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	96.32%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	13.74%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	22.73%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>	Data is not	
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	,			
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met		
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA		
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)		
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to		
expulsions for greater than 10				
days in a school year.	be reported t			
	time in	2008.		

Note: - - denotes not applicable

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	50.98%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	0.00%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	2.35%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	02.220/	(0.050/	00.000/	.,
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%	98.08%	Y

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				EEA(IJN)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for		st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.		
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related services that is a result of	This is a new			
inappropriate identification	indicator to be			
(e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	reported for the first time in 2008.			

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)		
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is	a new		
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2008.		

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Rate	Target	by LEA (Y/N)
75.76%	100.00%	N/A
	75.76%	

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	N

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	N
periods.	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	Y
	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	N

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 3211 - MIDLAND SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	12.50%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	14.29%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	30.95%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	is not
compared to the same data for all	<u><1.24</u>		
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>	
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to
expulsions for greater than 10		
days in a school year.	be reported t	
	time in	2008.

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	51.76%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	2.35%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	4.71%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	"	ate ate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.		re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.			time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for		st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
comparable to same age peers. b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD	re	This is indicate ported fo time in	or to be or the firs	st
who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.				
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related	This is a new			
services that is a result of				
inappropriate identification	indicator to be			
(e.g. monitoring data, review	reported for the first		or the first	
of policies, practices, and	time in 2008.			
procedures under 618(d), etc.).				

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicato ported fo time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2006.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate	This is a new			
identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		or to be or the first	

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	N

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	N
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	Y
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	N

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 3212 - CEDAR RIDGE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	2.33%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	97.06%	Υ

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	22.73%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	20.69%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	is not
compared to the same data for all	<u><1.24</u>		
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	J		
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first time in 2008.		

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	54.20%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	3.82%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	3.05%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	02.220/	(2.250/	100 000/	.,
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time in	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for		st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
comparable to same age peers. b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD	re	This is indicate ported fo time in	or to be or the firs	st
who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.				
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
special education and related		TT1-:-:-	
services that is a result of	This is a new		
inappropriate identification	indicator to be		
(e.g. monitoring data, review	rep	orted fo	or the first
of policies, practices, and	time in 2008.		
procedures under 618(d), etc.).			

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator ported for time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2008.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	Y
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 3301 - CALICO ROCK SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	22.86%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	37.93%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>	Data is not	
children in the district.		available	

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>		
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first time in 2008.		

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	92.75%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	7.25%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	00.000/	(2.250)		27/4
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time in	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.					
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.		
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
special education and related services that is a result of		This is	a new
inappropriate identification	indicator to be		
(e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	reported for the first time in 2008.		

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicato ported fo time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		ime m	2006.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	N
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	N

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 3302 - MELBOURNE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	2.04%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	98.25%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	28.57%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	24.00%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

11) 0 tisp 01151014 2/1P tils1011			
Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Doto	iomot
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable
	•		

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>		
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first		
	time in	2008.	

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	46.77%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	10.48%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.81%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	02.220/	(2.250/		NT / A
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				EEA(IJN)	
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.		
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	time in 2008.				
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related services that is a result of	This is a new			
inappropriate identification	indicator to be			
(e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and	reported for the first time in 2008.			
procedures under 618(d), etc.).		time ii	n 2008.	

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)		
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is	a new		
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2008.		

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		This is indicate orted for time in	or to be or the first

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	N

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	N
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	N

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 3306 - IZARD CO. CONS. SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	87.50%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	95.92%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	25.53%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	23.08%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	D-1-	
compared to the same data for all	<u><1.24</u>		is not
children in the district.		avai	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>			
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met		
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA		
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)		
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to		
expulsions for greater than 10				
days in a school year.	be reported for the first			
	time in	2008.		

Note: - - denotes not applicable

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	28.70%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	6.48%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	02.220/	(2.250/	100 000/	.,
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.		
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related		TT1-:-:-		
services that is a result of	This is a new			
inappropriate identification	indicator to be reported for the first			
(e.g. monitoring data, review				
of policies, practices, and	time in 2008.			
procedures under 618(d), etc.).				

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)		
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new		
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
days.	School Age (5-21)					

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate	This is a new			
identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Rate	Target	by LEA (Y/N)
75.76%	100.00%	N/A
	75.76%	

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	N

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	Y
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 3320 - NORTH CENTRAL ARKANSAS EDUCATION SERVICE CENTER

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%		N/A

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%		N/A

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N/A	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N/A	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	1	N/A

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%		N/A
Proficiency Rate:	18.98%	18.54%		N/A

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	,			
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met		
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA		
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)		
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to		
expulsions for greater than 10				
days in a school year.	be reported t			
	time in	2008.		

Note: - - denotes not applicable

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%		N/A

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%		N/A

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%		N/A

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%	91.02%	Y

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
comparable to same age peers. b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for time in	or to be or the fir	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.			2000.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for		st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
special education and related services that is a result of		This is	a new
inappropriate identification	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		
(e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).			

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)			
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new			
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator to be reported for the fire				
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in 2008.				

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	Y
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	N/A

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 3403 - NEWPORT SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	83.33%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	4.11%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	95.97%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	9.24%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	11.01%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	is not
compared to the same data for all	<u><1.24</u>		
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>	
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to
expulsions for greater than 10		
days in a school year.	be reported i	
	time in	2008.

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	44.55%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	12.73%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
comparable to same age peers. b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for time in	or to be or the fir	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.			2000.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	•	or to be or the firs	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related	TOTAL .			
services that is a result of	This is a new			
inappropriate identification	indicator to be			
(e.g. monitoring data, review	reported for the first		or the first	
of policies, practices, and	time in 2008.			
procedures under 618(d), etc.).				

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new	
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2006.	

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Rate	Target	by LEA (Y/N)
75.76%	100.00%	N/A
	75.76%	

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Υ

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	Y
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 3405 - JACKSON CO. SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	40.00%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	5.41%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	96.67%	Υ

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	15.52%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	30.19%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported i		
	time in 2008.		

Note: - - denotes not applicable

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	45.37%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	4.63%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	00.000/	(2.250)		27/4
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				EEA(IJN)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
special education and related services that is a result of		This is	a new
inappropriate identification	indicator to be		
(e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and	reported for the first time in 2008.		
procedures under 618(d), etc.).			2000.

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicato ported fo time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2008.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		
identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).			

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Υ

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	N
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	N

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 3502 - DOLLARWAY SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	1.39%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	97.35%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	2.05%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	1.55%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

11) 0 tisp 01101014 2:tp tilo1011			
Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Doto	is not
compared to the same data for all	<u>~1.24</u>		
children in the district.		ava	ilable
	•		

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>		
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first		
	time in	2008.	

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	16.23%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	26.32%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	7.46%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	02.220/	(2.250/		NT / A
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.				
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	•	or to be or the firs	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
special education and related			
services that is a result of	This is a new		
inappropriate identification	indicator to be		
(e.g. monitoring data, review	reported for the first time in 2008.		
of policies, practices, and			
procedures under 618(d), etc.).		11 2006.	

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicato ported fo time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2008.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate		This is	a new
identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Rate	Target	by LEA (Y/N)
75.76%	100.00%	N/A
	75.76%	

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 3505 - PINE BLUFF SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	79.31%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	11.92%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	Υ	9.00%	21.43%	N
Mathematics	Y	36.48%	32.00%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	93.97%	N

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	2.29%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	1.79%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

11) 0 tisp 01101014 2:tp tilo1011			
Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Doto	is not
compared to the same data for all	<u>~1.24</u>		
children in the district.		ava	ilable
	•		

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10	This is a new indicator to		
days in a school year.	be reported for the first		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	time ir	2008.	

Note: - - denotes not applicable

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	27.43%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	17.71%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	6.57%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	Sta Ra	ite ite	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.		re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.			time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for		st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.					
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	time in 2008.				
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)		
special education and related					
services that is a result of	This is a new				
inappropriate identification	indicator to be reported for the first				
(e.g. monitoring data, review					
of policies, practices, and	time in 2008.				
procedures under 618(d), etc.).					

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator ported for time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2006.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		This is indicate orted for time in	or to be or the first

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Rate	Target	by LEA (Y/N)
75.76%	100.00%	N/A
	75.76%	

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	N
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	N

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 3509 - WATSON CHAPEL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	10.00%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	96.59%	Υ

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	5.05%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	3.37%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>		
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first		
	time in	2008.	

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	33.62%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	21.47%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	8.19%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				EEA(IJN)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related services that is a result of	This is a new			
inappropriate identification	indicator to be			
(e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and	reported for the first			
procedures under 618(d), etc.).	time in 2008.			

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicato ported fo time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2006.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		This is indicate orted for time in	or to be or the first

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Rate	Target	by LEA (Y/N)
75.76%	100.00%	N/A
	75.76%	

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Υ
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Υ

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 3510 - WHITE HALL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	81.25%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	5.71%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	97.04%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	13.41%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	14.38%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	D-1-	
compared to the same data for all	<u><1.24</u>	Data is not	
children in the district.		avai	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	3			
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met		
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA		
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)		
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to		
expulsions for greater than 10				
days in a school year.	be reported t			
	time in	2008.		

Note: - - denotes not applicable

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	43.48%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	17.06%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	1.34%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	02.220/	(2.250/		NT / A
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				EEA(IJN)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for		st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
comparable to same age peers. b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD	re	This is indicate ported fo time in	or to be or the firs	st
who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.				
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
special education and related		TT1-:-:-	
services that is a result of	This is a new		
inappropriate identification	indicator to be		
(e.g. monitoring data, review	reported for the first		or the first
of policies, practices, and	time in 2008.		
procedures under 618(d), etc.).			

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)		
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new		
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
days.	School Age (5-21)		ime m	2006.		

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	N

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 3520 - ARKANSAS RIVER EDUCATION SERVICE CO-OP

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%		N/A

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%		N/A

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N/A	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N/A	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	1	N/A

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%		N/A
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%		N/A

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>	
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to
expulsions for greater than 10		
days in a school year.	be reported t	
	time in	2008.

Note: - - denotes not applicable

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%		N/A

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%		N/A

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%		N/A

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%	54.05%	N

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				EEA(IJN)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose	re	This is indicate ported fo time in	or to be or the firs	st
functioning remained below same age peers. d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related services that is a result of	This is a new			
inappropriate identification	indicator to be			
(e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	reported for the first time in 2008.			

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)		
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new		
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
days.	School Age (5-21)					

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate	This is a new		
identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		or to be or the first

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Rate	Target	by LEA (Y/N)
75.76%	100.00%	N/A
	75.76%	

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Υ

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	N/A
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	N/A

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 3601 - CLARKSVILLE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	84.62%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	5.13%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	96.40%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	17.91%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	20.69%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>		
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first time in 2008.		

Note: - - denotes not applicable

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	46.06%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	7.88%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
comparable to same age peers. b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD	re	•		st
who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.				
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for		st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related		TT1-:-:-		
services that is a result of	This is a new			
inappropriate identification	indicator to be			
(e.g. monitoring data, review	reported for the first			
of policies, practices, and	time in 2008.			
procedures under 618(d), etc.).				

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator ported for time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2006.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate	This is a new			
identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	N

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	N
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 3604 - LAMAR SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	85.71%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	92.86%	N

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	2.56%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	0.00%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>	Data is not	
children in the district.		available	

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>			
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met		
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA		
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)		
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to		
expulsions for greater than 10				
days in a school year.	be reported for the first time in 2008.			

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	52.22%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	7.78%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	2.22%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	02.220/	(2.250/		NT / A
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.				
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
special education and related		TT1-:-:-	
services that is a result of	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		
inappropriate identification			
(e.g. monitoring data, review			
of policies, practices, and			
procedures under 618(d), etc.).			

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicato ported fo time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2008.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate		This is	a new
identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		or to be or the first

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Rate	Target	by LEA (Y/N)
75.76%	100.00%	N/A
	75.76%	

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Υ

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 3606 - WESTSIDE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	97.96%	Υ

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	6.25%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	11.90%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

11) 0 tisp 01101014 2:tp tilo1011			
Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Doto	is not
compared to the same data for all	<u>~1.24</u>		
children in the district.		ava	ilable
	•		

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to
expulsions for greater than 10		
days in a school year.	be reported for the first time in 2008.	

Note: - - denotes not applicable

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	47.25%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	4.40%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				LEA(Y/N)	
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	time in 2008.				
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below	re	st		
same age peers. d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.		
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related				
services that is a result of	This is a new			
inappropriate identification	indicator to be			
(e.g. monitoring data, review	reported for the first time in 2008.			
of policies, practices, and				
procedures under 618(d), etc.).		l illie ii	11 2006.	

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicato ported fo time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2006.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).			or to be or the first

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Rate	Target	by LEA (Y/N)
75.76%	100.00%	N/A
	75.76%	

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	N

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 3701 - BRADLEY SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	96.30%	Υ

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	3.85%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	23.81%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>			
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met		
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA		
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)		
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to		
expulsions for greater than 10				
days in a school year.	be reported for the first			
	time in	2008.		

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	77.08%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	2.08%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	02.220/	(2.250/		NT / A
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				EEA(IJN)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for		st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

$Indicator\ 09: Disproportionality-Eligibility$

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related services that is a result of	This is a new			
inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review	indicator to be reported for the first			
of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	time in 2008.			

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicato ported fo time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2006.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 3704 - LAFAYETTE COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	Y	9.00%	21.43%	N
Mathematics	Y	36.48%	32.00%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	94.67%	N

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	2.82%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	6.25%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>	Data is not	
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	3			
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met		
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA		
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)		
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to		
expulsions for greater than 10				
days in a school year.	be reported t			
	time in	2008.		

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	42.59%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	6.48%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	1.85%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	02.220/	(2.250/		NT / A
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				EEA(IJN)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	•	or to be or the firs	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
special education and related services that is a result of		This is	a new
inappropriate identification	indicator to be		
(e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	reported for the first time in 2008.		

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	1	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2008.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Rate	Target	by LEA (Y/N)
75.76%	100.00%	N/A
	75.76%	

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Υ

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 3804 - HOXIE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	81.82%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	5.33%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	97.89%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	5.38%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	11.54%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	is not
compared to the same data for all	<u><1.24</u>		
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>	
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to
expulsions for greater than 10		
days in a school year.	be reported t	
	time in	2008.

Note: - - denotes not applicable

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	31.25%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	14.20%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	1.14%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	00.000/	(2.250)		27/4
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported fo time in	or to be or the fir	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.			2000.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below	re	This is indicate ported for time in	or to be or the firs	st
same age peers. d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.				
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
special education and related services that is a result of		This is	a new
inappropriate identification	indicator to be		
(e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and	reported for the first time in 2008.		
procedures under 618(d), etc.).		time ii	n 2008.

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)		
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new		
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
days.	School Age (5-21)		ime m	2006.		

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Rate	Target	by LEA (Y/N)
75.76%	100.00%	N/A
	75.76%	

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	N

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	N
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	N

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 3806 - SLOAN-HENDRIX SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	4.44%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	10.53%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>		
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first time in 2008.		

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	72.29%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	1.20%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	2.41%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	00.000/	(2.250/		27/4
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				EEA(IJN)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose	re	This is indicate ported fo time in	or to be or the firs	st
functioning remained below same age peers. d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related		TT1-:-:-		
services that is a result of	This is a new			
inappropriate identification	indicator to be			
(e.g. monitoring data, review	reported for the first time in 2008.			
of policies, practices, and				
procedures under 618(d), etc.).				

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	1	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2008.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Rate	Target	by LEA (Y/N)
75.76%	100.00%	N/A
	75.76%	

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Υ

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 3809 - HILLCREST SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	98.21%	Υ

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	18.18%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	21.15%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>	Data is not	
children in the district.		available	

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>		
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first time in 2008.		

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	47.83%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	4.35%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	2.17%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for		st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.			. 2000.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
special education and related		TT1-:-:-	
services that is a result of	This is a new		
inappropriate identification	indicator to be		
(e.g. monitoring data, review	reported for the first time in 2008.		
of policies, practices, and			
procedures under 618(d), etc.).			

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	1	r the first
days.			time in	2008.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Rate	Target	by LEA (Y/N)
75.76%	100.00%	N/A
	75.76%	

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	N

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 3810 - LAWRENCE COUNTY

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	81.82%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	4.35%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	Υ	9.00%	21.43%	Y
Mathematics	Y	36.48%	32.00%	Y

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	98.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	15.31%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	15.38%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

11) o mop onorony zarp wronon	_		
Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Doto	is mot
compared to the same data for all	<u><1.24</u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable
	•		

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first		
	time in	2008.	

Note: - - denotes not applicable

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	57.14%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	6.04%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.55%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	02.220/	(2.250/		NT / A
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)		
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				,		
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st		
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time in 2008.				
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.						

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.					
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.		
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	time in 2008.				
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related services that is a result of	This is a new			
inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).				

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator ported for time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2006.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).				

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	N

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 3820 - NORTHEAST ARKANSAS EDUCATION SERVICE CENTER

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%		N/A

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%		N/A

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N/A	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N/A	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	1	N/A

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%		N/A
Proficiency Rate:	18.98%	18.54%		N/A

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	3			
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met		
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA		
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)		
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to		
expulsions for greater than 10				
days in a school year.	be reported for the first			
	time ir	1 2008.		

Note: - - denotes not applicable

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%		N/A

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%		N/A

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%		N/A

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				EEA(IJN)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for		st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.			. 2000.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related services that is a result of	This is a new			
inappropriate identification	indicator to be			
(e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	reported for the first time in 2008.			

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)		
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new		
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2008.		

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate	This is a new			
identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their	75.76%	100.00%	N
third birthday.			

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	Y
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	N/A

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 3840 - IMBODEN CHARTER SCHOOL DIST

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%		N/A

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%		N/A

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	22.22%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	11.11%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	is not
compared to the same data for all	<u><1.24</u>	Data is not	
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>			
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met		
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA		
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)		
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to		
expulsions for greater than 10				
days in a school year.	be reported for the first			
	time in	2008.		

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	81.82%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	0.00%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	02.220/	(2.250/		NT / A
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				EEA(IJN)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for		st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
special education and related services that is a result of		This is	a new
inappropriate identification	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		
(e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).			

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)			
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new			
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	•	r the first			
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in 2008.				

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate		This is	a new
identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		or to be or the first

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	N
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	N

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 3904 - LEE COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	61.54%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	2.60%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	Y	9.00%	21.43%	N
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	95.04%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	1.74%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	9.52%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>	
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to
expulsions for greater than 10		
days in a school year.	be reported t	
	time in	2008.

Note: - - denotes not applicable

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	19.14%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	3.35%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	6.70%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	00.000/	(2.250)		27/4
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below	re	This is indicate ported for time in	or to be or the firs	st
same age peers. d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
comparable to same age peers. b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD	re	This is indicate ported fo time in	or to be or the firs	st
who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.				
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related	This is a new			
services that is a result of				
inappropriate identification	indicator to be			
(e.g. monitoring data, review	reported for the first		or the first	
of policies, practices, and	time in 2008.			
procedures under 618(d), etc.).				

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new	
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		
days.	School Age (5-21)		line in	2006.	

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	N

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	N
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	N

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 4003 - STAR CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	94.74%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	4.55%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	98.10%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	9.71%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	3.37%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>		
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first		
	time in	2008.	

Note: - - denotes not applicable

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	34.45%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	7.18%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.96%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	02.220/	(2.250/		NT / A
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				EEA(IJN)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below	re	This is indicate ported for time in	or to be or the firs	st
same age peers. d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related		TT1-:-:-		
services that is a result of	This is a new			
inappropriate identification	indicator to be			
(e.g. monitoring data, review	rep	orted fo	or the first	
of policies, practices, and	time in 2008.			
procedures under 618(d), etc.).				

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicato ported fo time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2006.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	new to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 4101 - ASHDOWN SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	92.86%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	1.37%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	9.66%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	10.85%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	D-1-	
compared to the same data for all	<u><1.24</u>	Data is not	
children in the district.		available	

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

, 1 , 1	J			
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met		
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA		
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)		
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to		
expulsions for greater than 10				
days in a school year.	be reported for the first time in 2008.			

Note: - - denotes not applicable

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	47.21%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	6.44%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	00.000/	(2.250)		27/4
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.				
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below	re	This is indicate ported for time in	or to be or the firs	st
same age peers. d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		
	School Age (5-21)				

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
special education and related		TT1-:-:-	
services that is a result of	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		
inappropriate identification			
(e.g. monitoring data, review			
of policies, practices, and			
procedures under 618(d), etc.).			

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator ported for time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2008.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate		This is	a new
identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		or to be or the first

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Υ

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 4102 - FOREMAN SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	18.52%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	26.09%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

11) 0 tisp 01101014 2:tp tilo1011			
Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Doto	is not
compared to the same data for all	<u>~</u> 1.24		
children in the district.		ava	ilable
	•		

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first		
days in a school year.			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	time ir	2008.	

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	53.85%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	0.00%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	02.220/	(2.250/		NT / A
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)		
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				EEA(IJN)		
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.						
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.						

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.					
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.					
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	time in 2008.				
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related services that is a result of	This is a new			
inappropriate identification	indicator to be			
(e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	reported for the first time in 2008.			

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	1	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2008.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 4201 - BOONEVILLE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	5.97%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	98.92%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	9.78%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	19.05%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>	Data is not	
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>		
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first		
	time in	2008.	

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	41.81%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	14.69%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or	2.60%	2.58%	0.56%	Y
homebound/hospital placements.				

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	00.000/	(2.250)		27/4
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				EEA(IJN)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for		st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
special education and related		TT1-:-:-	
services that is a result of	This is a new		
inappropriate identification	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		
(e.g. monitoring data, review			
of policies, practices, and			
procedures under 618(d), etc.).			

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicato ported fo time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2006.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	N

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 4202 - MAGAZINE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	2.94%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	11.11%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	13.89%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>			
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met		
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA		
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)		
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to		
expulsions for greater than 10				
days in a school year.	be reported t			
	time in	2008.		

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	37.50%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	2.78%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time in	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for		st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related				
services that is a result of	This is a new			
inappropriate identification	indicator to be			
(e.g. monitoring data, review	reported for the first			
of policies, practices, and				
procedures under 618(d), etc.).	time in 2008.			

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)		
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new		
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator to be reported for the firs time in 2008.			
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2006.		

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate		This is	a new
identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Υ

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 4203 - PARIS SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	1.43%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	96.15%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	4.05%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	6.67%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>	
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to
expulsions for greater than 10		
days in a school year.	be reported t	
	time in	2008.

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	54.48%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	1.38%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	1.38%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				EEA(IJN)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for		st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related		This is		
services that is a result of	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first			
inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review				
of policies, practices, and				
procedures under 618(d), etc.).	time in 2008.			

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicato ported fo time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2008.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Rate	Target	by LEA (Y/N)
75.76%	100.00%	N/A
	75.76%	

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 4204 - SCRANTON SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	96.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	16.67%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	21.05%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>		
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first		
	time in	2008.	

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	61.90%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	7.14%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	2.38%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	00.000/	(2.250)		27/4
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below	re	This is indicate ported for time in	or to be or the firs	st
same age peers. d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate	or to be or the firs	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	1 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related		TT1-:-:-		
services that is a result of	This is a new			
inappropriate identification	indicator to be reported for the first			
(e.g. monitoring data, review				
of policies, practices, and	time in 2008.			
procedures under 618(d), etc.).				

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicato ported fo time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		line in	2006.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate	This is a new		
identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		or to be or the first

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	N

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 4301 - LONOKE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	93.75%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	4.46%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	98.05%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	15.89%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	18.25%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>	Data is not	
children in the district.		available	

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>		
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first time in 2008.		

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	40.53%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	33.33%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or	2.60%	2.58%	0.76%	Y
homebound/hospital placements.				

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

a) Percent of preschool CWD	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
special education and related		TT1-:-:-	
services that is a result of	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		
inappropriate identification			
(e.g. monitoring data, review			
of policies, practices, and			
procedures under 618(d), etc.).			

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicato ported fo time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2008.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate		This is	a new
identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		or to be or the first

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Rate	Target	by LEA (Y/N)
75.76%	100.00%	N/A
	75.76%	

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 4302 - ENGLAND SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	92.31%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	1.33%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	98.15%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	8.49%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	15.91%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>	Data is not	
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		
days in a school year.			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			

Note: - - denotes not applicable

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	26.59%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	21.97%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or	2.60%	2.58%	0.58%	Y
homebound/hospital placements.				

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	02.220/	(2.250/		NT / A
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)		
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.						
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.		This is a new indicator to be reported for the first				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time in 2008.				
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.						

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.					
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	time in 2008.				
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	time in 2008.				
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)		
special education and related services that is a result of	This is a new				
inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review	indicator to be reported for the first				
of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	time in 2008.				

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicato ported fo time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2006.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Rate	Target	by LEA (Y/N)
75.76%	100.00%	N/A
	75.76%	

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 4303 - CARLISLE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	66.67%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	4.00%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	98.39%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	19.67%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	16.36%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	D-1-	
compared to the same data for all	<u><1.24</u>		is not
children in the district.		avai	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>			
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met		
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA		
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)		
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to		
expulsions for greater than 10				
days in a school year.	be reported for the first			
	time in	2008.		

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	37.37%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	8.08%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	02.220/	(2.250/		NT / A
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
comparable to same age peers. b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for time in	or to be or the firs	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.			2000.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below	re	This is indicate ported for time in	or to be or the firs	st
same age peers. d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.		
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related services that is a result of	This is a new			
inappropriate identification	indicator to be			
(e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and	reported for the first time in 2008.			
procedures under 618(d), etc.).		time ii	n 2008.	

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicato ported fo time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2006.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Rate	Target	by LEA (Y/N)
75.76%	100.00%	N/A
	75.76%	

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Υ

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	N
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	N

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 4304 - CABOT SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	88.24%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	5.07%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	Υ	9.00%	21.43%	N
Mathematics	Y	36.48%	32.00%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	96.54%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	19.73%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	25.33%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>	
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to
expulsions for greater than 10		
days in a school year.	be reported i	
	time in	2008.

Note: - - denotes not applicable

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	49.41%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	16.44%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.92%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	00.000/	(2.250/		27/4
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				,
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time in	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for		st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.				
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
special education and related		TT1-:-:-	
services that is a result of	This is a new		
inappropriate identification	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		
(e.g. monitoring data, review			
of policies, practices, and			
procedures under 618(d), etc.).			

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator ported for time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2008.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	N

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 4401 - HUNTSVILLE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	14.21%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	24.10%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>	
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to
expulsions for greater than 10		
days in a school year.	be reported t	
	time in	2008.

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	47.14%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	9.29%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				EEA(IJN)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				LEA(I/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for		st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related	This is a new			
services that is a result of				
inappropriate identification	indicator to be			
(e.g. monitoring data, review	reported for the first		or the first	
of policies, practices, and	time in 2008.			
procedures under 618(d), etc.).				

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)		
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new		
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2008.		

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate	This is a new		
identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		or to be or the first

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 4501 - FLIPPIN SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	83.33%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	97.50%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	5.13%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	9.09%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

11) 0 mop 01101017 2mp 41101011	_		
Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Doto	is mot
compared to the same data for all	<u><1.24</u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable
	•		

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>		
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first		
	time in	2008.	

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	58.46%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	20.00%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level	1	ate ate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
comparable to same age peers.					
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.		re	This is indicate ported for		st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.			time i	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for		st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related services that is a result of		This is	a new	
inappropriate identification	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
(e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).				
procedures under oro(u), etc.).				

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicato ported fo time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2008.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Rate	Target	by LEA (Y/N)
75.76%	100.00%	N/A
	75.76%	

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	Y
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 4502 - YELLVILLE-SUMMIT SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	93.75%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	4.17%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	91.23%	N

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	7.69%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	19.51%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

11) 0 tisp 01101014 2:tp tilo1011			
Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Doto	is mot
compared to the same data for all	<u>~1.24</u>	Data is not	
children in the district.		ava	ilable
	•		

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>		
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first		
	time in	2008.	

Note: - - denotes not applicable

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	60.54%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	9.52%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	1.36%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	02.220/	(2.250/		NT / A
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
comparable to same age peers. b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for time in	or to be or the fir	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.			2000.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	•	or to be or the firs	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
special education and related services that is a result of		This is	a new
inappropriate identification	indicator to be		
(e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and	reported for the first time in 2008.		
procedures under 618(d), etc.).		time ii	n 2008.

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicato ported fo time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2008.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 4602 - GENOA CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	100.00%	Υ

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	20.93%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	15.79%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

11) 0 tisp 01101014 2:tp tilo1011			
Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Doto	is not
compared to the same data for all	<u>~1.24</u>		
children in the district.		ava	ilable
	•		

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>		
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first		
	time in	2008.	

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	82.19%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	8.22%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	1.37%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	00.000/	(2.250/		27/4
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
comparable to same age peers. b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for time in	or to be or the fir	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.			2000.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.					
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.		
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.					
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.					
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related services that is a result of	This is a new			
inappropriate identification	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
(e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).				

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)		
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new		
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator to be reported for the firs time in 2008.			
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2008.		

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate	This is a new			
identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Υ

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 4603 - FOUKE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	80.00%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	98.53%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	4.48%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	6.45%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

11) 0 tisp 01101014 2:tp tilo1011			
Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Doto	is not
compared to the same data for all	<u>~1.24</u>		
children in the district.		ava	ilable
	•		

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>			
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met		
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA		
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)		
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to		
expulsions for greater than 10				
days in a school year.	be reported for the first			
	time in 2008.			

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	69.49%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	6.78%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	00.000/	(2.250)		27/4
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below	re	This is indicate ported for time in	or to be or the firs	st
same age peers. d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related		TT1-:-:-		
services that is a result of	This is a new			
inappropriate identification	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
(e.g. monitoring data, review				
of policies, practices, and				
procedures under 618(d), etc.).				

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	1	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2008.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Rate	Target	by LEA (Y/N)
75.76%	100.00%	N/A
	75.76%	

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 4605 - TEXARKANA SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	1.44%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	Y	9.00%	21.43%	N
Mathematics	Y	36.48%	32.00%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	96.35%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	5.79%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	8.98%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 . 1 . 1 </u>	<u> </u>	
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to
expulsions for greater than 10		
days in a school year.	be reported i	
	time in	2008.

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	47.32%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	13.01%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.38%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	00.000/	(2.250)		27/4
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	•	or to be or the fir	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for		st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.			. 2000.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
special education and related services that is a result of	This is a new		
inappropriate identification	indicator to be		
(e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and	reported for the first time in 2008.		
procedures under 618(d), etc.).		time ii	n 2008.

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicato ported fo time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2006.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate		This is	a new
identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		or to be or the first

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 4701 - ARMOREL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	20.69%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	18.52%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>	
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to
expulsions for greater than 10		
days in a school year.	be reported i	
	time in	2008.

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	66.67%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	4.44%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	02.220/	(2.250/		NT / A
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below	re	This is indicate ported for time in	or to be or the firs	st
same age peers. d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related				
services that is a result of	This is a new			
inappropriate identification	indicator to be			
(e.g. monitoring data, review	reported for the first		or the first	
of policies, practices, and	*			
procedures under 618(d), etc.).	time in 2008.			

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is	a new	
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2008.	

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		This is indicate orted for time in	or to be or the first

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	N

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 4702 - BLYTHEVILLE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	84.62%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	13.40%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	Υ	9.00%	21.43%	N
Mathematics	Y	36.48%	32.00%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	95.24%	Υ

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	4.23%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	4.22%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	D-1-	
compared to the same data for all	<u><1.24</u>		is not
children in the district.		avai	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>		
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first time in 2008.		

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	18.89%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	19.10%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.41%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	00.000/	(2.250/		27/4
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				EEA(IJN)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	•	or to be or the firs	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate	or to be or the firs	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	1 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
special education and related		TT1-:-:-	
services that is a result of	This is a new		
inappropriate identification	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		
(e.g. monitoring data, review			
of policies, practices, and			
procedures under 618(d), etc.).			

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicato ported fo time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2006.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Rate	Target	by LEA (Y/N)
75.76%	100.00%	N/A
	75.76%	

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	N

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	N
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	N

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 4706 - SO. MISS. COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	2.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	96.20%	Υ

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	2.63%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	2.82%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>	Data is not	
children in the district.		available	

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>			
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met		
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA		
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)		
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to		
expulsions for greater than 10				
days in a school year.	be reported for the first			
	time in	2008.		

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	27.33%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	10.67%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.67%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	02.220/	(2.250/		NT / A
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				EEA(IJN)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.				
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for		st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	time in 2008.				
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
special education and related services that is a result of		This is	a new
inappropriate identification	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		
(e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).			

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicato ported fo time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2008.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		This is indicate orted for time in	or to be or the first

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Υ

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 4708 - GOSNELL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	83.33%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	4.41%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	98.20%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	7.34%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	10.75%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>		
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first		
	time in	2008.	

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	46.73%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	16.36%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or	2.60%	2.58%	0.93%	Y
homebound/hospital placements.				

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	02.220/	(2.250/		NT / A
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				LEA(I/N)	
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	time in 2008.				
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.				
same age peers. d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
comparable to same age peers.					
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	1 2008.		
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)		
special education and related	TOTAL .				
services that is a result of	This is a new				
inappropriate identification	indicator to be				
(e.g. monitoring data, review	reported for the first				
of policies, practices, and	time in 2008.				
procedures under 618(d), etc.).					

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicato ported fo time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2006.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Rate	Target	by LEA (Y/N)
75.76%	100.00%	N/A
	75.76%	

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Υ

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 4712 - MANILA SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	8.22%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	Y	9.00%	21.43%	Y
Mathematics	Y	36.48%	32.00%	Y

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	96.40%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	10.28%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	9.68%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	D-1-	
compared to the same data for all	<u><1.24</u>		is not
children in the district.		avai	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first		
	time in	2008.	

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	44.85%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	8.76%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	Sta Ra	ite ite	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.		re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.			time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

(State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for		st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	1 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related		TT1-:-:-		
services that is a result of	This is a new			
inappropriate identification	indicator to be reported for the first			
(e.g. monitoring data, review				
of policies, practices, and	time in 2008.			
procedures under 618(d), etc.).				

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicato ported fo time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2006.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their	75.76%	100.00%	N/A
third birthday.			

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	N

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i repo	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 4713 - OSCEOLA SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	7.27%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	98.26%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	1.77%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	0.99%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	3			
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met		
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA		
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)		
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to		
expulsions for greater than 10				
days in a school year.	be reported			
	time ir	1 2008.		

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	22.54%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	11.89%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	2.46%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	02.220/	(2.250/		NT / A
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				EEA(IJN)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD	re	This is indicate ported fo time in	or to be or the firs	st
who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.				
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD	re	This is indicate ported fo time in	or to be or the firs	st
who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.				
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
special education and related		TT1-:-:-	
services that is a result of	This is a new		
inappropriate identification	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		
(e.g. monitoring data, review			
of policies, practices, and			
procedures under 618(d), etc.).			

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)		
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new		
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
days.	School Age (5-21)		line in	2006.		

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 4801 - BRINKLEY SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	87.50%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	5.26%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	5.88%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	9.84%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	J		
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first time in 2008.		
	time ir	1 2008.	

Note: - - denotes not applicable

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	42.15%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	2.48%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	2.48%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	00.000/	(2.250/		27/4
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time in	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below	re	This is indicate ported for time in	or to be or the firs	st
same age peers. d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
comparable to same age peers. b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD	re	This is indicate ported fo time in	or to be or the firs	st
who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.				
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related				
services that is a result of	This is a new			
inappropriate identification	indicator to be			
(e.g. monitoring data, review	reported for the first		or the first	
of policies, practices, and	*			
procedures under 618(d), etc.).	time in 2008.			

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)		
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new		
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
days.	School Age (5-21)					

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	N

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 4802 - CLARENDON SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	86.67%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	1.92%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	96.30%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	15.38%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	16.67%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>		
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first time in 2008.		

Note: - - denotes not applicable

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	35.19%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	12.04%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	8.33%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	00.000/	(2.250)		27/4
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				,
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time in	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.				
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related				
services that is a result of	This is a new			
inappropriate identification	indicator to be			
(e.g. monitoring data, review	rer	orted fo	or the first	
of policies, practices, and	time in 2008.			
procedures under 618(d), etc.).				

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	1	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2008.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate	This is a new			
identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	N
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	N

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 4901 - CADDO HILLS SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	97.83%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	28.89%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	21.88%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>	Data is not	
children in the district.		available	

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>		
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first time in 2008.		

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	47.78%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	6.67%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	2.22%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	00.000/	(2.250)		27/4
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for		st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
special education and related services that is a result of		This is	a new
inappropriate identification	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		
(e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).			

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicato ported fo time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2008.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Rate	Target	by LEA (Y/N)
75.76%	100.00%	N/A
	75.76%	

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Υ

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	1 0 1 1		to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 4902 - MOUNT IDA SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	16.67%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	35.56%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>		
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first		
	time in 2008.		

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	58.33%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	10.42%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	02.220/	(2.250/		NT / A
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				EEA(IJN)	
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.		
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.				
functioning remained below same age peers. d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	time in 2008.				
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related				
services that is a result of	This is a new			
inappropriate identification	indicator to be			
(e.g. monitoring data, review	reported for the first time in 2008.			
of policies, practices, and				
procedures under 618(d), etc.).				

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)		
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new		
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2006.		

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate	This is a new			
identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	new to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	N
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	N

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 5006 - PRESCOTT SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	85.71%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	1.92%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	96.59%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	25.88%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	25.32%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>			
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met		
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA		
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)		
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to		
expulsions for greater than 10				
days in a school year.	be reported for the first			
	time in	2008.		

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	41.14%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	6.96%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	1.27%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time in	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	•	or to be or the firs	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related services that is a result of	This is a new			
inappropriate identification	indicator to be			
(e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and	reported for the first time in 2008.			
procedures under 618(d), etc.).				

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicato ported fo time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2006.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		This is indicate orted for time in	or to be or the first

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Υ

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first		
		time in 2	2008.

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 5008 - NEVADA SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	100.00%	Υ

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	20.00%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	17.14%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>			
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met		
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA		
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)		
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to		
expulsions for greater than 10				
days in a school year.	be reported for the first			
	time in	2008.		

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	37.04%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	0.00%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	02.220/	(2.250/		NT / A
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				EEA(IJN)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below	re	This is indicate ported for time in	or to be or the firs	st
same age peers. d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
special education and related		TT1-:-:-	
services that is a result of	This is a new		
inappropriate identification	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		
(e.g. monitoring data, review			
of policies, practices, and			
procedures under 618(d), etc.).			

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)			
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new			
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	•	r the first			
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in 2008.				

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that			
is a result of inappropriate	This is a new indicator to be		
identification (e.g. monitoring			
data, review of policies, practices,	reported for the first time in 2008.		or the first
and procedures under 618(d),			
etc.).		time ii	n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 5102 - JASPER SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	1.64%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	Y	36.48%	32.00%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	96.88%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	10.75%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	8.54%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>		
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported i		
	time in 2008.		

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	67.08%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	2.48%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	02.220/	(2.250/		NT / A
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				EEA(IJN)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below	re	This is indicate ported for time in	or to be or the firs	st
same age peers. d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
special education and related services that is a result of	This is a new		
inappropriate identification	indicator to be		
(e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and	reported for the first time in 2008.		
procedures under 618(d), etc.).		time ii	n 2008.

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)		
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new		
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
days.	School Age (5-21)		ime m	2006.		

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Rate	Target	by LEA (Y/N)
75.76%	100.00%	N/A
	75.76%	

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 5106 - DEER/MT. JUDEA SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	14.29%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	34.29%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>		
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first		
	time in	2008.	

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	77.11%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	0.00%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				EEA(IJN)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

(State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for		st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported fo time in	or to be or the firs	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.			. 2000.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related		TT1-:-:-		
services that is a result of	This is a new			
inappropriate identification	indicator to be			
(e.g. monitoring data, review	reported for the first			
of policies, practices, and	time in 2008.			
procedures under 618(d), etc.).				

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator to be reported for the firs time in 2008.	
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2006.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Rate	Target	by LEA (Y/N)
75.76%	100.00%	N/A
	75.76%	

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	N

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 5201 - BEARDEN SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	3.85%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	13.89%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	13.79%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	D-1-	
compared to the same data for all	<u><1.24</u>	Data is not	
children in the district.		available	

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	J			
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met		
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA		
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)		
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to		
expulsions for greater than 10				
days in a school year.	be reported for the first time in 2008.			

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	72.46%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	11.59%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				EEA(IJN)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	1 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
special education and related services that is a result of		This is	a new
inappropriate identification	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		
(e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).			
procedures under oro(u), etc.).			

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicato ported fo time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		ime m	2006.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate		This is	a new
identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		or to be or the first

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 5204 - CAMDEN FAIRVIEW SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	6.72%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	95.41%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	20.32%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	24.10%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	•
compared to the same data for all	<u><1.24</u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	3			
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met		
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA		
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)		
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to		
expulsions for greater than 10				
days in a school year.	be reported t			
	time in	2008.		

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	60.47%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	9.73%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.29%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	92.229/	(2.250/		NT / A
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.			2000.		
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.				
who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.					
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	1 2008.		
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)		
special education and related		TT1-:-:-			
services that is a result of	This is a new				
inappropriate identification	indicator to be				
(e.g. monitoring data, review	reported for the first time in 2008.				
of policies, practices, and					
procedures under 618(d), etc.).					

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	1	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2008.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		This is indicate orted for time in	or to be or the first

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 5205 - HARMONY GROVE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	14.29%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	22.22%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>	Data is not	
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>		
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first		
	time in 2008.		

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	77.19%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	2.63%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or	2.60%	2.58%	0.00%	Y
homebound/hospital placements.				

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				EEA(IJN)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD	re	This is indicate ported fo time in	or to be or the firs	st
who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.				
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related		TT1-:-:-		
services that is a result of	This is a new			
inappropriate identification	indicator to be reported for the first			
(e.g. monitoring data, review				
of policies, practices, and	time in 2008.			
procedures under 618(d), etc.).				

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator ported for time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		line in	2006.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate	This is a new			
identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 5206 - STEPHENS SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	85.71%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	97.83%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	6.67%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	5.88%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to
expulsions for greater than 10		
days in a school year.	be reported	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	time ir	2008.

Note: - - denotes not applicable

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	78.16%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	1.15%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	1.15%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose	re	This is indicate ported fo time in	or to be or the firs	st
functioning remained below same age peers. d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
special education and related		TT1-:-:-	
services that is a result of	This is a new		
inappropriate identification	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		
(e.g. monitoring data, review			
of policies, practices, and			
procedures under 618(d), etc.).			

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)		
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new		
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2006.		

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate		This is	a new
identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		or to be or the first

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 5220 - SOUTH CENTRAL SERVICE CO-OP

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%		N/A

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%		N/A

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N/A	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N/A	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	1	N/A

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%		N/A
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%		N/A

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>		
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first time in 2008.		

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%		N/A

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%		N/A

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%		N/A

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	02.220/	(2.250)	04.000/	.,
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%	94.90%	Y

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for		st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.				
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related	This is a new			
services that is a result of				
inappropriate identification	indicator to be			
(e.g. monitoring data, review	reported for the first		or the first	
of policies, practices, and	time in 2008.			
procedures under 618(d), etc.).				

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicato ported fo time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2006.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	Y
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	N/A

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 5301 - EAST END SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	66.67%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	Υ	9.00%	21.43%	N
Mathematics	Y	36.48%	32.00%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	10.59%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	13.92%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	D-1-	
compared to the same data for all	<u><1.24</u>		is not
children in the district.		avai	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

, i , i	J		
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first time in 2008.		
	time in	1 2008.	

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	49.61%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	6.20%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	02.220/	(2.250/		NT / A
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD	re	This is indicate ported fo time in	or to be or the firs	st
who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.				
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
special education and related		TT1-:-:-	
services that is a result of	This is a new		
inappropriate identification	indicator to be		
(e.g. monitoring data, review	rep	orted fo	or the first
of policies, practices, and	time in 2008.		
procedures under 618(d), etc.).			

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicato ported fo time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		line in	2006.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate	This is a new			
identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		or to be or the first	

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 5303 - PERRYVILLE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	76.92%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	1.52%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	22.86%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	18.97%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>	Data is not	
children in the district.		available	

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>		
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first time in 2008.		

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	35.33%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	14.67%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	00.000/	(2.250)		27/4
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				EEA(IJN)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for		st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
special education and related			
services that is a result of	This is a new		
inappropriate identification	indicator to be		
(e.g. monitoring data, review	reported for the first		
of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	time in 2008.		
procedures under 010(u), etc.).			

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicato ported fo time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2008.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Rate	Target	by LEA (Y/N)
75.76%	100.00%	N/A
	75.76%	

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Υ

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 5401 - BARTON-LEXA SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	10.64%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	92.59%	N

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	18.00%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	21.05%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>	Data is not	
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	3			
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met		
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA		
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)		
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to		
expulsions for greater than 10				
days in a school year.	be reported t			
	time in	2008.		

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	31.18%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	7.53%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	02.220/	(2.250/		NT / A
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
comparable to same age peers.					
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	time in 2008.				
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.				
same age peers. d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
comparable to same age peers.					
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	1 2008.		
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related services that is a result of	This is a new			
inappropriate identification	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
(e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).				

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicato ported fo time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2008.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).				

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	N
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	N

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 5403 - HELENA/ W.HELENA SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	82.76%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	3.75%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	95.43%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	9.58%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	10.60%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	D-1-	:t
compared to the same data for all	<u><1.24</u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>			
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met		
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA		
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)		
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to		
expulsions for greater than 10				
days in a school year.	be reported for the first			
	time in	2008.		

Note: - - denotes not applicable

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	21.66%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	27.89%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	1.78%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	00.000/	(2.250/		27/4
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for		st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.		
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related services that is a result of	This is a new			
inappropriate identification	indicator to be			
(e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	reported for the first time in 2008.			

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicato ported fo time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		line in	2006.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	N

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 5404 - MARVELL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	33.33%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	6.35%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	93.75%	N

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	5.33%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	2.86%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>	Data is not	
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	3			
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met		
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA		
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)		
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to		
expulsions for greater than 10				
days in a school year.	be reported t			
	time in	2008.		

Note: - - denotes not applicable

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	39.26%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	2.22%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	2.22%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				EEA(IJN)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below	re	This is indicate ported for time in	or to be or the firs	st
same age peers. d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	1 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related services that is a result of	This is a new			
inappropriate identification	indicator to be			
(e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and	reported for the first			
procedures under 618(d), etc.).	time in 2008.			

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)		
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is	a new		
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2006.		

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	N
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	N

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 5420 - GREAT RIVERS CO-OP

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%		N/A

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%		N/A

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N/A	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N/A	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	1	N/A

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%		N/A
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%		N/A

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>	
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to
expulsions for greater than 10		
days in a school year.	be reported t	
	time in	2008.

Note: - - denotes not applicable

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%		N/A

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%		N/A

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%		N/A

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%	98.47%	Y

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.			a new or to be or the fir	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time i	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for		st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.				
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related	This is a new			
services that is a result of				
inappropriate identification	indicator to be			
(e.g. monitoring data, review	reported for the first		or the first	
of policies, practices, and	time in 2008.			
procedures under 618(d), etc.).				

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicato ported fo time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2006.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	Y
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	N/A

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 5440 - KIPP:DELTA COLLEGE PREP SCHOOL

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%		N/A

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	10.00%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	0.00%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>		
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first		
	time in	2008.	

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	0.00%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	0.00%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				EEA(IJN)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below	re	This is indicate ported for time in	or to be or the firs	st
same age peers. d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD	re	This is indicate ported fo time in	or to be or the firs	st
who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.				
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related services that is a result of		This is	a new	
inappropriate identification	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
(e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).				
procedures under oro(u), etc.).				

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicato ported fo time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2008.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	N
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	N

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 5501 - DELIGHT SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	96.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	8.33%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	15.79%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

11) 0 tisp 01101014 2:tp tilo1011			
Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Doto	is not
compared to the same data for all	<u>~1.24</u>		
children in the district.		ava	ilable
	•		

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>		
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first		
	time in	2008.	

Note: - - denotes not applicable

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	31.82%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	2.27%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	02.220/	(2.250/		NT / A
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	1 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
special education and related services that is a result of	This is a new		
inappropriate identification	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		
(e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).			

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicato ported fo time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)	time in	2006.	

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.	

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 5502 - CENTERPOINT SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	87.50%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	98.86%	Υ

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	11.49%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	7.69%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>	Data is not	
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<i>y</i>			
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met		
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA		
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)		
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to		
expulsions for greater than 10				
days in a school year.	be reported			
	time ir	1 2008.		

Note: - - denotes not applicable

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	43.80%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	3.65%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	00.000/	(2.250)		27/4
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				LEA(Y/N)	
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	time in 2008.				
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.				
same age peers. d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	time in 2008.				
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)		
special education and related					
services that is a result of	This is a new				
inappropriate identification	indicator to be				
(e.g. monitoring data, review	reported for the first				
of policies, practices, and	time in 2008.				
procedures under 618(d), etc.).					

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicato ported fo time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2006.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Rate	Target	by LEA (Y/N)
75.76%	100.00%	N/A
	75.76%	

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 5503 - KIRBY SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	8.33%	N
Proficiency Rate:	18.98%	18.54%	3.13%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>			
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met		
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA		
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)		
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to		
expulsions for greater than 10				
days in a school year.	be reported for the first			
	time in	2008.		

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	57.41%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	5.56%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	00.000/	(2.250)		27/4
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				EEA(IJN)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose	re	This is indicate ported fo time in	or to be or the firs	st
functioning remained below same age peers. d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.				
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related		TT1-:-:-		
services that is a result of	This is a new			
inappropriate identification	indicator to be reported for the first			
(e.g. monitoring data, review				
of policies, practices, and	time in 2008.			
procedures under 618(d), etc.).				

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)		
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new		
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
days.	School Age (5-21)					

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate	This is a new			
identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		or to be or the first	

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Rate	Target	by LEA (Y/N)
75.76%	100.00%	N/A
	75.76%	

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Υ

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	N
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	N

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 5504 - MURFREESBORO SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	4.55%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	96.36%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	9.43%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	20.93%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	3			
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met		
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA		
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)		
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to		
expulsions for greater than 10				
days in a school year.	be reported t			
	time in	2008.		

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	30.00%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	10.00%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	02.220/	(2.250/		NT / A
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	•	or to be or the fir	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD	re	This is indicate ported fo time in	or to be or the firs	st
who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.				
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.					
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	1 2008.		
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
special education and related services that is a result of		This is	a new
inappropriate identification	indicator to be		
(e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	reported for the first time in 2008.		

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicato ported fo time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2006.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Rate	Target	by LEA (Y/N)
75.76%	100.00%	N/A
	75.76%	

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 5602 - HARRISBURG SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	85.71%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	9.09%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	98.82%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	14.29%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	18.67%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

11) 0 tisp 01101014 2:tp tilo1011			
Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Doto	is not
compared to the same data for all	<u>~1.24</u>		
children in the district.		ava	ilable
	•		

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>		
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first		
	time in	2008.	

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	43.60%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	6.98%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	02.220/	(2.250/		NT / A
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
comparable to same age peers. b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD	re	•		st
who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.				
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for		st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			st
who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.				
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related services that is a result of	This is a new			
inappropriate identification	indicator to be			
(e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	reported for the first time in 2008.			

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is	a new	
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2006.	

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate	This is a new			
identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		or to be or the first	

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	N

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 5604 - MARKED TREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	10.64%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	98.46%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	12.50%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	12.28%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>		
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first		
	time in	2008.	

Note: - - denotes not applicable

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	38.21%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	19.51%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	02.220/	(2.250/		NT / A
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.			a new or to be or the fir	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time i	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for		st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.				
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related		TT1-:-:-		
services that is a result of	This is a new			
inappropriate identification	indicator to be			
(e.g. monitoring data, review	reported for the first time in 2008.			
of policies, practices, and				
procedures under 618(d), etc.).				

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicato ported fo time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		ime m	2006.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 5605 - TRUMANN SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	90.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	3.62%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	97.74%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	7.51%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	10.81%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>	Data is not	
children in the district.		available	

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met		
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA		
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)		
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to		
expulsions for greater than 10				
days in a school year.	be reported for the first			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	time in 2008.			

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	42.52%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	30.79%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.59%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	00.000/	(2.250)		27/4
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

a) Percent of preschool CWD	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time in	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.					
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.		
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
special education and related services that is a result of		This is	a new
inappropriate identification	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		
(e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).			
procedures under oro(u), etc.).			

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicato ported fo time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2008.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate		This is	a new
identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		or to be or the first

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	N

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 5607 - WEINER SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	11.54%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	16.67%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>		
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first		
	time in	2008.	

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	74.42%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	2.33%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				EEA(IJN)	
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time in 2008.			
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.				
functioning remained below same age peers. d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.					
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	time in 2008.				
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)		
special education and related	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first				
services that is a result of					
inappropriate identification					
(e.g. monitoring data, review					
of policies, practices, and	time in 2008.				
procedures under 618(d), etc.).					

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicato ported fo time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		ime m	2006.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Rate	Target	by LEA (Y/N)
75.76%	100.00%	N/A
	75.76%	

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 5608 - EAST POINSETT CO. SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	85.71%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	Υ	9.00%	21.43%	Y
Mathematics	Y	36.48%	32.00%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	95.83%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	16.30%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	18.99%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	J			
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met		
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA		
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)		
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to		
expulsions for greater than 10				
days in a school year.	be reported for the first time in 2008.			
	time ir	1 2008.		

Note: - - denotes not applicable

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	51.25%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	11.88%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				EEA(IJN)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below	re	This is indicate ported for time in	or to be or the firs	st
same age peers. d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related services that is a result of	This is a new			
inappropriate identification	indicator to be			
(e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and	reported for the first			
procedures under 618(d), etc.).	time in 2008.			

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Cl	iild Find			
Percent of children with		tate ate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator ported for time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2008.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate		This is	a new	
identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 5620 - CROWLEY'S RIDGE EDUCATIONAL CO-OP

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%		N/A

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%		N/A

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N/A	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N/A	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%		N/A

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%		N/A
Proficiency Rate:	18.98%	18.54%		N/A

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>	Data is not	
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	3			
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met		
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA		
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)		
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to		
expulsions for greater than 10				
days in a school year.	be reported t			
	time in	2008.		

Note: - - denotes not applicable

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%		N/A

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%		N/A

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%		N/A

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%	94.10%	Y

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				EEA(IJN)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.		
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.			. 2000.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related services that is a result of	This is a new			
inappropriate identification	indicator to be			
(e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and	reported for the first			
procedures under 618(d), etc.).	time in 2008.			

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)		
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is	a new		
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2006.		

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		This is indicate orted for time in	or to be or the first

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	Y
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	N/A

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 5703 - MENA SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	70.59%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	2.22%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	98.43%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	17.60%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	15.32%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>	
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to
expulsions for greater than 10		
days in a school year.	be reported t	
	time in	2008.

Note: - - denotes not applicable

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	54.58%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	9.17%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	02.220/	(2.250/		NT / A
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				EEA(IJN)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for		st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related				
services that is a result of	This is a new			
inappropriate identification	indicator to be			
(e.g. monitoring data, review	reported for the first			
of policies, practices, and				
procedures under 618(d), etc.).	time in 2008.			

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is	a new	
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2006.	

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Rate	Target	by LEA (Y/N)
75.76%	100.00%	N/A
	75.76%	

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	N

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 5704 - VAN COVE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	5.00%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	97.37%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	10.81%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	5.88%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	is not
compared to the same data for all	<u><1.24</u>		
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>		
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first		
	time in	2008.	

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	50.00%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	0.00%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	00.000/	(2.250/		27/4
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				EEA(IJN)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below	re	This is indicate ported for time in	or to be or the firs	st
same age peers. d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported fo time in	or to be or the firs	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.			. 2000.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related		TT1-:-:-		
services that is a result of	This is a new			
inappropriate identification	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
(e.g. monitoring data, review				
of policies, practices, and				
procedures under 618(d), etc.).				

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.	
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2006.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	N

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	N
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	N

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 5705 - WICKES SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	97.62%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	7.32%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	21.21%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	innat
compared to the same data for all	<u><1,24</u>	Data is not	
children in the district.		available	

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>		
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first time in 2008.		

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	46.84%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	8.86%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.				
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below	re	This is indicate ported for time in	or to be or the firs	st
same age peers. d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.				
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related services that is a result of		This is	a new	
inappropriate identification	indicator to be			
(e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and	reported for the first			
procedures under 618(d), etc.).	time in 2008.			

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator ported for time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2008.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		This is indicate orted for time in	or to be or the first

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Rate	Target	by LEA (Y/N)
75.76%	100.00%	N/A
	75.76%	

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 5706 - OUACHITA RIVER SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	3.03%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	10.20%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	14.58%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>	Data is not	
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	3			
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met		
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA		
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)		
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to		
expulsions for greater than 10				
days in a school year.	be reported t			
	time in	2008.		

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	48.39%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	2.15%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or	2.60%	2.58%	0.00%	Y
homebound/hospital placements.				

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	02.220/	(2.250/		NT / A
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by		
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				LEA(Y/N)		
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time in	n 2008.			
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.						

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.				
same age peers. d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	time in 2008.				
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related services that is a result of	This is a new			
inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review	indicator to be reported for the first			
of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	time in 2008.			

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicato ported fo time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2006.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		This is indicate orted for time in	or to be or the first

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Rate	Target	by LEA (Y/N)
75.76%	100.00%	N/A
	75.76%	

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	N
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	N

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 5801 - ATKINS SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	1.41%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	97.59%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	12.35%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	17.65%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>			
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met		
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA		
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)		
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to		
expulsions for greater than 10				
days in a school year.	be reported for the first			
	time in	2008.		

Note: - - denotes not applicable

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	49.34%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	8.55%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	00.000/	(2.250)		27/4
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				EEA(IJN)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose	re	This is indicate ported fo time in	or to be or the firs	st
functioning remained below same age peers. d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.			. 2000.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
special education and related services that is a result of	This is a new		
inappropriate identification	indicator to be		
(e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and	reported for the first time in 2008.		
procedures under 618(d), etc.).		time ii	n 2008.

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)		
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new		
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	•	r the first		
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in 2008.			

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		
identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).			

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 5802 - DOVER SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	97.65%	Υ

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	9.64%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	13.70%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

11) 0 tisp 01101014 2:tp tilo1011			
Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Doto	is not
compared to the same data for all	<u>~1.24</u>		
children in the district.		ava	ilable
	•		

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	3			
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met		
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA		
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)		
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to		
expulsions for greater than 10				
days in a school year.	be reported t			
	time in	2008.		

Note: - - denotes not applicable

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	67.25%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	16.96%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				EEA(IJN)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below	re	This is indicate ported for time in	or to be or the firs	st
same age peers. d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
special education and related services that is a result of		This is	a new
inappropriate identification	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		
(e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).			
procedures under oro(u), etc.).			

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)		
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new		
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
days.	School Age (5-21)		ime m	2006.		

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 5803 - HECTOR SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	2.94%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	30.23%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	17.95%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

11) o mop onorony zarp wronon	_		
Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Doto	is mot
compared to the same data for all	<u><1.24</u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable
	•		

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>		
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported t		
	time in 2008.		

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	49.44%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	16.85%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	00.000/	(2.250)		27/4
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.			a new or to be or the fir	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time i	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

(State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for		st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	1 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related	This is a new			
services that is a result of				
inappropriate identification	indicator to be			
(e.g. monitoring data, review	reported for the first		or the first	
of policies, practices, and	time in 2008.			
procedures under 618(d), etc.).				

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)		
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is	a new		
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2006.		

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	N
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	N

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 5804 - POTTSVILLE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	1.45%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	95.29%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	12.35%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	22.06%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>		
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first		
	time in	2008.	

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	48.13%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	13.75%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				EEA(IJN)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for		st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
comparable to same age peers. b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD	re	This is indicate ported fo time in	or to be or the firs	st
who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.				
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
special education and related services that is a result of		This is	a new
inappropriate identification	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		
(e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).			

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicato ported fo time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2008.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		
identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).			

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Rate	Target	by LEA (Y/N)
75.76%	100.00%	N/A
	75.76%	

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 5805 - RUSSELLVILLE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	96.55%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	5.24%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	97.47%	Υ

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	23.70%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	33.33%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

11) 0 tisp 01101014 2:tp tilo1011			
Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Doto	is mot
compared to the same data for all	<u>~1.24</u>	Data is not	
children in the district.		ava	ilable
	•		

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first		
	time in	2008.	

Note: - - denotes not applicable

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	58.02%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	11.40%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.83%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				LEA(IJN)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time in	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
comparable to same age peers. b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.				
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
special education and related services that is a result of	This is a new		
inappropriate identification	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		
(e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).			

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicato ported fo time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2008.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Rate	Target	by LEA (Y/N)
75.76%	100.00%	N/A
	75.76%	

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	N

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	new to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 5901 - DES ARC SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	14.63%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	34.29%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

11) 0 tisp 01101014 2:tp tilo1011			
Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Doto	is not
compared to the same data for all	<u>~1.24</u>		
children in the district.		ava	ilable
	•		

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>		
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first time in 2008.		

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	48.84%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	0.00%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	3.49%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	02.220/	(2.250/		NT / A
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.					
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.			a new or to be or the fir	st	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time in 2008.			
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.				
same age peers. d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	time in 2008.				
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)		
special education and related		TT1-:-:-			
services that is a result of	This is a new				
inappropriate identification	indicator to be reported for the first				
(e.g. monitoring data, review					
of policies, practices, and	time in 2008.				
procedures under 618(d), etc.).					

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicato ported fo time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2008.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 5903 - HAZEN SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	83.33%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	97.78%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	18.18%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	26.32%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>		
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first		
	time in	2008.	

Note: - - denotes not applicable

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	51.72%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	8.05%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or	2.60%	2.58%	2.30%	Y
homebound/hospital placements.				

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below	re	This is indicate ported for time in	or to be or the firs	st
same age peers. d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
comparable to same age peers. b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.				
who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.					
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related				
services that is a result of	This is a new			
inappropriate identification	indicator to be			
(e.g. monitoring data, review	reported for the first			
of policies, practices, and				
procedures under 618(d), etc.).	time in 2008.			

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)		
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new		
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
days.	School Age (5-21)		ime m	2006.		

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate	This is a new			
identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		or to be or the first	

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Rate	Target	by LEA (Y/N)
75.76%	100.00%	N/A
	75.76%	

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Υ

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	N
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	N

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 6001 - LITTLE ROCK SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	84.00%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	0.65%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	Υ	9.00%	21.43%	N
Mathematics	Y	36.48%	32.00%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	94.42%	N

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	7.26%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	8.86%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

11) 0 tisp 01101014 2:tp tilo1011			
Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Doto	is not
compared to the same data for all	<u>~</u> 1.24		
children in the district.		ava	ilable
	•		

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	3			
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met		
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA		
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)		
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to		
expulsions for greater than 10				
days in a school year.	be reported for the first			
	time in	2008.		

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	58.12%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	13.88%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	5.97%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	00.000/	(2.250)		27/4
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below	re	This is indicate ported for time in	or to be or the firs	st
same age peers. d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.		
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related		TT1-:-:-		
services that is a result of	This is a new			
inappropriate identification	indicator to be reported for the first			
(e.g. monitoring data, review				
of policies, practices, and	time in 2008.			
procedures under 618(d), etc.).				

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)		
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new		
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
days.	School Age (5-21)		line in	2006.		

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Rate	Target	by LEA (Y/N)
75.76%	100.00%	N/A
	75.76%	

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 6002 - N. LITTLE ROCK SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	88.73%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	7.28%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	Υ	9.00%	21.43%	N
Mathematics	Y	36.48%	32.00%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	98.32%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	5.40%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	7.66%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	is not
compared to the same data for all	<u><1.24</u>		
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

, 1 , 1	J	
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to
expulsions for greater than 10	be reported to	
days in a school year.		
	time in	1 2008.

Note: - - denotes not applicable

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	60.02%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	13.77%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	4.01%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%	91.88%	Y

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	•	or to be or the firs	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related	This is a new			
services that is a result of				
inappropriate identification	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
(e.g. monitoring data, review				
of policies, practices, and				
procedures under 618(d), etc.).				

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is	a new	
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator to be reported for the firs time in 2008.		
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2006.	

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	N

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	N
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	N

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 6003 - PULASKI CO. SPEC. SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	61.81%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	7.10%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	Υ	9.00%	21.43%	N
Mathematics	Y	36.48%	32.00%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	98.65%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	8.13%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	11.38%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>		
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first		
	time in	2008.	

Note: - - denotes not applicable

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	55.67%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	12.33%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	6.57%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	02.220/	(2.250/		NT / A
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

a) Percent of preschool CWD	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	•	or to be or the firs	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate	or to be or the firs	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	1 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related		TT1-:-:-		
services that is a result of	This is a new			
inappropriate identification	indicator to be			
(e.g. monitoring data, review	rep	orted fo	or the first	
of policies, practices, and	time in 2008.			
procedures under 618(d), etc.).				

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicato ported fo time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2008.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that			
is a result of inappropriate	This is a new indicator to be		
identification (e.g. monitoring			
data, review of policies, practices,	reported for the first		or the first
and procedures under 618(d),	time in 2008.		
etc.).		time ii	n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 6040 - ACADEMIC PLUS

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%		N/A

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%		N/A

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	100.00%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>	Data is not	
children in the district.		available	

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>		
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first		
	time in	2008.	

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	100.00%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	0.00%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	02.220/	(2.250/		NT / A
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				LEA(Y/N)	
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time in	n 2008.		
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for		st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
special education and related		TT1-:-:-	
services that is a result of	This is a new		
inappropriate identification	indicator to be		
(e.g. monitoring data, review	reported for the first time in 2008.		
of policies, practices, and			
procedures under 618(d), etc.).			

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicato ported fo time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		line in	2006.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Rate	Target	by LEA (Y/N)
75.76%	100.00%	N/A
	75.76%	

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 6041 - LISA ACADEMY CHARTER SCHOOL

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%		N/A

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	100.00%	Υ

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	50.00%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	25.00%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>	
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to
expulsions for greater than 10		
days in a school year.	be reported t	
	time in	2008.

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	75.00%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	0.00%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	00.000/	(2.250)		27/4
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				EEA(IJN)	
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time in 2008.			
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.					
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	time in 2008.				
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	time in 2008.				
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related services that is a result of	This is a new			
inappropriate identification	indicator to be			
(e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	reported for the first time in 2008.			

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)		
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is	a new		
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator to be reported for the fir time in 2008.			
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2008.		

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)		
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate	This is a new				
identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.				

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Rate	Target	by LEA (Y/N)
75.76%	100.00%	N/A
	75.76%	

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	N
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	N

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 6091 - AR SCHOOL FOR THE BLIND

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	55.56%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	69.23%	N

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	38.89%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	29.41%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>			
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met		
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA		
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)		
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to		
expulsions for greater than 10				
days in a school year.	be reported for the first			
	time in	2008.		

Note: - - denotes not applicable

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%		N/A

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%		N/A

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	100.00%	N/A

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	02.220/	(2.250/	100 000/	.,
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	•	or to be or the fir	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Targe Met b LEA(Y	y
children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.					
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.		
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	1 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
special education and related services that is a result of	This is a new		
inappropriate identification	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		
(e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).			

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)		
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new		
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	•	r the first		
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in 2008.			

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 6092 - AR SCHOOL FOR THE DEAF

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	88.89%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	3.45%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	Υ	9.00%	21.43%	N
Mathematics	Y	36.48%	32.00%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	98.55%	Υ

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	4.41%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	0.00%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>	Data is not	
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>			
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met		
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA		
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)		
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to		
expulsions for greater than 10				
days in a school year.	be reported i			
	time in	2008.		

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%		N/A

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%		N/A

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	100.00%	N/A

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	02.220/	(0.050/	100 000/	.,
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				EEA(IJN)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for		st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.			. 2000.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related services that is a result of	This is a new			
inappropriate identification	indicator to be			
(e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and	reported for the first			
procedures under 618(d), etc.).	time in 2008.			

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)		
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is	a new		
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2006.		

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		This is indicate orted for time in	or to be or the first

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	N

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 6102 - MAYNARD SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate: Number of CWD Tested ÷	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	97.62%	Υ

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	7.32%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	23.68%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>		
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first		
	time in	2008.	

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	62.03%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	2.53%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

a) Percent of preschool CWD	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below	re	This is indicate ported for time in	or to be or the firs	st
same age peers. d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
comparable to same age peers. b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD	re	This is indicate ported fo time in	or to be or the firs	st
who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.				
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Page 2 of 3 Note: - - denotes not applicable

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related	This is a new indicator to be			
services that is a result of				
inappropriate identification				
(e.g. monitoring data, review	reported for the first time in 2008.			
of policies, practices, and				
procedures under 618(d), etc.).				

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new	
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator to be reported for the fire time in 2008.		
days.	School Age (5-21)		line in	2006.	

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	new to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 6103 - POCAHONTAS SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	94.44%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	97.52%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	16.95%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	21.15%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>		
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first time in 2008.		

Note: - - denotes not applicable

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	64.75%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	7.91%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.72%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	00.000/	(0.050/	07.000/	.,
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%	97.92%	Y

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				,
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time in	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD	re	This is indicate ported fo time in	or to be or the firs	st
who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.				
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related		TT1-:-:-		
services that is a result of	This is a new			
inappropriate identification	indicator to be			
(e.g. monitoring data, review	rep	orted fo	or the first	
of policies, practices, and	time in 2008.			
procedures under 618(d), etc.).				

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicato ported fo time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2006.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		
identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).			

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	Y
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 6201 - FORREST CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	92.86%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	5.67%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	97.32%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	10.00%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	12.93%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

11) 0 tisp 01101014 2:tp tilo1011			
Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Doto	is mot
compared to the same data for all	<u>~</u> 1.24	Data is not	
children in the district.		ava	ilable
	•		

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>		
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first time in 2008.		

Note: - - denotes not applicable

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	68.22%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	9.31%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.40%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	02.220/	(2.250/		NT / A
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				EEA(IJN)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for		st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
special education and related		mar.	
services that is a result of	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		
inappropriate identification			
(e.g. monitoring data, review			
of policies, practices, and			
procedures under 618(d), etc.).			

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicato ported fo time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		ime m	2006.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 6202 - HUGHES SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	8.33%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	94.74%	N

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	3.70%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	6.98%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

11) 0 mop 01101017 2mp 41101011	_		
Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Doto	is mot
compared to the same data for all	<u><1.24</u>	Data is not	
children in the district.		ava	ilable
	•		

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	3			
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met		
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA		
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)		
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to		
expulsions for greater than 10				
days in a school year.	be reported for the first			
	time in	2008.		

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	18.09%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	8.51%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	2.13%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	02.220/	(2.250/		NT / A
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.		
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

(State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.					
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.					
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.			. 2000.		
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)		
special education and related	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.				
services that is a result of					
inappropriate identification					
(e.g. monitoring data, review					
of policies, practices, and					
procedures under 618(d), etc.).					

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicato ported fo time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		ime m	2006.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Rate	Target	by LEA (Y/N)
75.76%	100.00%	N/A
	75.76%	

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	N

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 6205 - PALESTINE-WHEATLEY SCH. DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	0.00%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	97.56%	Υ

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	12.50%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	16.13%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>			
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met		
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA		
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)		
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to		
expulsions for greater than 10				
days in a school year.	be reported for the first			
	time in	2008.		

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	50.00%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	3.41%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	12.50%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	00.000/	(2.250)		27/4
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				EEA(IJN)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below	re	This is indicate ported for time in	or to be or the firs	st
same age peers. d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.		
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related services that is a result of	This is a new			
inappropriate identification	indicator to be			
(e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and	reported for the first			
procedures under 618(d), etc.).	time in 2008.			

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicato ported fo time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2006.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		This is indicate orted for time in	or to be or the first

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	N

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	N
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	N

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 6301 - BAUXITE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	80.00%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	1.23%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	98.11%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	15.38%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	19.77%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met		
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA		
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)		
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to		
expulsions for greater than 10				
days in a school year.	be reported			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	time ir	2008.		

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	65.52%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	1.72%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	2.87%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	02.220/	(2.250/		NT / A
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				EEA(IJN)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below	re	This is indicate ported for time in	or to be or the firs	st
same age peers. d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.		
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related services that is a result of	This is a new			
inappropriate identification	indicator to be			
(e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and	reported for the first time in 2008.			
procedures under 618(d), etc.).		time ii	n 2008.	

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)		
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new		
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	•	r the first		
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in 2008.			

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).			or to be or the first

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Rate	Target	by LEA (Y/N)
75.76%	100.00%	N/A
	75.76%	

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 6302 - BENTON SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	84.62%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	2.33%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	95.59%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	18.09%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	19.61%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

11) 0 tisp 01101014 2:tp tilo1011			
Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Doto	is not
compared to the same data for all	<u>~1.24</u>		
children in the district.		ava	ilable
	•		

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>	
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to
expulsions for greater than 10		
days in a school year.	be reported t	
	time in	2008.

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	46.42%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	10.85%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	3.25%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	02.220/	(2.250/		NT / A
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level	1	ate ate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
comparable to same age peers.					
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.		re	This is indicate ported for		st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.			time i	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below	re	This is indicate ported for time in	or to be or the firs	st
same age peers. d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related				
services that is a result of	This is a new indicator to be			
inappropriate identification				
(e.g. monitoring data, review	reported for the first		or the first	
of policies, practices, and	time in 2008.			
procedures under 618(d), etc.).				

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)		
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new		
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2008.		

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate	This is a new		
identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		or to be or the first

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Rate	Target	by LEA (Y/N)
75.76%	100.00%	N/A
	75.76%	

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 6303 - BRYANT SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	92.31%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	4.27%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	Y	9.00%	21.43%	
Mathematics	Y	36.48%	32.00%	Y

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	83.48%	N

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	21.86%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	30.95%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

11) 0 tisp 01101014 2:tp tilo1011			
Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Doto	is not
compared to the same data for all	<u>~1.24</u>		
children in the district.		ava	ilable
	•		

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>		
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first		
	time in	2008.	

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	46.25%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	18.74%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or	2.60%	2.58%	5.46%	N
homebound/hospital placements.				

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	00.000/	(2.250)		27/4
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				LEA(IJN)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time in	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below	re	This is indicate ported for time in	or to be or the firs	st
same age peers. d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported fo time in	or to be or the firs	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.			. 2000.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
special education and related services that is a result of		This is	a new
inappropriate identification	indicator to be		
(e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and	reported for the first time in 2008.		
procedures under 618(d), etc.).		time ii	n 2008.

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicato ported fo time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2008.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).			

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	N

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 6304 - HARMONY GROVE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	66.67%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	98.77%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	8.75%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	16.22%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	D-1-	
compared to the same data for all	<u><1.24</u>	Data is not	
children in the district.		available	

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>		
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first		
	time in	2008.	

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	58.00%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	4.00%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	1.33%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for		st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.					
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	1 2008.		
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
special education and related services that is a result of	This is a new		
inappropriate identification	indicator to be		
(e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	reported for the first time in 2008.		

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicato ported fo time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2008.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).			or to be or the first

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 6401 - WALDRON SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	91.67%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	1.25%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	6.96%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	13.46%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>	Data is not	
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	3			
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met		
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA		
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)		
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to		
expulsions for greater than 10				
days in a school year.	be reported for the first			
	time in	2008.		

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	71.83%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	4.23%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.94%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	02.220/	(2.250/		NT / A
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.				
same age peers. d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	1 2008.		
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related		TT1-:-:-		
services that is a result of	This is a new			
inappropriate identification	indicator to be			
(e.g. monitoring data, review	reported for the first time in 2008.			
of policies, practices, and				
procedures under 618(d), etc.).				

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicato ported fo time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2006.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 6502 - SEARCY COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	92.86%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	4.62%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N
Mathematics	Y	36.48%	32.00%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	98.85%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	8.14%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	18.84%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>	Data is not	
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	3			
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met		
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA		
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)		
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to		
expulsions for greater than 10				
days in a school year.	be reported for the first			
	time in	2008.		

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	93.46%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	2.61%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	02.220/	(2.250/	100 000/	.,
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for		st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.		
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related		TT1-:-:-		
services that is a result of	This is a new			
inappropriate identification	indicator to be reported for the first			
(e.g. monitoring data, review				
of policies, practices, and	time in 2008.			
procedures under 618(d), etc.).				

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicato ported fo time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2006.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Rate	Target	by LEA (Y/N)
75.76%	100.00%	N/A
	75.76%	

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	N

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	N
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	Y
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	N

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 6505 - OZARK MOUNTAIN SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	98.75%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	15.19%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	15.15%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	is not
compared to the same data for all	<u><1.24</u>		
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>			
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met		
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA		
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)		
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to		
expulsions for greater than 10				
days in a school year.	be reported t			
	time in	2008.		

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	73.51%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	0.00%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.66%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time in	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for		st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
comparable to same age peers. b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD	re	This is indicate ported fo time in	or to be or the firs	st
who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.				
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
special education and related			
services that is a result of	This is a new		
inappropriate identification	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		
(e.g. monitoring data, review			
of policies, practices, and			
procedures under 618(d), etc.).		time ii	11 2008.

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10° is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicato ported fo time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2006.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that			
is a result of inappropriate	This is a new		
identification (e.g. monitoring	indicator to be		or to be
data, review of policies, practices,	ren	orted fo	or the first
and procedures under 618(d),	1CP		
etc.).		time ii	n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

Page 3 of 3 Note: - - denotes not applicable

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 6601 - FORT SMITH SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	70.54%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	3.06%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	Υ	9.00%	21.43%	N
Mathematics	Y	36.48%	32.00%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	95.60%	Υ

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	11.74%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	18.85%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	•
compared to the same data for all	<u><1.24</u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	3			
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met		
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA		
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)		
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to		
expulsions for greater than 10				
days in a school year.	be reported t			
	time in	2008.		

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	30.57%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	17.49%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	2.18%	Υ

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	02.220/	(2.250/	06.200/	
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%	96.38%	Y

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				EEA(IJN)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for		st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported fo time in	or to be or the firs	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.			. 2000.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
special education and related services that is a result of	This is a new		a new
inappropriate identification	indicator to be		
(e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and	reported for the first time in 2008.		
procedures under 618(d), etc.).		time ii	n 2008.

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)		
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new		
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
days.	School Age (5-21)					

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Rate	Target	by LEA (Y/N)
75.76%	100.00%	N/A
	75.76%	

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	Y
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 6602 - GREENWOOD SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	80.00%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	5.00%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	98.40%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	22.70%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	24.54%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	is not
compared to the same data for all	<u><1.24</u>		
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>		
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first		
	time in	2008.	

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	65.72%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	7.09%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.71%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	00.000/	(2.250)		27/4
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below	re	This is indicate ported for time in	or to be or the firs	st
same age peers. d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD	re	This is indicate ported fo time in	or to be or the firs	st
who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.				
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related		TT1-:-:-		
services that is a result of	This is a new			
inappropriate identification	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
(e.g. monitoring data, review				
of policies, practices, and				
procedures under 618(d), etc.).				

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new	
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2008.	

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Rate	Target	by LEA (Y/N)
75.76%	100.00%	N/A
	75.76%	

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Υ

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 6603 - HACKETT SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	66.67%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	2.56%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	95.12%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	5.13%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	0.00%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>	Data is not	
children in the district.		available	

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>		
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first time in 2008.		

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	40.28%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	11.11%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				EEA(IJN)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
same age peers. d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
special education and related services that is a result of	This is a new		a new
inappropriate identification	indicator to be		
(e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and	reported for the first time in 2008.		
procedures under 618(d), etc.).		time ii	n 2008.

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	1	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2008.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate		This is	a new
identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		or to be or the first

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Rate	Target	by LEA (Y/N)
75.76%	100.00%	N/A
	75.76%	

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Υ

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 6604 - HARTFORD SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	94.74%	N

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	16.67%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	26.47%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>	Data is not	
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>		
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first		
	time in	2008.	

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	50.00%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	19.12%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	1.47%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	02.220/	(2.250/		NT / A
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				EEA(IJN)	
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time in 2008.			
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.					
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.		
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	time in 2008.				
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)		
special education and related		TT1-:-:-			
services that is a result of	This is a new				
inappropriate identification	indicator to be reported for the first				
(e.g. monitoring data, review					
of policies, practices, and	time in 2008.				
procedures under 618(d), etc.).					

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)		
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new		
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator to be reported for the firs time in 2008.			
days.	School Age (5-21)		ime m	2006.		

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	new to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 6605 - LAVACA SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	2.13%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	98.55%	Υ

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	8.82%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	11.48%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>			
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met		
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA		
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)		
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to		
expulsions for greater than 10				
days in a school year.	be reported for the first			
	time in	2008.		

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	52.73%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	3.64%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	00.000/	(2.250/		27/4
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for		st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.					
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.		
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related		TT1-:-:-		
services that is a result of	This is a new			
inappropriate identification	indicator to be			
(e.g. monitoring data, review	reported for the first			
of policies, practices, and	time in 2008.			
procedures under 618(d), etc.).				

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	1	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2008.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Rate	Target	by LEA (Y/N)
75.76%	100.00%	N/A
	75.76%	

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 6606 - MANSFIELD SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	2.38%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	98.59%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	1.43%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	1.61%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	3			
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met		
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA		
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)		
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to		
expulsions for greater than 10				
days in a school year.	be reported t			
	time in	2008.		

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	60.17%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	7.63%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	02.220/	(2.250/		NT / A
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.				
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
special education and related			
services that is a result of	This is a new		
inappropriate identification	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		
(e.g. monitoring data, review			
of policies, practices, and			
procedures under 618(d), etc.).		time ii	11 2008.

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)		
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is	a new		
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2006.		

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		This is indicate orted for time in	or to be or the first

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Υ

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 6701 - DEQUEEN SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	1.11%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	96.36%	Υ

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	22.01%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	17.93%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>	
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to
expulsions for greater than 10		
days in a school year.	be reported i	
	time in	2008.

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	35.36%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	16.35%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.76%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	00.000/	(2.250)		27/4
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	"	ate ate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.		re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.			time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below	re	This is indicate ported for time in	or to be or the firs	st
same age peers. d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported fo time in	or to be or the firs	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.			. 2000.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
special education and related services that is a result of	This is a new		
inappropriate identification	indicator to be		
(e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	reported for the first time in 2008.		

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)		
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new		
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
days.	School Age (5-21)		ime m	2006.		

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		This is indicate orted for time in	or to be or the first

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 6703 - HORATIO SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	98.00%	Υ

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	16.33%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	27.91%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>		
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first		
	time in	2008.	

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	60.87%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	6.52%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	00.000/	(2.250/		27/4
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported fo time in	or to be or the fir	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.			2000.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for		st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported fo time in	or to be or the firs	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.			. 2000.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
special education and related services that is a result of		This is	a new
inappropriate identification	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		
(e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).			

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicato ported fo time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2006.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	N
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	N

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 6720 - DEQUEEN/MENA EDUCATIONAL CO-OP

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%		N/A

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%		N/A

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N/A	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N/A	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	1	N/A

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%		N/A
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%		N/A

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>	Data is not available	
children in the district.			

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>		
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first		
	time in	2008.	

Note: - - denotes not applicable

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%		N/A

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%		N/A

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%		N/A

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%	86.50%	Y

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				EEA(IJN)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.					
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.		
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.			. 2000.		
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
special education and related services that is a result of	This is a new		
inappropriate identification	indicator to be		
(e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	reported for the first time in 2008.		

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator ported for time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2006.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate	This is a new		
identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		or to be or the first

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their	75.76%	100.00%	N
third birthday.			

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	new to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	Y
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	N/A

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 6802 - CAVE CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	90.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	97.32%	Υ

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	15.60%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	16.67%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>		
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first		
	time in	2008.	

Note: - - denotes not applicable

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	47.14%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	6.19%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.95%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				,
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time in	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for		st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
comparable to same age peers. b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.				
who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.					
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related services that is a result of	This is a new			
inappropriate identification	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
(e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).				

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicato ported fo time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2006.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 6804 - HIGHLAND SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	81.25%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	96.84%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	21.74%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	25.35%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>			
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met		
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA		
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)		
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to		
expulsions for greater than 10				
days in a school year.	be reported for the first			
	time in	2008.		

Note: - - denotes not applicable

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	46.57%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	13.73%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	1.47%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	02.220/	(2.250/		NT / A
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	"	ate ate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.		re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.			time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose	re	This is indicate ported fo time in	or to be or the firs	st
functioning remained below same age peers. d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	1 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related				
services that is a result of	This is a new			
inappropriate identification	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
(e.g. monitoring data, review				
of policies, practices, and				
procedures under 618(d), etc.).				

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator ported for time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2008.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate	This is a new			
identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Υ

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 6806 - TWIN RIVERS SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	71.43%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	2.33%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	98.11%	Υ

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	5.77%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	8.89%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	,			
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met		
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA		
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)		
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to		
expulsions for greater than 10				
days in a school year.	be reported			
	time ir	1 2008.		

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	35.00%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	3.00%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	2.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				,
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time in	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for		st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.				
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
special education and related		TT1-:-:-	
services that is a result of	This is a new		
inappropriate identification	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		
(e.g. monitoring data, review			
of policies, practices, and			
procedures under 618(d), etc.).			

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicato ported fo time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2006.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 6901 - MOUNTAIN VIEW SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	81.25%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	2.86%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	95.42%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	23.97%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	36.03%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>	
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to
expulsions for greater than 10		
days in a school year.	be reported t	
	time in	2008.

Note: - - denotes not applicable

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	55.60%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	16.99%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.77%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below	re	This is indicate ported for time in	or to be or the firs	st
same age peers. d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
special education and related services that is a result of	This is a new		
inappropriate identification	indicator to be		
(e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and	reported for the first time in 2008.		
procedures under 618(d), etc.).		time ii	n 2008.

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)		
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new		
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2008.		

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Rate	Target	by LEA (Y/N)
75.76%	100.00%	N/A
	75.76%	

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	N

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 7001 - EL DORADO SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	73.53%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	5.23%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	97.64%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	19.81%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	24.16%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>		
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first		
	time in	2008.	

Note: - - denotes not applicable

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	83.22%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	13.00%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	02.220/	(2.250/		NT / A
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				,
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time in	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for		st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD	re	This is indicate ported fo time in	or to be or the firs	st
who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.				
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
special education and related services that is a result of		This is	a new
inappropriate identification	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		
(e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).			

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicato ported fo time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2006.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Rate	Target	by LEA (Y/N)
75.76%	100.00%	N/A
	75.76%	

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	N

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 7003 - JUNCTION CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	19.57%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	18.42%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	D-1-	
compared to the same data for all	<u><1.24</u>	Data is not	
children in the district.		available	

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>			
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met		
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA		
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)		
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to		
expulsions for greater than 10				
days in a school year.	be reported for the first time in 2008.			

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	90.22%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	2.17%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	02.220/	(2.250/		NT / A
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				EEA(IJN)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below	re	This is indicate ported for time in	or to be or the firs	st
same age peers. d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.		
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
special education and related services that is a result of	This is a new		
inappropriate identification	indicator to be		
(e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	reported for the first time in 2008.		

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator ported for time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2006.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate		This is	a new
identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		or to be or the first

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Rate	Target	by LEA (Y/N)
75.76%	100.00%	N/A
	75.76%	

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 7006 - NORPHLET SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	96.67%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	10.34%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	20.83%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	D-1-	
compared to the same data for all	<u><1.24</u>		is not
children in the district.		avai	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>		
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first		
	time in	2008.	

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	88.71%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	0.00%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	Sta Ra	ite ite	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.		re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.			time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose	re	st		
functioning remained below same age peers. d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.		
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related		TT1-:-:-		
services that is a result of	This is a new			
inappropriate identification	indicator to be			
(e.g. monitoring data, review	reported for the first time in 2008.			
of policies, practices, and				
procedures under 618(d), etc.).				

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)		
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is	a new		
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2006.		

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 7007 - PARKERS CHAPEL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	95.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	15.79%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	16.67%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

11) 0 tisp 01101014 2:tp tilo1011			
Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Doto	is not
compared to the same data for all	<u>~1.24</u>		
children in the district.		ava	ilable
	•		

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>			
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met		
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA		
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)		
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to		
expulsions for greater than 10				
days in a school year.	be reported for the first			
	time in	2008.		

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	85.51%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	1.45%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	00.000/	(2.250/		27/4
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				EEA(IJN)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.		
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related services that is a result of		This is	a new	
inappropriate identification	indicator to be			
(e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	reported for the first time in 2008.			

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator ported for time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2006.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 7008 - SMACKOVER SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	15.91%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	12.50%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>	Data is not	
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	3			
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met		
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA		
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)		
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to		
expulsions for greater than 10				
days in a school year.	be reported			
	time ir	1 2008.		

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	83.52%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	3.30%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				EEA(IJN)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for		st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
comparable to same age peers. b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD	re	This is indicate ported fo time in	or to be or the firs	st
who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.				
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
special education and related services that is a result of		This is	a new
inappropriate identification	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		
(e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).			

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicato ported fo time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2006.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate		This is	a new
identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 7009 - STRONG-HUTTIG SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	16.28%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	21.05%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>	
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to
expulsions for greater than 10		
days in a school year.	be reported t	
	time in	2008.

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	82.35%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	2.35%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				EEA(IJN)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below	re	This is indicate ported for time in	or to be or the firs	st
same age peers. d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
comparable to same age peers. b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD	re	This is indicate ported fo time in	or to be or the firs	st
who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.				
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related				
services that is a result of	This is a new indicator to be			
inappropriate identification				
(e.g. monitoring data, review	reported for the first		or the first	
of policies, practices, and	*			
procedures under 618(d), etc.).	time in 2008.			

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10° is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is	a new	
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2006.	

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Rate	Target	by LEA (Y/N)
75.76%	100.00%	N/A
	75.76%	

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^{\}star}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

Page 3 of 3 Note: - - denotes not applicable

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 7102 - CLINTON SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	76.47%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	8.75%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	99.16%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	17.80%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	21.36%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	D-1-	
compared to the same data for all	<u><1.24</u>		is not
children in the district.		avai	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>		
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first		
	time in	2008.	

Note: - - denotes not applicable

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	45.50%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	5.69%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or	2.60%	2.58%	0.95%	Y
homebound/hospital placements.				

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	02.220/	(2.250/		NT / A
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				EEA(IJN)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below	re	This is indicate ported for time in	or to be or the firs	st
same age peers. d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
comparable to same age peers. b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD	re	This is indicate ported fo time in	or to be or the firs	st
who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.				
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
special education and related services that is a result of		This is	a new
inappropriate identification	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		
(e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).			

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new	
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2008.	

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate	This is a new			
identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	new to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 7104 - SHIRLEY SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	96.23%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	17.65%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	26.83%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	is not
compared to the same data for all	<u><1.24</u>	Data is not	
children in the district.		available	

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	J			
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met		
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA		
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)		
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to		
expulsions for greater than 10				
days in a school year.	be reported for the first			
	time in 2008.			

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	58.77%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	6.14%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	1.75%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	00.000/	(2.250)		27/4
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.				
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below	re	This is indicate ported for time in	or to be or the firs	st
same age peers. d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
comparable to same age peers. b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.				
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
special education and related services that is a result of	This is a new		a new
inappropriate identification	indicator to be		
(e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and	reported for the first time in 2008.		
procedures under 618(d), etc.).			2000.

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicato ported fo time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		line in	2006.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate		This is	a new
identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		or to be or the first

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Rate	Target	by LEA (Y/N)
75.76%	100.00%	N/A
	75.76%	

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 7105 - SOUTH SIDE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	5.88%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	97.50%	Υ

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	7.69%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	5.71%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

11) 0 tisp 01101014 2:tp tilo1011			
Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Doto	is not
compared to the same data for all	<u>~1.24</u>		
children in the district.		ava	ilable
	•		

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>		
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first		
	time in 2008.		

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	46.59%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	7.95%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	1.14%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	02.220/	(2.250/		NT / A
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				EEA(IJN)	
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.		
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.					
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.		
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.			. 2000.		
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)		
special education and related	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first				
services that is a result of					
inappropriate identification					
(e.g. monitoring data, review					
of policies, practices, and	time in 2008.				
procedures under 618(d), etc.).					

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)		
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new		
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator to be reported for the fire time in 2008.			
days.	School Age (5-21)		ime m	2006.		

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)		
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate	This is a new				
identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.				

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Rate	Target	by LEA (Y/N)
75.76%	100.00%	N/A
	75.76%	

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 7201 - ELKINS SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	97.44%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	18.42%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	26.47%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>			
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met		
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA		
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)		
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to		
expulsions for greater than 10				
days in a school year.	be reported for the first			
	time in	2008.		

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	72.46%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	7.25%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.72%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for		st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
special education and related		TT1-:-:-	
services that is a result of	This is a new		
inappropriate identification	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		
(e.g. monitoring data, review			
of policies, practices, and			
procedures under 618(d), etc.).			

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a new		
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator ported for time in	r the first	
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2008.	

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 7202 - FARMINGTON SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	83.33%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	4.69%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	99.17%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	18.33%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	23.58%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

, i , i	J	
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to
expulsions for greater than 10	be reported to	
days in a school year.		
	time in	1 2008.

Note: - - denotes not applicable

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	67.17%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	6.57%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.51%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	00.000/	(2.250)		27/4
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State	State	LEA	Target
a) Parameter formation of CM/D	Rate	Target	Rate	Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose	re	This is indicate ported fo time in	or to be or the firs	st
functioning remained below same age peers. d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.			. 2000.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
special education and related services that is a result of		This is	a new
inappropriate identification	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		
(e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).			

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)		
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is	a new		
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator to be reported for the firs time in 2008.			
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2006.		

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate	This is a new			
identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Rate	Target	by LEA (Y/N)
75.76%	100.00%	N/A
	75.76%	

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Υ

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 7203 - FAYETTEVILLE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	76.47%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	5.88%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	Υ	9.00%	21.43%	Y
Mathematics	Y	36.48%	32.00%	Y

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	96.94%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	22.86%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	29.48%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	J		
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first time in 2008.		
	time ir	1 2008.	

Note: - - denotes not applicable

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	58.01%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	10.06%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	1.64%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	00.000/	(2.250)		27/4
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				EEA(IJN)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

(State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for		st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.				
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
special education and related services that is a result of	This is a new indicator to be		
inappropriate identification			
(e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	reported for the first time in 2008.		

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new	
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2006.	

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	N

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 7204 - GREENLAND SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	98.53%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	16.42%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	10.17%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	J		
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first		
	time ir	1 2008.	

Note: - - denotes not applicable

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	75.65%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	4.35%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.87%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	02.220/	(2.250/		NT / A
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time in	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related				
services that is a result of	This is a new			
inappropriate identification	indicator to be			
(e.g. monitoring data, review	reported for the first			
of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	time in 2008.			
procedures under 010(u), etc.).				

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicato ported fo time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2008.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that			
is a result of inappropriate	This is a new indicator to be		
identification (e.g. monitoring			
data, review of policies, practices,	reported for the first		or the first
and procedures under 618(d),	time in 2008.		
etc.).		time ii	n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 7205 - LINCOLN SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	66.67%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	5.26%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	98.00%	Υ

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	6.12%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	11.24%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>	Data is not	
children in the district.		available	

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>		
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first time in 2008.		

Note: - - denotes not applicable

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	46.43%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	4.76%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.60%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				LEA(Y/N)	
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time in	n 2008.		
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	•	or to be or the firs	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
special education and related services that is a result of	This is a new		
inappropriate identification	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		
(e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).			

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicato ported fo time in	r the first
days.			time in	2006.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Rate	Target	by LEA (Y/N)
75.76%	100.00%	N/A
	75.76%	

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 7206 - PRAIRIE GROVE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	4.76%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	15.63%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	25.56%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	D-1-	:t
compared to the same data for all	<u><1.24</u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>	
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to
expulsions for greater than 10		
days in a school year.	be reported for the first	
	time in 2008.	

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	66.47%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	1.76%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.59%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	02.220/	(2.250/		NT / A
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)		
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				EEA(IJN)		
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.			
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.						

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.					
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.		
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.				
who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.					
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related services that is a result of	This is a new			
inappropriate identification	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
(e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).				

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicato ported fo time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2006.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Rate	Target	by LEA (Y/N)
75.76%	100.00%	N/A
	75.76%	

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	N

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 7207 - SPRINGDALE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	93.24%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	2.59%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	Υ	9.00%	21.43%	N
Mathematics	Y	36.48%	32.00%	Y

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	95.10%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	23.53%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	32.20%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	is not
compared to the same data for all	<u><1.24</u>		
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>		
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first		
	time in	2008.	

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	64.26%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	13.57%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	4.79%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	02.220/	(2.250/		NT / A
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				EEA(IJN)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below	re	This is indicate ported for time in	or to be or the firs	st
same age peers. d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related		TT1-:-:-		
services that is a result of	This is a new			
inappropriate identification	indicator to be reported for the first			
(e.g. monitoring data, review				
of policies, practices, and	time in 2008.			
procedures under 618(d), etc.).				

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicato ported fo time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		ime m	2006.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	new to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 7208 - WEST FORK SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	94.12%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	1.39%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	97.73%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	13.95%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	17.91%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>	Data is not	
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>	
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to
expulsions for greater than 10		
days in a school year.	be reported i	
	time in	2008.

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	72.39%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	8.59%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.61%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	00.000/	(2.250)		27/4
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				.,,
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	time in 2008.			
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	•	or to be or the firs	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	1 2008.		
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related				
services that is a result of	This is a new			
inappropriate identification	indicator to be			
(e.g. monitoring data, review	reported for the first time in 2008.			
of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).				
procedures under 010(u), etc.).				

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)		
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new		
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
days.	School Age (5-21)					

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate	This is a new			
identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		or to be or the first	

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Rate	Target	by LEA (Y/N)
75.76%	100.00%	N/A
	75.76%	

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	N

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 7221 - NORTHWEST ARKANSAS EDUCATION SERVICE CENTER

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%		N/A

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%		N/A

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N/A	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N/A	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	1	N/A

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%		N/A
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%		N/A

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	is not
compared to the same data for all	<u><1.24</u>		
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>	
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to
expulsions for greater than 10		
days in a school year.	be reported i	
	time in	2008.

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%		N/A

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%		N/A

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%		N/A

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	02.220/	(0.050/	54.500/	
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%	61.68%	N

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

(State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for		st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related				
services that is a result of	This is a new			
inappropriate identification	indicator to be			
(e.g. monitoring data, review	reported for the first		or the first	
of policies, practices, and	time in 2008.			
procedures under 618(d), etc.).				

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	1	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2008.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	Y
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	N/A

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 7301 - BALD KNOB SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	4.63%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	Y	9.00%	21.43%	N
Mathematics	Y	36.48%	32.00%	Y

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	96.50%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	13.77%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	16.96%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	is not
compared to the same data for all	<u><1.24</u>		
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>		
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first		
	time in	2008.	

Note: - - denotes not applicable

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	49.39%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	20.41%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	1.63%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	00.000/	(2.250/		27/4
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Targe Met b LEA(Y	y
children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.					
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.		
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported fo time in	or to be or the firs	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.			. 2000.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related services that is a result of		This is	a new	
inappropriate identification	indicator to be			
(e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	reported for the first time in 2008.			
procedures under oro(u), etc.).				

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	1	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2008.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		This is indicate orted for time in	or to be or the first

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Rate	Target	by LEA (Y/N)
75.76%	100.00%	N/A
	75.76%	

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 7302 - BEEBE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	7.57%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	Υ	9.00%	21.43%	N
Mathematics	Y	36.48%	32.00%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	99.58%	Υ

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	14.29%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	14.22%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	D-1-	
compared to the same data for all	<u><1.24</u>	Data is not	
children in the district.		available	

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>		
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first		
	time in	2008.	

Note: - - denotes not applicable

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	43.50%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	13.68%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or	2.60%	2.58%	1.35%	Y
homebound/hospital placements.				

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
comparable to same age peers. b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.			2000.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for		st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
special education and related		TT1-:-:-	
services that is a result of	This is a new		
inappropriate identification	indicator to be		
(e.g. monitoring data, review	reported for the first time in 2008.		
of policies, practices, and			
procedures under 618(d), etc.).			

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicato ported fo time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		ime m	2006.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).			or to be or the first

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Rate	Target	by LEA (Y/N)
75.76%	100.00%	N/A
	75.76%	

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	N
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	N

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 7303 - BRADFORD SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	4.17%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	90.24%	N

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	5.41%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	25.00%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

11) 0 tisp 01101014 2:tp tilo1011			
Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Doto	is not
compared to the same data for all	<u>~1.24</u>		
children in the district.		ava	ilable
	•		

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	J		
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first		
	time in 2008.		

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	33.90%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	0.00%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	5.08%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	02.220/	(2.250/		NT / A
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				EEA(IJN)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.					
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.		
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.				
who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.					
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)		
special education and related		TT1-:-:-			
services that is a result of	This is a new				
inappropriate identification	indicator to be reported for the first				
(e.g. monitoring data, review					
of policies, practices, and	time in 2008.				
procedures under 618(d), etc.).					

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator ported for time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2006.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	N
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	N

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 7304 - WHITE CO. CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	66.67%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	3.85%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	100.00%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	47.22%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	43.75%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>			
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met		
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA		
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)		
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to		
expulsions for greater than 10				
days in a school year.	be reported for the first			
	time in	2008.		

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	61.54%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	2.56%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	5.13%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for		st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.					
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	1 2008.		
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related services that is a result of	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).				

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicato ported fo time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2006.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Rate	Target	by LEA (Y/N)
75.76%	100.00%	N/A
	75.76%	

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	N
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	N

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 7307 - RIVERVIEW SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	80.00%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	Υ	9.00%	21.43%	N
Mathematics	Y	36.48%	32.00%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	94.21%	N

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	10.53%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	13.73%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>			
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met		
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA		
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)		
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to		
expulsions for greater than 10				
days in a school year.	be reported t			
	time in	2008.		

Note: - - denotes not applicable

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	39.61%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	5.31%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	1.45%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose	re	This is indicate ported fo time in	or to be or the firs	st
functioning remained below same age peers. d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	1 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
special education and related services that is a result of		This is	a new
inappropriate identification	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		
(e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).			

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	1	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2008.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	N
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	N

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 7309 - PANGBURN SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	0.00%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	1.96%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	96.83%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	24.59%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	27.08%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	D-1-	:t
compared to the same data for all	<u><1.24</u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>	
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to
expulsions for greater than 10		
days in a school year.	be reported t	
	time in	2008.

Note: - - denotes not applicable

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	58.82%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	0.84%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	10.92%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	00.000/	(2.250)		27/4
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	Sta Ra	ite ite	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.		re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.			time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for		st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related				
services that is a result of	This is a new			
inappropriate identification	indicator to be			
(e.g. monitoring data, review	reported for the first			
of policies, practices, and				
procedures under 618(d), etc.).	time in 2008.			

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)		
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is	a new		
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2006.		

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Rate	Target	by LEA (Y/N)
75.76%	100.00%	N/A
	75.76%	

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Υ

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	N
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	N

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 7310 - ROSE BUD SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	66.67%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	96.36%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	28.30%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	23.91%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>		
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first		
	time in	2008.	

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	47.93%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	1.65%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	00.000/	(2.250)		27/4
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				EEA(IJN)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for		st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.				
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

$Indicator\ 09:\ Disproportionality\ -\ Eligibility$

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related services that is a result of	This is a new			
inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review	indicator to be reported for the first			
of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	time in 2008.			

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is	a new	
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2006.	

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate	This is a new			
identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		or to be or the first	

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	N
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	N

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 7311 - SEARCY SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	92.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	7.41%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	95.45%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	16.19%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	21.98%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	D-1-	:t
compared to the same data for all	<u><1.24</u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>		
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first time in 2008.		

Note: - - denotes not applicable

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	43.21%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	16.93%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	5.79%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				EEA(IJN)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
same age peers. d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.				
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
special education and related services that is a result of	This is a new		
inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review	indicator to be reported for the first		
of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	time in 2008.		

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicato ported fo time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2006.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Rate	Target	by LEA (Y/N)
75.76%	100.00%	N/A
	75.76%	

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	N

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	N
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	N

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 7320 - WILBUR D. MILLS EDUCATION SERVICE CO-OP

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%		N/A

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%		N/A

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N/A	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N/A	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%		N/A

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%		N/A
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%		N/A

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	3			
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met		
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA		
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)		
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to		
expulsions for greater than 10				
days in a school year.	be reported for the first			
	time in	2008.		

Note: - - denotes not applicable

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%		N/A

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%		N/A

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%		N/A

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%	84.59%	Y

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				LEA(Y/N)	
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time in	n 2008.		
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

(State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.					
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.		
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.			. 2000.		
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related		TT1-:-:-		
services that is a result of	This is a new			
inappropriate identification	indicator to be			
(e.g. monitoring data, review	reported for the first time in 2008.			
of policies, practices, and				
procedures under 618(d), etc.).				

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)		
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is	a new		
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator to be reported for the firs time in 2008.			
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2006.		

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).				

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their	75.76%	100.00%	N
third birthday.			

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	Y
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	N/A

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 7401 - AUGUSTA SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	90.91%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	7.14%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	Υ	9.00%	21.43%	N
Mathematics	Y	36.48%	32.00%	N

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	96.34%	Υ

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	3.80%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	1.59%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

11) 0 tisp 01101014 2:tp tilo1011			
Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Doto	is not
compared to the same data for all	<u>~1.24</u>		
children in the district.		ava	ilable
	•		

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>	
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to
expulsions for greater than 10		
days in a school year.	be reported i	
	time in	2008.

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	45.32%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	10.07%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	3.60%	N

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	02.220/	(2.250/	100 000/	.,
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for		st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
comparable to same age peers. b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.				
who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.					
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
special education and related services that is a result of		This is	a new
inappropriate identification	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		
(e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).			

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)		
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is	a new		
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2008.		

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate	This is a new			
identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Rate	Target	by LEA (Y/N)
75.76%	100.00%	N/A
	75.76%	

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	Y
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 7403 - MCCRORY SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	7.89%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	96.97%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	10.94%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	13.56%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>	Data is not	
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	,			
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met		
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA		
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)		
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to		
expulsions for greater than 10				
days in a school year.	be reported t			
	time in	2008.		

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	58.25%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	6.80%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.97%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	02.220/	(2.250/		NT / A
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				EEA(IJN)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below	re	This is indicate ported for time in	or to be or the firs	st
same age peers. d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD	re	This is indicate ported fo time in	or to be or the firs	st
who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.				
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related		TT1-:-:-		
services that is a result of	This is a new			
inappropriate identification	indicator to be			
(e.g. monitoring data, review	rep	orted fo	or the first	
of policies, practices, and	time in 2008.			
procedures under 618(d), etc.).				

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	1	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2008.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Rate	Target	by LEA (Y/N)
75.76%	100.00%	N/A
	75.76%	

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	N

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	N
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	N

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 7503 - DANVILLE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	75.00%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	2.50%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	98.73%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	11.54%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	28.79%	Y

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	J	
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to
expulsions for greater than 10		
days in a school year.	be reported	
	time ir	1 2008.

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	42.34%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	6.31%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	02.220/	(2.250/		NT / A
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				EEA(IJN)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below	re	This is indicate ported for time in	or to be or the firs	st
same age peers. d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported fo time in	or to be or the firs	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.			. 2000.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
special education and related services that is a result of	This is a new indicator to be		
inappropriate identification			
(e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	reported for the first time in 2008.		

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)		
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new		
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
days.	School Age (5-21)		line in	2006.		

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 7504 - DARDANELLE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	81.82%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	2.33%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	98.33%	Υ

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	17.80%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	16.67%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>		
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first		
	time in	2008.	

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	37.55%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	13.10%	N

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with	02.220/	(2.250/		NT / A
typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time in	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
special education and related services that is a result of		This is	a new
inappropriate identification	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		
(e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).			

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicato ported fo time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		ime m	2006.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate	This is a new			
identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.		or to be or the first	

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Rate	Target	by LEA (Y/N)
75.76%	100.00%	N/A
	75.76%	

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 7509 - WESTERN YELL CO. SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	75.00%	N

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD,	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
ages 14-21, dropping	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	100.00%	Υ

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	13.89%	Y
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	17.14%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>	Data is not	
children in the district.		available	

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>		
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met	
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA	
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)	
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to	
expulsions for greater than 10			
days in a school year.	be reported for the first time in 2008.		

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	47.30%	Y

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	8.11%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	Sta Ra	ite ite	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.		re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.			time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below	re	st		
same age peers. d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first			
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)		indicator to be reported for the first		
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
special education and related services that is a result of		This is	a new
inappropriate identification	indicator to be		
(e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and	reported for the first time in 2008.		
procedures under 618(d), etc.).		time ii	n 2008.

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator ported for time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2006.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State	State	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	LEA (Y/N)
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).		orted fo	a new or to be or the first n 2008.

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Rate	Target	by LEA (Y/N)
75.76%	100.00%	N/A
	75.76%	

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 7510 - TWO RIVERS SCHOOL DISTRICT

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%	92.86%	Y

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	6.35%	N

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%	98.88%	Y

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%	6.82%	N
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%	12.99%	N

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to
expulsions for greater than 10		
days in a school year.	be reported i	
	time in	2008.

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%	45.16%	N

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%	7.74%	Y

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	0.00%	Y

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%		N/A

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.					
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	time in 2008.				
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

(State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.					
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.		
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)	
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first				
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.	time in 2008.				
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)		
special education and related		TT1-:-:-			
services that is a result of	This is a new				
inappropriate identification	indicator to be reported for the first				
(e.g. monitoring data, review					
of policies, practices, and	time in 2008.				
procedures under 618(d), etc.).					

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicato ported fo time in	r the first
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2008.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).				

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N/A

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	Y

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	Y
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N/A
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Y

 $^{^*}$ Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.

2005/06 School Year

Publication Date: February 2007

Each State must have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA). The SPP is a six-year plan, which describes Arkansas's performance on 20 indicators. The Office of Special Education Programs in the U. S. Department of Education requires that States report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) on 14 of 20 indicators as compared with targets established by the State in the SPP. Arkansas will report indicators 1 through 14 and 20 for each LEA serving children with disabilities (CWD).

LEA: 9150 - DHHS: DIVISION of DEVELOPMENTAL DISABILITIES SERVICES

Indicator 01: Graduation Rates

Percentage of CWD graduating with a	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
regular diploma.	87.37%	88.00%		N/A

Indicator 02: Dropout Rates

Percentage of CWD, ages 14-21, dropping	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA (Y/N)
out of school.	2.60%	2.70%	0.00%	Y

Indicator 03: Assessment on Statewide Benchmark Exam (grades 3-8, 11)

A) Adequate Yearly Progress

n=40	Met Disability Subgroup "n" for Reporting Purposes (Y/N)	State Target for % of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	% of Districts Meeting AYP for Disability Subgroup	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
Literacy	N/A	9.00%	21.43%	N/A
Mathematics	N/A	36.48%	32.00%	N/A

Note: For a district to qualify as having a disability subgroup it must have a minmum of 40 (n=40) students with disabilities in a school building.

B) Participation

Participation Rate:	State	State	LEA	Target Met
Number of CWD Tested ÷	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA (Y/N)
Number of CWD Enrolled in grades tested	96.56%	95.00%		N/A

C) Performance Proficiency

Percent of CWD Scoring Proficient or Above	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
Proficiency Rate: Literacy	14.62%	13.17%		N/A
Proficiency Rate: Mathematics	18.98%	18.54%		N/A

Indicator 04: Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

A) Suspension/Expulsion

Percent difference of CWD with	Allowable	LEA	Target Met
out-of- school suspensions and	Difference	Difference	by LEA
expulsions totaling greater than			(Y/N)
10 days in a school year as	<1.24	Dete	ionat
compared to the same data for all	<u> </u>		is not
children in the district.		ava	ilable

Note: Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsion Data is not being reported for 2005/06 due to problems with the data collection.

B) Suspension/Expulsion by Race and Ethnicity

<u>, 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1</u>	<u> </u>			
The risk ratio of the district is	Significant	Target Met		
disproportionate for CWD within	Risk Ratio	by LEA		
racial/ethnic groups receiving	Discrepancy	(Y/N)		
out-of-school suspensions and	This is a new	indicator to		
expulsions for greater than 10				
days in a school year.	be reported for the first			
	time in	2008.		

A) In the Regular Class 80% or more of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class 80% or more	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
of the day.	48.33%	46.33%		N/A

B) In the Regular Class less than 60% of the day

Percent of CWD with IEPs receiving instruction in the regular class less	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
than 60% of the day.	12.11%	12.53%		N/A

C) Other Settings outside the regular class

Percent of CWD with IEPs served in public or private separate	State	State	LEA	Target Met
	Rate	Target	Rate	by LEA(Y/N)
schools, residential placements, or homebound/hospital placements.	2.60%	2.58%	100.00%	N/A

Note for Indicator 5C: The LEA rate may be impacted by the number of residential facilities located within the LEA's boundaries and by placements made for non-educational reasons by parents, courts and entities other than the LEA.

Indicator 06: Early Childhood (3-5) Least Restrictive Environment

Percent of children with IEPs receiving special education and	State	State	LEA	Target Met by
	Rate	Target	Rate	LEA(Y/N)
related services in settings with typically developing peers.	82.22%	63.35%	7.49%	N

Indicator 07: Preschool (3-5) Outcomes

A) Positive Social-Emotional Skills (including social

relationships)

	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.				EEA(IJN)
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.	
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

B) Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

a) Percent of preschool CWD	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Targe Met b LEA(Y	y
children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.					
b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers.	re	This is indicate ported for	or to be	st	
c) Percent of preschool CWD who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.		time ii	n 2008.		
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.					

C) Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

a) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level	State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA(Y/N)
comparable to same age peers. b) Percent of preschool CWD children who maintain functioning at a level comparable to same age peers. c) Percent of preschool CWD	This is a new indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
who improved but whose functioning remained below same age peers.				
d) Percent of preschool CWD children who did not improve functioning.				

Percent of surveyed parents who reported school facilitated parent		State Rate	State Target	LEA Rate	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
involvement as a means of improving services	Overall (3-21)		This i	s a new	
and results for children with disabilities.	Early Childhood (3-5)			tor to be for the first	
	School Age (5-21)		time	in 2008.	

Indicator 09: Disproportionality - Eligibility

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Targe	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
special education and related services that is a result of	This is a new			
inappropriate identification	indicator to be			
(e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and	reported for the first time in 2008.			
procedures under 618(d), etc.).				

Note: The target for Indicators 9 and 10 is to have zero percent (0%) of districts identified as having disproportionate representation as a result of inappropriate identification in eligibility or disability category.

Indicator 11: Child Find

Percent of children with		State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)		
parental consent to evaluate who are evaluated	Overall (3-21)		This is a	a new		
within the State established timeline of 60	Early Childhood (3-5)	re	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			
days.	School Age (5-21)		time in	2008.		

Indicator 10: Disproportionality-Child with a Disability

Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)	
specific disability categories that is a result of inappropriate	This is a new			
identification (e.g. monitoring data, review of policies, practices, and procedures under 618(d), etc.).	indicator to be reported for the first time in 2008.			

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	75.76%	100.00%	N

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

Percent of youth with disabilities aged 16 and up with an IEP that includes coordinated,	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.	99.10%	100.00%	N

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Percent of youth who had IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and who have been	State Rate	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
competively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both within one year of leaving high school.	i	This is a ndicator orted for time in 2	to be the first

Indicator 20: Reported Data-Timely and Accurate*

District submitted required data elements	Accurate and Timely Data Submission	State Target	Target Met by LEA (Y/N)
on or before due dates;	Overall (3-21)	100.00%	N
made revisions within the alloted reveiw	Early Childhood (3-5)	100.00%	N
periods.	School Age (5-21)	100.00%	Υ

^{*}Data reviewed for timely and accurate submissions included Child Count, Exits, Referral Tracking, and PEEP: Secondary Transition.