## Division of Elementary and Secondary Education - Office of Special Education Advisory Council for the Education of Children with Disabilities Dispute Resolution, July 2024

The following allegations were addressed in due process hearing decisions and complaint investigation reports completed in the previous quarter. An issue that has been substantiated by facts as determined by a due process hearing officer or complaint investigation team is followed by (S). An issue in which one or more allegations were substantiated while other allegations were not will be marked as partially substantiated (PS). An issue that was not substantiated is followed by (NS). Rendered decisions found to have no corrective action are followed by (No C/A).

# **DUE PROCESS HEARING ISSUES**

## H-24-04

 failure to comply with provisions set forth in Part B of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). Specifically, by failing to provide a free appropriate public education (FAPE). (S)

# H-24-11

 failure to comply with provisions set forth in Part B of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). Specifically, by failing to provide a free appropriate public education (FAPE). (S)

# H-24-19

 failure to comply with provisions set forth in Part B of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). Specifically, by failing to provide a free appropriate public education (FAPE). (S)

# H-24-21

 failure to comply with provisions set forth in Part B of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). Specifically, by failing to provide a free appropriate public education (FAPE). (S)

## H-24-22

 failure to comply with provisions set forth in Part B of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). Specifically, by failing to provide a free appropriate public education (FAPE). (S)

# H-24-23

 failure to comply with provisions set forth in Part B of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). Specifically, by failing to provide a free appropriate public education (FAPE). (S)

#### H-24-29

1. failure to comply with provisions set forth in Part B of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). Specifically, by failing to provide a free appropriate public education (FAPE). (S)

## H-24-31

1. failure to comply with provisions set forth in Part B of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). Specifically, by failing to provide a free appropriate public education (FAPE). (S)

## H-24-32

1. failure to comply with provisions set forth in Part B of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). Specifically, by failing to provide a free appropriate public education (FAPE). (NS)

## H-24-34

1. failure to comply with provisions set forth in Part B of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). Specifically, by failing to provide a free appropriate public education (FAPE). (S)

#### **OPEN HEARINGS** (38)

	Case#	School District	<u>Status</u>
<u>2023</u>	H-23-08 H-23-17 H-23-18 H-23-22/30 H-23-23 H-23-29/ H-24-04	Vilonia SD Palestine-Wheatley SD PCSSD	Pending Compliance Pending Compliance Pending Compliance Pending Compliance Pending Compliance Pending Compliance
<u>2024</u>	H-23-35/ H-24-09 H-23-37 H-24-01	Combined Vilonia SD PCSSD	Pending Hearing Pending Compliance Pending Compliance
	H-24-02 H-24-03 H-24-10 H-24-11 H-24-15	PCSSD SD Greenwood SD Magnet Cove SD Conway SD Cabot SD	Pending Compliance Pending Compliance Pending Compliance Pending Compliance Pending Hearing

# CLOSED HEARINGS (11)

	Case#	School District	<u>Status</u>
<u>2021</u>	H-21-32	Palestine-Wheatley SD	Closed, Met C/A
2024			
	H-24-05	Pine Bluff SD	Dismissed
	H-24-13	Conway SD	Dismissed
	H-24-25	Cave City SD	Dismissed
	H-24-19	Quitman SD	Closed, No C/A
	H-24-22	Quitman SD	Closed, No C/A
	H-24-28	Bentonville SD	Dismissed
	H-24-32	Springdale SD	Closed, No C/A
	H-24-35	Ft. Smith SD	Dismissed
	H-24-37	Vilonia SD	Dismissed

H-24-47

Quitman SD

Dismissed

# **COMPLAINT INVESTIGATION ISSUES**

## C-24-29

1.that the District failed to develop and implement an Individual Education Program (IEP) for the Student that is reasonably calculated to allow for meaningful progress [8.01.1], specifically by not providing needed accommodations on the IEP (NS)

2.that the District failed to develop and implement an Individual Education Program (IEP) for the Student that is reasonably calculated to allow for meaningful progress [8.01.1], specifically, by failing to develop and provide the support of a 1:1 aide (S)

3.that the District did not facilitate meaningful parent participation by failing to include the purpose of the meeting on the Notice of Conference Form as required by federal regulations 34 CFR 300.322(b)(1)(i) and [8.06.2.1.A].(NS)

4.that the District failed to provide meaningful parent participation by [9.02.2.2], specifically, not providing copies of conference paperwork to Parent including Prior Written Notice prior to the implementation of the action (S)

## C-24-34

1.that the District failed to develop and provide an IEP that was reasonably calculated to provide educational benefit, specifically by not considering the Behavior Intervention Plan (BIP) (S)

2.that the District failed to develop and provide an IEP that was reasonably calculated to provide educational benefit, specifically by not implementing the accommodations in the IEP (S)

3.that the District failed to hold an IEP meeting at parent request (S)

4.that the District failed to provide the Parent with Procedural Safeguards Notice (NS)

#### C-24-35

1.that the District failed to develop and provide an IEP that was calculated for reasonable educational benefit, specifically by not implementing the accommodations in the IEP (NS)

2.that the District failed to develop and provide an IEP that was reasonably calculated specifically by, not implementing the accommodations in the IEP(NS)

#### C-24-37

1.that the District changed placement without an IEP meeting (NS)

2.that the District failed to develop and implement an IEP for the Student that is reasonably calculated to allow for meaningful progress specifically, that the District failed to consider additional accommodations and services, (NS)

3.that the District failed to educate the Student in the Least Restrictive Environment (NS)

# C-24-39

1.that the District failed to consider additional recommendations from medical professionals, (NS)

2.that the District failed to educate the Student in the LRE. (S)

# C-24-40

1.that the District failed to develop and provide an IEP that was reasonably calculated for meaningful benefit, specifically, by not implementing the accommodations in the IEP (S)

# C-24-41

1.that there is sufficient evidence to substantiate the Complainant's allegation that the District failed to provide FAPE by not implementing their child find requirements (S)

## C-24-42

1.that the District failed to provide FAPE, specifically by not implementing their child find requirements (S)

2.that the District failed to have required members of the IEP team present for the conference (S)

3.that the District failed to develop and implement an Individualized Education Program (IEP) for the Student that is reasonably calculated to allow for meaningful progress (S)

## C-24-44

1.that the District failed to develop an IEP that was calculated for reasonable educational benefit by not implementing the accommodations in the (S)

2.that the District failed to develop an IEP that was calculated for reasonable educational benefit by failing to consider and program for all areas of critical need (NS)

3.that the District failed to develop an IEP that was calculated for reasonable educational benefit by not providing a one-to-one paraprofessional as listed in the IEP (NS)

4.that the District failed to develop an IEP that was calculated for reasonable educational benefit by not addressing the behavioral concerns due to delaying a Functional Behavior Assessment for the Student (NS)

5.that the District failed to include the Parent when conducting a Manifestation Determination Review for disciplinary removals that constitute a change of placement(S)

# C-24-45

1.that the District failed to develop and provide an IEP that was calculated for reasonable educational benefit by not implementing the accommodations in the IEP(S)

2.that the District failed to develop and provide an IEP that was calculated for reasonable educational benefit by failing to revise the IEP after meetings(S)

3.that the District failed to develop and provide an IEP that was calculated for reasonable educational benefit by not providing the required Speech Therapy services listed (NS)

4.that the District failed to develop and provide an IEP that was calculated for reasonable educational benefit by failing to consider and program for all areas of critical need (NS)

5.that the District failed to allow for meaningful parent participation, specifically, by not holding an IEP meeting at request of the Parent (NS)

6.that the District failed to allow for meaningful parent participation, specifically, by not providing a Notice of Conference (NOC) that includes who would be in attendance in the IEP meeting (S)

7.that the District failed to allow for meaningful parent participation, specifically, by not having the required members of the IEP meeting (S)

8.that the District failed to allow for meaningful parent participation, specifically, by not providing a copy of the IEP or Notice of Action (NOA) after meetings (NS)

## C-24-46

1.that the District failed to meet the requirements of the referral process for a student that is suspected of having disability (S)

## C-24-47

1.that the District failed to develop and provide an IEP that was calculated for reasonable educational benefit specifically, by not implementing the accommodations in the IEP (NS)

2.that the District failed to have required members of the IEP team present for the conference (S)

3.that the District failed to develop and implement an Individualized Education Program (IEP) for the Student that is reasonably calculated to allow for meaningful progress (S)

## C-24-48

1.that the District failed to develop and implement an Individual Education Program (IEP) for the Student that is reasonably calculated to allow for educational benefit 34 CFR 300.320(a) and [8.01.1], specifically, that the District failed to provide the related services listed on the IEP. This failure is attributed, in part, to the District's lack of policies and procedures regarding special education requirements and failure to ensure new staff are aware of the requirements. (S)

# C-24-49

1.that the District failed to comply with special education laws and regulations governing the provision of a Free Appropriate Public Education (FAPE) specifically by, failing to provide the services listed in the Individual Educational Program (IEP) (S)

## C-24-51

1.that the District failed to provide a FAPE by not addressing the impact of bullying through the IEP (NS)

2.that the District failed to develop and provide an IEP that was reasonably calculated for educational benefit by not providing the one to one paraprofessional as listed in the IEP(NS)

# C-24-53

1.that the District failed to provide a Free Appropriate Public Education (FAPE) by not implementing the individual Education Program (IEP) in the regular classroom (NS) 2.that the District failed to provide a Functional Behavior Assessment (FBA) to address the Student's behaviors (NS)

#### OPEN COMPLAINTS (33)

		(66)	
	<u>Case#</u>	School District	<u>Status</u>
<u>2023</u>	C-23-29 C-23-39	Springdale SD KIPP Delta SD	Pending Compliance Pending Compliance
<u>2024</u>	C-24-02 C-24-11 C-24-17 C-24-18 C-24-25 C-24-27 C-24-29 C-24-29 C-24-34 C-24-39 C-24-40 C-24-41 C-24-42 C-24-42 C-24-45 C-24-48 C-24-48 C-24-49 C-24-50 C-24-52 C-24-54 C-24-57	Hope Academy of NWA Jackson County SD LISA Academy Pea Ridge SD Scholarmade Achievement Charter Lawrence Co. SD Emerson Taylor Bradford SD Springdale SD West Memphis SD PCSSD Fort smith SD Searcy SD PCSSD PCSSD Vestwind School for Performing Arts Strong-Huttig SD Clarksville SD Arkansas River Education Co-Op Cossatot River SD Berryville SD Mammoth Springs SD LISA Academy Mineral Springs SD	Pending Compliance Pending Investigation Pending Investigation Pending Investigation Pending Investigation

C-24-58	PCSSD	Pending Investigation
C-24-60	Bentonville SD	Pending Investigation
C-24-61	Southwest AR Education Co-Op	Pending Investigation
C-24-62	PCSSD	Pending Investigation
C-24-63	Academics Plus Charter School	Pending Investigation
C-24-64	Southwest AR Education Co-Op	Pending Investigation
C-24-65	Blytheville SD	Pending Investigation
C-24-66	LRSD	Pending Investigation

# CLOSED COMPLAINTS (12)

	Case#	School District	<u>Status</u>
<u>2022</u>	C-22-25	LISA Academy	Met Compliance/Closed
<u>2023</u>	C-23-22	AR School for Deaf	Met Compliance/Closed
<u>2024</u>	C-24-24 C-24-31 C-24-32 C-24-33 C-24-35 C-24-47 C-24-51 C-24-53 C-24-55 C-24-59	Bentonville SD NLRSD NLRSD AR Military and First Responders SD Berryville SD Strong-Huttig SD Rogers SD Arkadelphia SD ESTEM Charter School LISA Academy	Closed No C/A Withdrawn Denied Withdrawn Closed No C/A Closed No C/A Closed No C/A Closed No C/A Denied Withdrawn