

**Division of Elementary and Secondary Education - Office of Special Education  
Advisory Council for the Education of Children with Disabilities  
Dispute Resolution, January 2026**

The following allegations were addressed in due process hearing decisions and complaint investigation reports completed in the previous quarter. An issue that has been substantiated by facts as determined by a due process hearing officer or complaint investigation team is followed by (S). An issue in which one or more allegations were substantiated while other allegations were not will be marked as partially substantiated (PS). An issue that was not substantiated is followed by (NS). Rendered decisions found to have no corrective action are followed by (No C/A).

**DUE PROCESS HEARING ISSUES**

**H-25-39**

1. Failure to comply with provisions set forth in Part B of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). Specifically, by failing to provide a free appropriate public education (FAPE). (NS)

**H-26-12**

1. Failure to comply with provisions set forth in Part B of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). Specifically, by failing to provide a free appropriate public education (FAPE). (S)

**OPEN HEARINGS**  
**(28)**

<b><u>Case#</u></b>	<b><u>School District</u></b>	<b><u>Status</u></b>
<b><u>2024</u></b>		
H-24-04	LRSD	Pending Compliance
H-24-10	Magnet Cove SD	Pending Compliance
H-24-34	Scholarmade Achievement SD	Pending Compliance
H-24-40	Vilonia SD	Pending Compliance
H-24-42	Springdale SD	Pending Hearing
H-24-46	Benton SD Pending	Pending Compliance

**2025**

H-25-01	Hope SD	Pending Hearing
H-25-14	Gravette SD	Pending Hearing
H-25-18	Gravette SD	Pending Hearing
H-25-22	Fountian Lake SD	Pending Compliance
H-25-36	Gravette SD	Pending Hearing
H-25-43	Mountain View SD	Pending Hearing
H-25-45	Fort Smith SD	Pending Hearing
H-25-48	Sheridan SD	Pending Hearing

**2026**

H-26-01	Lavaca SD	Pending Compliance
H-26-03	Fayetteville SD	Pending Hearing
H-26-06	Melbourne SD	Pending Hearing
H-26-10	Booneville SD	Pending Hearing
H-26-11	PCSSD	Pending Hearing
H-26-17	PCSSD	Pending Hearing
H-26-18	PCSSD	Pending Hearing
H-26-19	Greene County Tech SD	Pending Hearing
H-26-20	LRSD	Pending Hearing
EH-26-21	Marion SD	Pending Hearing
H-26-22	Riverside SD	Pending Hearing
H-26-23	Quitman SD	Pending Hearing
EH-26-24	Jacksonville North Pulaski SD	Pending Hearing
H-26-25	Hamburg SD	Pending Hearing

**CLOSED HEARINGS****(11)**

<b><u>Case#</u></b>	<b><u>School District</u></b>	<b><u>Status</u></b>
---------------------	-------------------------------	----------------------

**2025**

H-25-26	Batesville SD	Closed No CA
H-25-39	Rogers SD	Closed No CA
H-25-45	Fort Smith SD	Dismissed
H-25-48	Sheridan SD	Dismissed

**2026**

H-26-08	Pangburn SD	Dismissed
EH-26-12	Pangburn SD	Closed No CA
EH-26-13	PCSSD	Dismissed
EH-26-14	Greenwood SD	Dismissed
EH-26-15	Augusta SD	Dismissed
EH-26-16	Fort Smith SD	Dismissed
H-26-26	Lavaca SD	Dismissed

## **COMPLAINT INVESTIGATION ISSUES**

**(9)**

### **C-25-05**

1. that the District failed to develop and implement an Individualized Education Program (IEP) for the Student that is reasonably calculated to allow for meaningful educational benefit, specifically, that the District failed to develop and implement the accommodations to support the behaviors of the Student, resulting in the Student being disciplined for disability-based behaviors. (S)
2. that the District denied meaningful Parent participation by predetermining placement outside of the IEP team meeting. (NS)

### **C-26-06**

1. that the District failed to develop and implement an Individualized Education Program (IEP) for the Student that is reasonably calculated to allow for meaningful educational benefit, specifically, that the District failed to implement the services in the IEP. (S)

### **C-26-07**

1. that the District failed to develop and implement an Individualized Education Program (IEP) for the Student that is reasonably calculated to allow for meaningful educational benefit [8.01.1], specifically that the District failed to implement accommodations listed in the IEP and that the IEP team failed to address Student absences due to medical issues. (NS)

### **C-26-08**

1. that the District failed to develop and implement an Individualized Education Program (IEP) for the Student that is reasonably calculated to allow for meaningful educational benefit, specifically, that the District failed to develop and implement an IEP to support the behaviors of the Student, resulting in the Student being disciplined for disability-based behaviors. (NS)
2. that the District failed to provide meaningful parental participation by not allowing observation of the video footage of the incident. (NS)

### **C-26-09**

1. that the District failed to comply with special education laws and regulations governing the provision of a free appropriate public education (FAPE). Specifically, the complaint alleges the following violation of the ADE Special Education and Related Services Procedural Requirements and Program Standards:
  - a. that the District failed to meet its child find obligation to identify, locate, and evaluate the Student who may need special education and related services. (S)

**C-26-12**

1. that the District failed to develop and implement and Individualized Education Program (IEP) for the Student that is reasonably calculated to allow for meaningful educational progress, specifically that the District failed to provide the services listed in the IEP. (NS)
2. that the District denied meaningful Parent participation by including people in the IEP meeting that were not included in the Notice of Conference. (NS)
3. that the District impeded the Parent's opportunity to participate in the decision making process, specifically by not communicating with the Parent. (NS)

**C-26-16**

- 1.that the District failed to develop and implement an Individualized Education Program (IEP) for the Student that is reasonably calculated to allow for meaningful educational progress [8.01.01], specifically:
  - a. that the District failed to develop and implement an IEP to support the behaviors of the Student, resulting in the Student being disciplined for disability-based behaviors, (S)
  - b. that the District failed to conduct a Functional Behavior Assessment (FBA) and develop a Behavior Intervention Plan (BIP), (NS)
  - c. that the District failed to reconvene the IEP team after new medical information was provided.(NS)
2. that the District failed to provide meaningful parental participation by not providing access to educational records. (NS)

**C-26-17**

1. that the District failed to develop and implement an Individualized Education Program (IEP) for the Student that is reasonably calculated to allow for meaningful educational progress, specifically, that the District failed to implement the services in the IEP. (S)

**C-26-18**

1. that the District failed to meet its child find obligation to identify, locate, and evaluate the Students who may need special education and related services. (S)

**OPEN COMPLAINTS****(34)**

<b><u>Case#</u></b>	<b><u>School District</u></b>	<b><u>Status</u></b>
<b><u>2023</u></b>		
C-23-39	KIPP Delta SD	Pending Compliance
<b><u>2024</u></b>		
C-24-17	LISA Academy	Pending Compliance
C-24-39	PCSSD	Pending Compliance

**2025**

C-25-10	LRSD	Pending Compliance
C-25-22	KIPP Delta SD	Pending Compliance
C-25-23	Mountain View SD	Pending Compliance
C-25-30	Fort Smith SD	Pending Compliance
C-25-31	Fort Smith SD	Pending Compliance
C-25-34	Academy of Math and Science	Pending Compliance
C-25-36	Booneville SD	Pending Compliance
C-25-42	Academy of Math and Science	Pending Compliance
C-25-52	North Little Rock SD	Pending Compliance
C-25-56	Lavaca SD	Pending Compliance
C-25-68	Arkansas School for the Deaf	Pending Compliance
C-25-71	Arkansas School for the Blind	Pending Compliance
C-25-73	Guy Perkins SD	Pending Compliance

**2026**

C-26-01	Pocahontas SD	Pending Compliance
C-26-05	East End SD	Pending Compliance
C-26-06	Mansfield SD	Pending Compliance
C-26-09	Friendship Aspire Academy	Pending Compliance
C-26-16	Monticello SD	Pending Compliance
C-26-18	Vilonia SD	Pending Compliance
C-26-20	Fort Smith SD	Abeyance
C-26-22	Gravette SD	Pending Investigation
C-26-23	Beebe SD	Pending Investigation
C-26-25	Marion SD	Abeyance
C-26-28	DesArc SD	Pending Investigation
C-26-29	Wonderview SD	Pending Investigation
C-26-30	LISA Academy	Pending Investigation
C-26-31	Jacksonville North Pulaski SD	Abeyance
C-26-33	Arkansas Virtual Academy	Pending Investigation
C-26-34	Fouke SD	Pending Investigation
C-26-35	Eureka Springs SD	Pending Investigation
C-26-36	LRSD	Pending Investigation

**CLOSED COMPLAINTS**  
(15)

<b><u>Case#</u></b>	<b><u>School District</u></b>	<b><u>Status</u></b>
---------------------	-------------------------------	----------------------

**2025**

C-25-59	PCSSD	Met Compliance/Closed
C-25-69	PCSSD	Met Compliance/Closed
C-25-70	Hot Springs SD	Met Compliance/Closed
C-25-74	LRSD	Met Compliance/Closed

**2026**

C-26-07	Fayetteville SD	Closed No CA
C-26-08	PCSSD	Closed No CA
C-26-12	KIPP Delta SD	Closed No CA
C-26-15	Mountain Home SD	Withdrawn
C-26-17	NLRSD	Closed No CA
C-26-19	Nettleton SD	Closed No CA
C-26-21	Guy-Perkins SD	Closed No CA
C-26-24	Trumann SD	Withdrawn
C-26-26	PCSSD	Withdrawn
C-26-27	LRSD	Denied
C-26-32	Springdale SD	Withdrawn